	1/1
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT BOARD
2	
3	No. EIB 11-01(R)
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE STATE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN
5	FOR REGIONAL HAZE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15	
16	BE IT REMEMBERED that on the 2nd day of June, 2011,
17	this matter came on for hearing before the Environmental
18	Improvement Board, taken at the San Juan College, Room
19	7103, 4601 College Boulevard, Farmington, New Mexico, at
20	the hour of 9:08 a.m.
21	
22	
23	
24	VOLUME 2
25	

1 APPEARANCES 2 The Hearing Officer: 3 FELICIA ORTH New Mexico Environment Department 4 1190 St. Francis Drive Harold Runnels Building 5 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 6 The New Mexico Environmental Improvement Board: 7 DEBORAH PEACOCK GREGORY H. FULFER 8 JAMES R. CASCIANO JOHN MICHAEL VOLKERDING 9 TIMOTHY L. MORROW JEFFREY W. BRYCE 10 ELIZABETH RYAN 11 STEPHEN VIGIL Assistant Attorney General 12 Civil Division Office of the Attorney General 13 Post Office Drawer 1508 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-1508 (505) 827-6040 14 svigil@nmag.gov 15 16 For New Mexico Environment Department: BILL GRANTHAM 17 Office of General Counsel 18 New Mexico Environment Department Post Office Box 5469 19 1190 St. Francis Drive Suite N-4064 20 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-5469 (575) 779-162721 bill.grantham@state.nm.us 22 23 24 25

1 A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) 2 For Public Service Company of New Mexico and Southwestern Public Service Company: 3 RICHARD L. ALVIDREZ 4 MILLER STRATVERT PA Attorneys at Law 5 500 Marquette, Northwest Suite 1100 6 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 824-4737 7 ralvidrez@mstlaw.com 8 For San Juan Coal Company: 9 CHARLES E. ROYBAL Manager of Energy Coal Legal 10 BHP Billiton 300 West Arrington 11 Suite 200 Farmington, New Mexico 87401 (505) 598-435812 charles.ce.roybal@bhpbilliton.com 13 14 For M-S-R Public Power Agency: 15 LOUIS W. ROSE MONTGOMERY & ANDREW, PA 16 Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 2307 325 Paseo de Peralta 17 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2307 (505) 982 - 387318 lrose@montand.com 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

		177
1	I N D E X	
2	PAGE	
3	WITNESSES:	
4	WILLIAM SHARER	
5	Direct Testimony 184	
6	THOMAS C. TAYLOR	
7	Direct Testimony 187	
8	JOSEPH R. GONZALES	
9	Direct Testimony 190	
10	JAMES C. HENDERSON	
11	Direct Testimony 193	
12	ELOISE BROWN	
13	Direct Testimony 197	
14	GORDON GLASS	
15	Direct Testimony 202	
16	MIKE EISENFELD	
17	Direct Testimony 205	
18	DEANNA COLLINS	
19	Direct Testimony 207	
20	JAMES CRAWFORD	
21	Further Direct Testimony 210	
22	BILL HAGLER	
23	Direct Testimony 212	
24	DOYLE MEYER	
25	Direct Testimony 214	

			178
1	I N D E X (Continued)		
2		PAGE	
3	WITNESSES:		
4	JOHN CLINE		
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Roybal	217	
6	Examination by the Board	227	
7	Redirect Examination by Mr. Roybal	234	
8	Cross Examination by Mr. Grantham	234	
9	Further Examination by the Board	235	
10	NORMAN BENALLY		
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Roybal	239	
12	MARGARET MC DANIEL		
13	Direct Testimony	245	
14	JOE ROGERS		
15	Direct Testimony	247	
16	JOSH ORTEGA		
17	Direct Testimony	249	
18	LUCINDA Y. BENNALLEY		
19	Direct Testimony	251	
20	CLAYTON BENALLY		
21	Direct Testimony	255	
22	EUGENIA QUINTANA		
23	Direct Testimony	259	
24	CONSTANCE LOUISE FALK		
25	Direct Testimony	265	

		170
		179
1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	PAGE	
3	WITNESSES:	
4	RUDY MOYA	
5	Direct Testimony 268	3
6	DIANE FISCHER and KYLE LUCAS	
7	Further Examination by the Board 276	Ō
8	Further Direct Examination by	
9	Mr. Alvidrez 286	5
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

MS. ORTH: All right. Good morning.

My name is Felicia Orth. I'm the Hearing

Officer appointed by the Environmental Improvement Board

to conduct a hearing in the matter of proposed revisions

to the State Implementation Plan for regional haze,

docketed by the Board administrator as EIB 11-01.

We're reconvening in this matter this morning. We had most of the technical testimony yesterday in Santa Fe and some public comment. We'll hear more technical testimony today and accept additional public comment. The Board may choose to deliberate on this matter at the close of the record, which we expect to be today.

Testimony and comment is taken under oath. It is subject to cross-examination and questioning, particularly by the Board.

The proceedings today are being recorded and transcribed by Cheryl Arreguin of Kathy Townsend Court Reporters. Please contact Ms. Arreguin directly if you'd like a copy of the transcript. It also becomes a public record available for review once it's been received in the office of the administrator.

Counsel -- I see Mr. Alvidrez here. We're not in our usual places.

But let me know that you're here,

182

- 1 Mr. Alvidrez.
- 2 MR. ALVIDREZ: Yes. For the record, Rick
- 3 | Alvidrez on behalf of Public Service Company of New
- 4 Mexico.
- 5 MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you.
- 6 Mr. Grantham?
- 7 MR. GRANTHAM: Bill Grantham with the New
- 8 | Mexico Environment Department.
- 9 MS. ORTH: Okay.
- We have Mr. Rose.
- 11 MR. ROSE: Yeah. Louis Rose with --
- 12 representing M-S-R Public Power Agency.
- MS. ORTH: Okay.
- 14 And Mr. Roybal.
- MR. ROYBAL: Good morning, Madam Hearing
- 16 Officer.
- 17 Charles Roybal for BHP Billiton and San Juan
- 18 | Coal Company.
- 19 MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you.
- 20 And I don't believe Mr. Schlenker-Goodrich was
- 21 | joining us today.
- 22 Am I wrong about that?
- No. All right.
- As I understand it, counsel, we're going to
- 25 | begin with technical testimony from San Juan Coal

Company.

1

10

11

14

15

16

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. ALVIDREZ: Madam Hearing Officer, at the pleasure of the Board, I do note that we have some 3 4 elected officials here this morning that are interested 5 in giving public comment. I don't know -- I understand 6 some of them have some time limitations. I don't know 7 if you'd like to consider hearing from them as an 8 initial matter as opposed to beginning the technical testimony. 9

I just note that for your information.

MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Alvidrez.

Actually, we often do that. Board -- I'm happy to do that. The Board is happy to do that.

So let's do that first. Let's take some public comment, particularly from those with time constraints.

17 Yes. Thank you, sir.

MR. SHARER: From here or down there?

MS. ORTH: You have a nice loud voice.

THE REPORTER: No. Down here.

MS. PEACOCK: I guess I'm going to state an initial comment.

The Environmental Improvement Board -- we wanted to travel here to Farmington so that we could get comment from everyone here in Farmington. It's

important that we show up at meetings in the location
that's affected.

And I have to tell you that your riverwalk is really beautiful. I walked along that last night. I feel like home.

So thank you for coming here for testimony and comment.

WILLIAM SHARER

having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

THE REPORTER: Would you state and spell your full name, please.

MR. SHARER: All right. It's William Sharer, S-H-A-R-E-R. I'm state senator from District 1, which is Farmington and a little bit outside the city limits. Not quite all of the city, but most of the city and a little bit out of it.

I'm here today as Senator Sharer, but also as a resident of Farmington who was -- I was born in Albuquerque, but I essentially grew up here in Farmington.

I can remember when you could not see

Shiprock, the actual rock, from Farmington High School

because of the haze. It was a frequent occurrence.

Today if you cannot see Shiprock from the top of 20th Street outside Farmington High School, it's because of all of the dust that blew in from Arizona and California and China. It has nothing to do with the power plants. The power plants have cleaned up dramatically over those 30-plus years.

and just the comments that I've heard in the past relate to the poor quality of the air around here, but when you compare 1976 with 2011, wow, what a difference. And I'm one that actually saw it. I'm one that was disgusted by what I saw in '76. But love living in San Juan County today, because this is a great place with a great environment.

We all want clean air and clean water, everybody here, but there's a balance, because we also want the lights to come on when we flip the switch.

Most of the people in San Juan County, in fact, want a good job. They don't want government assistance. They want a vibrant economy where they can work.

And the jobs that are produced by the power plants and the associated coal mines are great jobs.

They're high-paying jobs with great benefits. They're a great economic engine for not only San Juan County but the entire State of New Mexico.

So where's that balance? We could have perfectly clean air and clean water and freeze to death in the dark. I think that's bad planning. Of course, we could have the most brilliant lights in the world and not be able to breathe and just choke to death. That's bad planning, too.

There is a balance, and I think that the state plan achieves that balance. And so I'm here to support that state plan, not only because it's right for individual human beings, but it's right for the economy, it's right for our nation. Energy is what makes modern life liveable, and if we are willing to shut down energy, then we're willing to shut down modern life.

Look at the machinery that you have right here to record this. If we decide to turn off the power plants across the nation, we're going to go back to quills, which in some cases might be better, because we produce less paper. But we also have no lights, we have no electricity for our computers, we have no communication.

In fact, we'll live in caves in very short order if we continue down this path of clean air, clean water versus -- it's the only goal and there is no balance. So let's look for that balance, let's achieve that balance, let's keep those good jobs, let's keep the

1 economic engine, and still achieve clean air and clean 2 water. And that's what the current SIP, I think, does. That's the end of mine. I also have a 3 statement here. Representative James Strickler was 4 5 going to come today. He can't. He sent this letter. 6 And I don't know who to give it to or what to do, but 7 there you go. 8 I'll give you one of my business cards. 9 And with that, if you have any questions of 10 me, I'd be glad to answer them. 11 MS. ORTH: Thank you. 12 MS. PEACOCK: Thank you. 13 MS. ORTH: Board questions? 14 MR. VOLKERDING: Thank you. 15 MS. RYAN: Thank you very much. 16 MS. ORTH: Thank you, Senator Sharer. Are there others with time constraints this 17 18 morning? 19 Sir. 20 THOMAS C. TAYLOR 21 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was 22 examined and testified as follows: 23 DIRECT TESTIMONY 24 THE REPORTER: State and spell your full name 25 for me, please.

MR. TAYLOR: My name is Thomas C. Taylor,

T-H-O-M-A-S T-A-Y-L-O-R.

THE REPORTER: Thank you.

MR. TAYLOR: I'm a state representative from this area. I represent portions of the City of Farmington north to the Colorado border.

I've been a resident of Farmington for 63

years. And I certainly have watched what's gone on. I

won't repeat anything that's been said earlier.

I think the one comment that I want to make is that it seems like the process that we have in place for environmental improvements oftentimes deal with insignificant issues at all cost. And it's a problem in an economy especially like we have today. I think it's important that we concentrate on significant issues, rather than minimize the amount of money that we have to spend on those by spending them on insignificant issues.

And I say it's insignificant for this reason. You know, PNM just three or four years ago had \$320 million worth of improvements to the San Juan Generating Station. In the area of visibility, they reduced the NO_x emissions by 44 percent, SO_2 emissions by 71 percent and particulate by 70-something, as well. A huge improvement with those items that cause visibility issues in the area.

It's my understanding that the improvements that are -- that we're facing with this issue today will not be visible, when we're talking about a visible -- a visibility requirement. I think that's why I call it insignificant. If it's going to be significant, then it's worth spending money on. Otherwise, it is not.

It's my contention that we should not do anything, because PNM has already taken that action by spending the money and improved the visibility tremendously in the process that they did and, along with that, achieved a mercury standard that I don't think is matched by any other coal-fired plant in the country.

So I think it's important we consider that in the process of our environmental deeds in this country. It's important that we make sure we have a clean environment, but it's also important that we focus our energies on those things that are the greatest of our problems in the process of doing that.

Thank you.

MS. ORTH: Thank you, Representative Taylor.

22 Are there others with time constraints this

23 morning?

24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good morning, ma'am,

25 members of the Board.

Commissioner Jim Henderson will be arriving. 1 2 We pulled him out of a meeting to get here. He will be 3 under a time constraint. He should be here within the 4 next few minutes, and I'm not sure if you can 5 accommodate him. 6 MS. ORTH: Yes. 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. Thank you. 8 MS. ORTH: Is there anyone else with a time 9 constraint or anyone else who is willing to make a 10 comment while -- before Commissioner Henderson comes? 11 Please, sir. 12 MR. GONZALES: Good morning. 13 THE REPORTER: Would you raise your right 14 hand, please. 15 JOSEPH R. GONZALES having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was 16 examined and testified as follows: 17 DIRECT TESTIMONY 18 19 THE REPORTER: Would you state and spell your full name, please. 20 21 MR. GONZALES: Joseph R. Gonzales, 22 G-O-N-Z-A-L-E-S. 23 I'm a retiree from San Juan Generating 24 Station. I was the operations manager for several 25 years, and I'm familiar with the environmental upgrades

that they've made.

What I wanted to talk to you about is totally different from what you've heard so far. I'm going to talk about the costs to the people in regard to their monthly bills. People have said, hey, it's only \$85 a year if we make the big jump on this environmental upgrade. What's \$85 a year?

Well, since I retired, I've been able to work with elderly people, hospice people. I'm a volunteer. I spend a lot of time with folks. And I've had the opportunity to stand in the state welfare office in line to get lighting paid, food stamps, fill out papers, for people that don't have \$85 a year, because some people think, wow.

Also, we could build the economy, we'll throw 10 million, 50 million, 100 million. Hey, 700 million, let's talk about real money, you know. And then people just have to pay this \$85 a year, and it's okay, because they can handle it.

Well, I'm only here to talk about those people who don't and can't handle it, you know. It's really them that are important to me. So I see the plan presented by PNM as a great alternative.

Finally, there's a time and place where there's choices, choices that can be good and actually

- 1 make a difference and also solve the problem. Normally
 2 it's not that way. Normally they're too difficult.
- 3 | There's too many fights going on between the two sides.
- But in this case, it's clear -- it's clearer
 to me than maybe some -- that in regard to the bigger
 picture, what our past mayor, Tom Taylor said, and Bill
 Sharer, I agree, there's a lot of information,
 technical, you'll hear all that stuff, but there's a lot
 of people the difference between \$11 a year and \$85 a
 - And it's not just \$85 a year, because PNM has asked for rate increases, and so if they ask for 21 percent and get 11, then next year they ask for some more. It keeps adding up. And when you have your parents in the 80s and 90s and you see some of these folks, and you know how much of a struggle it is, but if you go to that state welfare office and you line up with all those other people -- people in this room don't have to go there much, but in my case, I do.
 - So that's all I want to say, is wanted to talk for the people that can't talk for themselves.
- MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Gonzales.
- MR. TAYLOR: Dr. Henderson just walked in.
- 24 | He'll be here in -- well, I think a second or two.
- MS. ORTH: All right.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

year is big.

1	MR. HENDERSON: Good morning.
2	THE REPORTER: Would you raise your right hand
3	for me, please.
4	JAMES C. HENDERSON
5	having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was
6	examined and testified as follows:
7	DIRECT TESTIMONY
8	THE REPORTER: And would you state and spell
9	your full name.
10	MR. HENDERSON: Yes. Dr. James C. Henderson.
11	Did you get that?
12	THE REPORTER: Would you spell the last name.
13	MR. HENDERSON: H-E-N-D-E-R-S-O-N.
14	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
15	MS. ORTH: Whenever you're ready
16	MR. HENDERSON: Ready?
17	MS. ORTH: please make your statement.
18	MR. HENDERSON: Thank you.
19	Well, good morning, and thank you for allowing
20	me the time to speak.
21	And I identified myself, and I'm chairman pro
22	tem of the San Juan County Commission. And today I'm
23	here to voice my concern in regards to the issue of
24	regional haze as related to the state's implementation
Ω Γ	

plan of which we fully support.

25

As you know, in New Mexico, coal- and natural gas-fired power provides more than 70 percent of the electricity needed by more than a million customers.

I would be remiss if I did not recognize the efforts PNM has made in its implementation of renewable energy to meet state requirements. However, even with this implementation, coal and natural gas will continue to provide most of the electricity to meet the demands and needs for the customer.

I also believe that the efforts the plant has made in emissions reductions, which outperforms the set limits and health-based emission standards, is an indicator to the reasons why adopting the state's plan is reasonable.

I feel it is important that the EIB consider a fair and balanced approach and look closely at the overall impact that this decision has on the economic status, quality of life of its residents and the future welfare of jobs that accompanies such a decision.

I want to say, too, that I don't know if you intend to do an impact -- economic impact study, but I can tell you under the EPA side, and I've got a US senator that wrote them, saying that anything that was done, any study, BART whatever, should include the economic impact on the community.

And they replied and said yes, that should be included. But I don't hear people talk a lot about the impact.

The state's plan brings a balance and fairness. For example, the state proposal would further reduce the plant's emissions of NO_x by 20 percent, and SO_2 limits would also be reduced by 20 percent. In addition, the state's proposal would cost customers seven times less than that of the EPA's proposal.

It is for that reason that the Environmental Improvement Board should adopt the draft State Implementation Plan as proposed by the New Mexico Environment Department. We support this plan because it strikes the appropriate balance between minimizing cost to the customer and further protects the environment.

We do not support the federal plan as it would increase the average PNM residential customer's electricity bill seven times more than the state's plan. And furthermore, the impact to local governments, schools, et cetera, would also create even a greater financial strain in already woeful budgets.

I think we've got to get this into a balance and understand that if you're looking from the outside, and we're looking at economic development, don't think for a minute that a site locator -- they send the big

companies on location out, they look very carefully at what's happening in your state, and we can't be very proud of what's happening in the State of New Mexico.

So I just mention that.

2.4

From an employment standpoint, the impact would be even more catastrophic. We're just estimating. I hope you folks will make that more certain. An estimated 4,000 jobs has already been lost in this county over the past three years.

Now, that's what I'm getting to. We can't stand that. And we've got both of those fire -- coal-fired power plants out there under attack, and we've got to get somewhat reasonable, or you're going to have such an impact on this community that we won't be able to recover.

The San Juan Generating Station and the San Juan Coal Mine that supplies fuel to the generating station are major employers in the Four Corners and contribute to the economic health of both the state and the region. The power plants in San Juan County are our two largest taxpayers. The San Juan plant purchases an estimated \$30 million in materials and supplies each year and operates approximately 122 million in contracts for outside services.

Our concern for the federal plan is that it is

87102

1 only expected to perceivably improve visibility in one 2 of sixteen parks and wilderness areas, which given the 3 cost is not reasonable. 4 In conclusion, I ask the Environmental 5 Improvement Board strongly consider the state's plan. 6 As a local government, we are simply asking for balance, 7 fairness and consideration to all of the impacts this decision will have. 8 9 Thank you. MS. ORTH: 10 Thank you, Dr. Henderson. Is there anyone else who would like to make a 11 12 public comment before we hear from San Juan Coal 13 Company? 14 Ma'am. 15 ELOISE BROWN 16 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: 17 18 DIRECT TESTIMONY 19 THE REPORTER: Would you state and spell your full name, please. 20 21 Eloise, E-L-O-I-S-E, Brown. MS. BROWN: 22 THE REPORTER: And would you speak loudly. Thank you. 23 24 MS. BROWN: Good morning, everybody. 25 Okay. Dooda Desert Rock is an ad hoc Navajo

(505) 243-5018 - Fax (505) 243-3606

public interest -- Navajo public interest association
that has formed in December of 2006 in response to an
invasion of the residents of Chaco Rio and Ram Springs
area by agents of a large corporation that was engaged
in exploratory activities on the lands of the Navajo
members. Association members confronted the corporation
in court.

Can you guys hear me? Okay.

And since then, Dooda Desert Rock has consistently advocated for the interests of the Navajo against corporate interests, of their own government, where action has been proposed or taken to injure the public health and welfare of the people of the area.

The core problem. Dooda Desert Rock joins others, notably those who want to return to the Four Corners area to pristine air quality, as befits it, and those who want to make the area attractive for visitors. People do not want to see Shiprock, the Four Corners Monument, Ute Mountain, Mesa Verde and other attractions of the area.

There is a serious discussion of the possibility of making the Shiprock and its surrounding area a new state park, but there is general recognition that existing air quality mars the attractiveness of the area.

There is a bigger problem than that. Air pollution and regional haze are only symptom of larger problems. I will return again and again until someone listens and responds to the documentation that tells us that the haze we are talking about is, in fact, part of the public health hazard.

The fact that the Four Corners Power Plant and the San Juan Generating Station endanger the health of the Navajos was documented in July, 2006 report of the United States Geological Survey, identified as an air quality issue for the proposed Desert Rock Power Plant by the San Francisco office of the Environmental Protection Agency, with follow-up recommendations that were ignored by the Bureau of Indian Affairs in handling the air permit, the confirmed -- and confirmed by the -- by a professional paper of seven academics in a research article in the Journal of Environmental and Public Health, 2010.

Despite the USGS report, a warning by the regional EPA office and confirmation of the public health hazard, no agency of the United States or the State of New Mexico has paid attention to the issue and done follow-up queries to the Shiprock Indian Health Service hospital to confirm the situation for action.

Dooda Desert Rock filed a discrimination

action against Environmental Protection Agency for failure to act on its knowledge of the health risk to Navajos, and its response -- and not only Navajos, but people live -- that live in the area -- and its response was that it can discriminate against Navajo under Title VI of the United States Civil Rights Act of 1964 because, although it requires the agency to deal with the private persons who discriminate, the law does not prohibit its acts of discrimination.

The agency's environmental justice programs are only feel good publicity efforts, and they do

Navajos no good. The finding is that the two power plants pollute the air around Shiprock and cause respiratory illnesses for Shiprock residents under the age of five and over the age of fifty-six, are more than twice as likely to need treatment for respiratory problems than the rest of the area residents.

However, Shiprock residents are more than five times as likely to be seen at the Northern Navajo

Medical Center for respiratory complaints than residents of other nearby communities.

Mother Earth and tradition. There is no recognition in international law that Mother Earth has standing to complain of environmental hazards, and Dooda Desert Rock complains to you in her name that this is no

joke, and there are initiatives and the United Nations to declare the rights of Mother Earth.

Navajos know that our Changing Woman is a manifestation of the Mother Earth and the fundamental laws proclaimed a natural law -- the natural law rights she has.

Regional haze degrades Mother Earth, and it shames her. It must be prevented.

In my conclusion, we of Dooda Desert Rock, speaking with Mother Earth and with residents of the Shiprock area whose health is jeopardized, call upon the Air Quality Bureau or the Environmental Improvement Board -- Department to take all necessary action to abate haze in our area.

Thank you very much.

MS. ORTH: Thank you, Ms. Brown.

May I have your written statement?

Is there anyone else who would like to offer public comment before we hear from San Juan Coal?

If I forgot to say it before, please do sign in. The sign-in sheets are at the door back there. We use the sign-in sheets as evidence of public participation.

Sir.

2.4

GORDON GLASS

having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

THE REPORTER: Would you state and spell your name, please.

GORDON GLASS: Gordon Glass, G-L-A-S-S.

Thank you for having this hearing in

Farmington. I do wish that you would use a microphone.

Some of us older, less well-hearing people have a hard

time, and there's one right behind you, if they could

set it up, and it would be helpful, for future speakers.

I'm standing here today because I assume that each of you is on this Board because some part of your life you have decided to operate in an excellent and a long-term fashion, that you did the work necessary to be able to be who you are and what you do, you put in the time, and you put in the effort, spent the money to become excellent at something.

And I think that actually is the choice we're talking about. As we talk about 40 more years of this plant, do we want it to be marginal, or do we want it to be excellent? Do we want to do the cheapest thing, or do we want to do the best thing? Do we want to pay ahead for the people coming? Because I know that I'm

not going to be here in 2050. Do we want to pay ahead for the people coming that we'll have the best possible use?

We are dependent on coal and coal-fired electricity right now. That's a reality that we have created in this way. But change is coming, and it seems to me like the choice before you is whether you want to do something of excellence or whether we want to take the marginal way out.

I don't minimize, like some people, the effect of this, the health effects, visibility effects. Those are real. And we're talking about 40 years of it. And we can do better. And so the -- the least effective is not the best for the long-term. We want to do the -- we want to do the best, I know for my grandchildren and children beyond. But also, we need to realize that we're going to pay one way or the other on the transition of energy.

I can say personally I've invested in rooftop solar, and I'm a clean energy producer. I produce twice what I use, and I'm willing to bear that cost. And I'm able to bear that cost. I understand that. And many other people can, and some other people can't.

But the reality is the difference in cost here is something that the society can absorb. And the

company should absorb part of that, as well.

And so what I would ask you to do is consider that excellence and long-term thinking is a tremendous issue for our country, and you're being faced with just one of the many decisions that need to be done.

I'd like to say that the city of Farmington for more than 20 years did not raise water rates, because people don't like rates being raised. And then, as the infrastructure began to crumble, they had to raise water rights considerably. And people are paying a lot for water right now. This week our golf course is paying a lot for water use right now.

But part of that was because we didn't buy into excellence over time. We fought for and we sought cheap solutions.

And so I ask you to consider that these are important factors here and that doing the best does cost. And we are in a society like no other society in the world in that we have -- we can afford to do the best, like, for the most part, we've always done.

Thank you.

MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Glass.

Sir.

205

MIKE EISENFELD

2 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was 3 examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

5 THE REPORTER: State and spell your full name, 6 please.

7 MR. EISENFELD: Mike Eisenfeld,

 $8 \quad E-I-S-E-N-F-E-L-D.$

1

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9 THE REPORTER: Thank you.

MR. EISENFELD: EIB, thank you, and welcome to Farmington. I appreciate your being here.

My name is Mike Eisenfeld. I live with my family here in Farmington. I work for an organization called the San Juan Citizens Alliance.

We're a -- here in Farmington, we're a dynamic community with multi-jurisdictional issues. We, of course, have the four states, three EPA regions, tribal lands. We have a lot going on here. There's a lot of us who are invested in our community.

Clean Air Act compliance and a regional haze program, what we're talking about at today's hearing, is an issue that first came up in 1999, a sufficient time for PNM to comply with the regional haze program.

The most recent State Implementation Plan doesn't meet the Best Available Retrofit Technology

- 1 | requirements. Selective noncatalytic reduction is not
- 2 | Best Available Retrofit Technology. The 20 to
- 3 40 percent reduction in NO_x , nitrogen oxides, is
- 4 insufficient.
- 5 PNM has recently filed their intentions to
- 6 keep San Juan Generating Station online until 2053.
- 7 With that should come a requirement to step up pollution
- 8 | reduction. Again, the San Juan Generating Station is
- 9 18th in the nation in nitrogen oxide emissions, which
- 10 has a dramatic impact on regional haze and public
- 11 health.
- Due to the fact that we're relied on for
- 13 | 50 percent of PNM's electricity with the San Juan
- 14 | Generating Station for the state, we expect a commitment
- 15 | from PNM to address some of the issues that have been
- 16 | happening for decades.
- 17 Ozone attainment is very possible for San Juan
- 18 | County. We need to address the NO, emissions from San
- 19 | Juan Generating Station as part of the ozone issue that
- 20 | could come into play as soon as July of 2011.
- 21 We request that the EIB take an honest
- 22 | appraisal of ways to address equity issues of San Juan
- 23 Generating Station and the real costs to our community
- 24 | in continued reliance on coal.
- 25 Thank you very much.

1 MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Eisenfeld. 2 Ma'am. 3 MS. COLLINS: Here's a copy for each of the commission. 4 5 MS. ORTH: Thank you. 6 DEANNA COLLINS 7 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: 8 9 DIRECT TESTIMONY 10 THE REPORTER: State and spell your full name, 11 please. 12 MS. COLLINS: Deanna Collins, C-O-L-L-I-N-S. 13 And I'm with the League of Women Voters of La Plata 14 County. 15 And I'll be reading a statement for the 16 league. 17 The League of Women Voters of La Plata County 18 in Colorado urges the Environmental Improvement Board to 19 reject the New Mexico Environment Department's revisions 20 to the Regional Haze Rule in the New Mexico State 21 Implementation Plan and to support the EPA's plan 22 requiring the San Juan Generating Station to install SCR 23 technology to reduce the plant's nitrogen oxide 24 emissions by 83 percent.

25

Installation of the SCR technology at the San

Juan Generating Station would improve the health and economic opportunities, provide cleaner air and address environmental justice for people in the Four Corners, many of whom are low income and live below the poverty level.

La Plata County borders San Juan County, New Mexico, in which the San Juan Generating Station is located. Prevailing winds carry pollutants from the coal-fired power plant to our county in Southwest Colorado, as well as all counties of the Four Corners region and four sovereign tribes.

The proposed revisions to New Mexico's good neighbor provisions of the State Implementation Plan of the Clean Air Act would reduce haze significantly less than the EPA proposal. The SCR technology proposed by the EPA would have almost a four fold NO_{x} control effectiveness over the SNCR technology proposed in the revised State Implementation Plan.

Nitrogen oxide, a major cause of haze, is also a precursor to ground level ozone, which is regulated by the EPA. Should the EPA lower their ozone standard this summer, La Plata County could be in nonattainment.

The San Juan Generating Station is one of the major sources of nitrogen oxide in the area's air shed.

Our EPA region, state, nor county has any regulatory

authority over the pollutants that are in our air from New Mexico, including the power plant, and we could potentially have difficulty coming into attainment because of pollutants that are not in our jurisdiction.

A decision factor used for the proposed revisions was economic information provided by PNM of New Mexico. They estimated a rate increase of \$82 per year per residential ratepayer from the installation of SCR technology.

A recent study, which is referred to in the letter, on the health impacts from the San Juan Generating Station estimated that in 2010 33 deaths, 50 heart attacks, 600 asthma attacks and 23 hospital admissions resulted from pollution from the power plant. The resulting health care costs were estimated at 255 million for that year.

This figure breaks down to over 600 per person cost per capita in the 10 counties in the Four Corners region, which is significantly larger than the estimated rate increase, and is incurred by residents that receive little or no benefit from the electricity produced at the San Juan Generating Station.

National parks and monuments, wilderness areas and forests bring tremendous economic benefit to the Four Corners region. We rely on tourism and natural

1 resources they provide for long-term economic growth and 2 sustainability. With increased oil and gas development, 3 overgrazing and prolonged drought, combined with 4 coal-fired power plant pollution, we have seen a 5 continual deterioration in our air quality. 6 The League of Women Voters of La Plata County 7 urges the New Mexico Environmental Board as a good 8 neighbor to require the most stringent of technologies to be installed at the San Juan Generating Station. 9 10 also urge you to promote cleaner forms of energy in New Mexico that encourage environmental justice and overall 11 12 health of the region. 13 Thank you. 14 MS. ORTH: Thank you, Ms. Collins. 15 Is there anyone else who would like to make comment? 16 Sir. 17 JAMES CRAWFORD 18 19 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was 2.0 examined and testified further as follows: FURTHER DIRECT TESTIMONY 21 22 THE REPORTER: State and spell your full name, 23 please.

24

25

C-R-A-W-F-O-R-D.

MR. CRAWFORD: I'm James Crawford, J-A-M-E-S

Madam Chairman and Board members, I spoke to you yesterday, and I just couldn't help myself, I had to add one thing today. So what I'm going to talk about is in my written comments, but I just need to bring this up.

The main thrust of what we're being asked to do is aimed at $\rm NO_x$ emissions. And I -- if you look closely in the record, you will find that $\rm NO_x$ is a very minor part of all the pollution at -- measured at the monitoring sites.

You can look at NMED Exhibit 11, Figures 71 through 715. For instance, on the worst days, NO_x only makes up 8 percent of the pollutants at Bandelier and only 7.1 at Wheeler Peak Wilderness. And it's the same for almost all other Class I areas.

So I guess my question is why is the only action proposed in this plan one of using a sledgehammer to drive a thumb tack?

We're spending a huge amount of money on a very insignificant part of the problem. The plan isn't really addressing all of the major -- if you look at those pie charts in the plan, you know, the major pollutants are not NO_x . And what PNM is being asked to do is just further address the NO_x problem.

So, you know, I think we're addressing the

1 wrong problem. 2 Thank you. 3 MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Crawford. Anyone else? 4 BILL HAGLER 5 6 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was 7 examined and testified as follows: DIRECT TESTIMONY 8 9 THE REPORTER: State and spell your full name, 10 please. 11 MR. HAGLER: Bill Hagler, H-A-G-L-E-R. 12 THE REPORTER: Thank you. 13 MR. HAGLER: Good morning. I'm here to talk to you today about the EIB 14 15 Case 11-01(R), the haze proposal for San Juan Generating 16 Station. 17 I'm a small business owner in Farmington. I've lived here for 42 years. I served 25 years on the 18 19 Farmington Public Utility Commission. I'm a former 2.0 member of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Board, 21 and currently serve as vice-president for the New Mexico 22 Utility Shareholders Alliance. 23 I share this information so you will understand that I have both a vested interest and 24

knowledge of the decision before you.

25

PNM fully upgraded the San Juan Station in 2009 and significantly lowered the plant's emission of nitrogen oxide, sulfur dioxide, mercury, particulate matter. The fact that the EPA would be here less than two years later to impose further regulation on this recently upgraded plant is troubling, especially at a time when so many of us are struggling.

However, if regional haze must be addressed, I strongly urge you to adopt the state's plan. The technology difference between this plan and the EPA's plan, which is more -- less cost effective, will produce a negligible difference in the haze situation being addressed, but at staggering difference in costs.

The EPA Region 6 plan for San Juan has -- San Juan Generating Station has an estimated cost of \$750 million to \$1 billion, or at least \$85 a year for the average customer, compared to \$77 million, or \$12, for the state's plan.

In addition, the San Juan Generating Station and San Juan Coal Mine who supplies the fuel are both major employers, as have been pointed out in this region, and they contribute to the economic health of our area. The power plant and the mine employs nearly 1,000 people full-time, at good -- good wages.

The plant pays millions of dollars each year

1 in government, tribal and property taxes, as well as 2 coal royalties. San Juan also purchases 30 million in 3 materials and supplies, 122 million in contracts for outside services. 4 5 So this is a major economic engine in this 6 area, as Dr. Henderson pointed out. 7 I strongly urge you to adopt the State 8 Implementation Plan. It will help preserve the jobs and the economy in this region. While being more sensitive 9 10 to the costs, it will ultimately be borne by the 11 ratepayers. 12 Thank you. 13 MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Hagler. Anyone else like to make public comment? 14 There will be one more invitation after we've 15 heard from San Juan Coal Company. 16 17 Sir. DOYLE MEYER 18 19 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was 2.0 examined and testified as follows: DIRECT TESTIMONY 21 22 THE REPORTER: State and spell your full name, 23 please. 24 MR. MEYER: Doyle Meyer, D-O-Y-L-E M-E-Y-E-R. 25 THE REPORTER: Thank you.

MR. MEYER: Well, I'll be short.

Recently the Tennessee Valley Authority announced that under pressure from the EPA they were closing 18 units. And I don't know how they're planning on replacing the electricity from those 18 units. If they replace it with nuclear energy, it will cut the rates to the end user about in half.

There are a couple of plants being built right now in the south. If they go to natural gas plants, their rates will be about two to three times what it would be for coal. If they go to wind energy, it will also be two to three times more expensive to produce than coal energy. If they go to solar somehow or another, it will be four to eight times more expensive to produce electricity from a solar source than it would be from a coal-fired plant.

I'm retired, and I would really hate to see my rates double, triple, quadruple because of actions that force a plant to close, like it looks like the APS plant is going to be doing.

And I just recommend that we go with the state plan, simply because it will probably allow the plants to continue in operation for some time to come. If they close -- if actions on the part of the Board requires the plants to close some of the units, it will

1 inevitably cause our rates to increase without, in my 2 mind at least, significantly improving the air quality 3 in this area. 4 Thank you. 5 MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Meyer. 6 Anyone else? 7 All right. Mr. Roybal, we'll turn to San Juan 8 Coal Company. 9 MR. ROYBAL: Good morning, Madam Hearing 10 Officer, members of the Board, and Madam Chairman. 11 Charles Roybal from BHP Billiton and San Juan 12 Coal Company. 13 Welcome to San Juan County and Farmington, New I really hope that you're enjoying your time 14 15 here and this wonderful facility, San Juan Community College. We're very happy to host you and have you 16 here. The members of our community, our leaders and --17 18 feel it's very important that you are able to do that. 19 San Juan Coal Company will have two witnesses, 20 and I'd like to have them presented one at a time. Our first witness is Mr. John Cline. 21 22 THE REPORTER: I will ask you both to raise 23 your right hands. 24 (JOHN CLINE and NORMAN BENALLY were duly sworn 25 or affirmed.)

1 THE REPORTER: Thank you.

MR. CLINE: My name is John Cline, J-O-H-N

 $3 \quad C-L-I-N-E$.

4 JOHN CLINE

5 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was

6 examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. ROYBAL:

7

- 9 Q. Mr. Cline, did you prepare written prefiled 10 testimony in this case?
- 11 A. I did.
- Q. Have you had -- for whom did you provide that testimony?
- 14 A. For the San Juan Coal Company.
- Q. And have you had the opportunity to review that testimony, and do you have any corrections or
- 17 | addition to that testimony?
- 18 A. I have, and I have no revisions to offer.
- 19 Q. Do you adopt that written testimony as your 20 own?
- 21 A. I do.
- Q. Could you give the Board a little bit of your background and credentials?
- A. Sure.
- 25 Madam Hearing Officer, Madam Chairman and

other members of the Board, as I said, my name is John
Cline. I'm a lawyer, licensed in the commonwealth of
Virginia. I have a solo practice that focuses on energy
and environmental issues, with a particular emphasis on
Clean Air Act matters.

I have a JD degree from the University of Richmond and a bachelor of science in chemical engineering from the University of Virginia.

I've been involved in Clean Air Act matters for over 30 years. You can see I've got the gray hair and lack of hair to prove it. In that capacity, I represent primarily mining, industrial, electrical and transportation sectors of the economy.

With respect to regional haze, from 2004 to 2009, I represented a coalition of large industrial and utility companies in the Southeast with operations throughout that portion of the United States. I have also represented individual clients on matters related to visibility protection, including the determination of BART and reasonable progress.

MR. ROYBAL: With that, Madam Hearing Officer, we offer Mr. Cline's testimony as evidence in this hearing.

MS. ORTH: All right.

And he's ready to stand for questions?

MR. ROYBAL: Yes. Well, no. He will 1 2 summarize his testimony. We were just offering --MS. ORTH: The exhibit. 3 MR. ROYBAL: -- the exhibit. Yes. 4 MS. ORTH: 5 Yes, sir. 6 Are there objections? 7 Hearing none, it's admitted. 8 Thank you. (Exhibit SJCC B admitted into evidence.) 9 10 Q. (BY MR. ROYBAL) Mr. Cline, would you please 11 summarize your written testimony. 12 Α. The purpose of my testimony on behalf of San 13 Juan Coal Company is to strongly encourage the Board's adoption of the state plan for BART for the San Juan 14 15 Generating Station. 16 And in doing so, we hope to help the Board understand that Congress delegated broad discretion to 17 each state to account for local conditions in 18 19 circumstances when the state determined BART on a 20 source-specific basis by balancing several factors that 21 are designated in the statute. 22 Now, yesterday the Board heard public comments 23 such as the following: 24 The proposed SIP is on shaky grounds. 25 It conflicts with EPA's findings.

The Department is not using good science, nor is it using good policy.

The Department must have data that quantifies a balance between the cost and the health impacts.

Well, each of those statements is simply wrong, because they're based on a premise that really isn't supported by the Clean Air Act.

However, instead of focusing so much on EPA's BART determination and how that was made, we believe that the Department focus has been correctly based on what Congress intended it to do, and they determined it appropriately.

I have to take an aside here and just address best available control technology -- Best Available Retrofit Technology.

And there is a rather broad misperception that best in that terminology means maximum emission reductions. That is not the case. Best available control technology means the best technology selected on a case-by-case basis for a specific source considering five specific factors that Congress identified.

Now, Congress enacted the visability protection program in 1977. And it's not well known, however, that in 1980 EPA promulgated visibility regulations. These were addressed toward what is called

reasonably attributable visibility impairment, or RAVI.

But it's important to note that EPA promulgated these regulations in keeping with the statute, and they even had BART guidelines at that particular time.

Now, there's a fundamental principal of statutory construction that most courts will give more weight to an agency's interpretation of the statute when that interpretation is made contemporaneously with the statutory provisions that were enacted.

And that's particularly meaningful here, because if you go back in history and look at how EPA addressed this particular program in the early 1980s, it provides some revealing illustrations of how BART should be determined.

Unfortunately, in the current determinations for BART and the BART guidelines, EPA has not continued to emphasize the congressional preference for states to make BART determinations. So that if you look back at some of the statements that EPA made in 1980, and will juxtapose those to what EPA's done in the future.

I believe you have copies of my direct testimony, so I don't plan on reading all of it, but I must read a couple statements that EPA made.

For example, "The visibility regulations give the States a great deal of flexibility in determining

the measures they choose to secure -- to assure
reasonable progress. As the Act requires, EPA has
provided measures for the States to consider, but left
the actual 'mix' of those measures adopted to the
States' discretion."

So there is no mandate under the Clean Air Act that it must be done a certain way.

EPA further said that the state retains final authority for the development of the SIP, BART determinations and implementation of the visibility regulations.

Now, as I mentioned, Congress, of course, mandated that there be five specific factors that must be considered when determining BART. To that end, I want you to pay attention to what EPA said about those factors.

"It is, of course, not possible to provide more specific guidance on how a State should balance the various BART factors while simultaneously giving the State more flexibility to strike the balance it deems appropriate in light of local and site-specific considerations. A strictly quantitative approach, however, is not necessarily a better alternative.

"The procedures outlined in the 1980 BART Guidelines allow States to consider local conditions and

circumstances in their BART decisionmaking. This recognizes that States have the most complete knowledge of local factors that would affect the BART decision."

So in other words, there is no single right answer for BART, but it's clear that under the law Congress very much wanted the states to make the determination.

Now, that determination is supposed to be made by a reasonable balance by the state. That's not to say that EPA or some other third party could also come up with their reasonable balance. But the prerogative is that the state -- it is not up to EPA to second-guess, nor other third parties to second-guess that determination.

Yesterday, the Board also heard the following public comment, "SCR is mandated and required by the Clean Air Act."

Well, that statement is flatly wrong. There is nothing within the Clean Air Act provisions 169A or 169B that would compel such a conclusion.

So let's briefly consider some of the basic flaws of EPA's analysis of how it determined BART.

EPA's determination has not resulted from a balancing of statutory factors in any meaningful way that reflect New Mexico's particular circumstances and

1 | concerns.

EPA's determination has not been substantively informed by any source-specific or site-specific matters.

EPA's BART determination did not even consider potential visibility improvement in all of the Class I areas in New Mexico.

In short, EPA's BART determination consists of a very narrow analytical methodology that seems designed to produce a predetermined result.

In effect, it's no secret that EPA would like to have SCR installed on every coal-fired power plant that refuses to shut down.

EPA's so-called five-factor BART analysis really degrades into an analysis of cost effectiveness.

And yesterday there was a lot of emphasis and discussion about cost effectiveness in terms of dollars per ton.

Now, that is a number that EPA typically uses. They require it in the BART guidelines. But stop and think about it. Dollars per ton of pollutant reduced says nothing about the effectiveness of visibility improvement. I mean, for my money, I would like to see dollars spent per deciview of visibility improvement.

But the BART guidelines very much emphasize dollar per ton. In fact, that is not the sole, but the

very utmost primary determinant in EPA's BART

determination. Now, the Department used that same

metric, but fortunately, they didn't put all their eggs

in one basket.

On the other hand, the Department's methodology for determining BART is a measured application of EPA's BART guidelines which reflects an appropriate weighting of the statutory factors to accommodate local conditions and circumstances just as Congress intended.

EPA's BART determination, for example, has been performed in a vacuum, whereas the state's determination is just a part of a much broader regional haze plan that integrates that BART determination into the rest of their planning.

And make no mistake about it, this is not a one-shot deal. As Ms. Uhl testified yesterday, this is a very young program. There will be a series of planning steps, and the Board will have other bites of the apple for Public Service New Mexico as well as a variety of other sources.

Contrary to EPA's one-size-fits-all approach to determining BART, the Department's consideration of statutory factors have been weighed according to source-specific matters, in particular, the relative

- 1 levels of adverse economic impacts on residents of New
- 2 Mexico and the specific challenges posed by this
- 3 particular BART retrofit for a forced draft boiler, such
- 4 as those at San Juan.
- I believe that the Department should be
- 6 applauded for applying the statutory factors in the
- 7 | manner in which Congress originally intended.
- 8 So in conclusion, Congress had a clear vision
- 9 of how BART should be determined. To that end, the
- 10 Department's BART determination fulfills that vision.
- 11 | EPA's determination, on the other hand, does not. For
- 12 | that reason, San Juan Coal Company strongly encourages
- 13 | you to adopt the state's determination.
- 14 Thank you for letting me speak to you.
- MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Cline.
- 16 MR. ROYBAL: We offer the witness for
- 17 questions.
- MS. ORTH: Thank you.
- 19 Mr. Grantham, do you have questions of
- 20 Mr. Cline?
- 21 MR. GRANTHAM: No questions.
- MS. ORTH: Mr. Alvidrez?
- MR. ALVIDREZ: No questions.
- MS. ORTH: Mr. Rose?
- MR. ROSE: No questions.

1 MS. ORTH: Anyone else?

The Board.

3 EXAMINATION

4 BY THE BOARD:

5 MS. ORTH: Member Ryan.

6 MS. RYAN: Mr. Cline, thank you for being

7 here. I appreciate the congressional and regulatory

8 | history that you've outlined to us for the Board. I

9 | think that is important when we're looking at

10 | implementing regulations for our state and the -- you

11 know, the intent that went behind them many years ago.

12 I know that you have had involvement in these

13 | SIPs with other states, and so I'm particularly wanting

14 | to know -- you've termed EPA's one-size-fits-all

15 proposal, and I'd like you to discuss that as

16 | specifically as you can on -- is the proposal that the

17 | EPA has outlined for the San Juan Generating Station the

18 | same that it has done -- I mean, is it pretty much the

19 | same as it's tried to do in other states, or, you know,

20 | is it -- is it different?

Is it putting New Mexico in the same box with

22 | other states?

MR. CLINE: Yes, ma'am, it is. It very much

24 is.

One of the frustrating parts about this

regulatory program is you have the regulations, and you have a series of informal guidance memoranda issued by

EPA staff that purports to implement the regulations,

but in actuality goes far beyond what the regulations

and certainly what the statute requires.

Now, EPA will politely say, "The states have discretion. They make the determination. But remember, we have to approve your SIP."

So there's that thumb that they hold on the states, and they make no bones about a strong preference for SCR.

And they support that determination primarily through their cost analyses that comes up with this bogus dollar per ton figure that is reasonable in EPA's eyes. It's -- there's no bright line test, and the states have no idea what it might be until they make the determination, then they hear from EPA.

But I would say that in every case that I'm aware of any state that has made a BART determination for a coal-fired power plant that has not proposed SCR has received considerable push back from EPA.

MS. RYAN: So you're saying that the SIPs that have been proposed to the EPA have not been approved or in a delayed process of approval or --

MR. CLINE: That's correct. Yes.

MS. RYAN: Okay.

MR. CLINE: The whole regulatory program for regional haze and BART has this terrible history of start and stop, start and stop. I mean, the statute was enacted in '77, and here we're just getting around to regional haze regulations in 1999 that were changed in 2005.

But there are no -- well, there may be a couple BART determinations that EPA has approved to date, but curiously, they're approving not the SIP, but just the BART determination that selects SCR as the EPA prefers.

That's not really logical to me. It's supposed to be a regional haze SIP, and why you would sever the BART from everything else -- I'm not even sure if that's lawful. But again, I -- at the risk of sounding paranoid, I know that EPA wants us to, and if the state has offered that, they'll approve that.

MS. RYAN: So do you think the PNM and NMED's, you know, SIP proposal is a bit pointless, or do you think it's worth trying?

MR. CLINE: Oh, I think under the circumstances it's worth trying. The gentleman that offered the public comment earlier about this focus on ${\rm NO}_{\rm x}$ really is kind of misleading, because nitrates are a

very small part of the visibility problem in New Mexico.

But having said that, the state does have a mandate to come up with a regional haze SIP for the period from 2004 to 2018. And the one thing that's clear they must do is make some BART determination for NO_{x} emissions. As we heard yesterday, the equivalent for SO_{2} is already incorporated within the regional backstop trading program.

So yes, the step for $\mathrm{NO_x}$ BART for PNM is probably appropriate. I think they should be given all the credit in the world for the reasonable progress that they achieved with the recent retrofits that were made. That's a big step and, in many people's minds, could be BART in and of itself. But as I understand, PNM has agreed to take the next step and go with SNCR.

And keeping in mind that there will be another regional haze plan, another regional haze plan, another and another.

MS. RYAN: So is my understanding correct that -- I know that there's like an August deadline for EPA approval. If we were to implement the SIP, could they approve it? And you're saying they could approve it in part and not in part. And if so, would our state be given time to amend or revise our SIP and resubmit it?

MR. CLINE: You know, that's -- I can't really
answer that question. That's going to be an EPA
determination. I mean, clearly the way the law reads,
the State of New Mexico and a whole bunch of other
states missed a deadline for submitting a regional haze
request.

And that started a two-year clock once the EPA made that finding. If they didn't submit it by then, then EPA says we could step in and propose a FIP, presumably a regional haze FIP, and not just BART.

What latitude the State of New Mexico may have vis-a-vis the court settlement that established the state, I believe it's now August of this year, for the SIP -- I don't know how much flexibility there is.

MS. RYAN: Thank you.

MS. ORTH: Member Bryce.

MR. BRYCE: Mr. Cline, in your opinion, is the -- if the SIP were to be submitted, is there anything that you think should be further evaluated or changed in the SIP to less offend the EPA?

MR. CLINE: I really can't think of anything.

I mean, I know they read -- made -- EPA made some rather substantive comments, and I've seen the Department's step-by-step response to those. I thought those responses were thorough and appropriate.

MR. BRYCE: Okay. That's all I have.

Thanks.

MS. ORTH: Other --

MR. VOLKERDING: I just want to make a comment, and then maybe you can follow up on a comment on that. It kind of brings a little bit of this together.

Is -- in the rule, EPA developed what they call presumptive BART limits based on a source of -- what kind of source it is and what kind of fuel. And under EPA's own presumptive BART, the limits that are being set forth by the state SIP are less than EPA's presumptive BART.

So I just throw that out there. If we're concerned about what EPA might do, we are at least -- the state's plan is less than presumptive BART. And I don't know if you want to follow up on that.

MR. CLINE: Well, that's a great point. And I've seen the presumptive BART limits used for you and against you dependent upon where you are on that line.

The BART guidelines are not the Holy Grail.

The statute says EPA must establish BART guidelines for power plants greater than 750 megawatts. But those are guidelines and don't really carry the weight of regulations, in my mind. And so there should be some

1 flexibility. 2 But the state would have reason to believe 3 that if it selected a limit that met the presumptive 4 limit, that should satisfy the agency. 5 MR. VOLKERDING: Thanks. 6 MS. ORTH: Other Board questions? 7 MS. PEACOCK: Yes. 8 Mr. Cline, just to clarify, are you saying that EPA has never adopted any SNCR BARTs or SIPs from 9 10 states? MR. CLINE: I'm certainly not familiar with 11 12 any, not for a coal-fired power plant. No. EPA's SIP 13 approval for regional haze SIPs -- you could probably 14 count them on one hand, and those came up yesterday, and 15 I believe the Black & Veatch people mentioned Idaho, or 16 maybe it was Oregon. Yeah. And there's a handful of 17 others that EPA has proposed to approve the BART determination. 18 19 In each case, I believe that is SCR. 2.0 MS. ORTH: Other Board questions? 21 Other Board questions? 22 Mr. Roybal, do you have any redirect? 23 MR. ROYBAL: Just a couple of clarifications,

24

25

Madam Hearing Officer.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. ROYBAL:

1

- Q. Mr. Cline, any reference in your testimony to BACT, I think you were talking about BART in every other instance?
- A. That's correct. Yeah. If I said BACT -- as
 was pointed out yesterday, that is a new source review
 terminology. BART, with the R, stands for retrofit,
 obviously, for existing sources.
- Q. And finally, it is your conclusion that you would support the adoption of the NMED proposal for this --
- 13 A. Very much so.
- 14 MR. ROYBAL: Okay. Nothing further.
- MS. ORTH: Thank you.
- 16 Is there any reason not to excuse Mr. Cline?
- 17 MR. GRANTHAM: Madam Hearing Officer.
- MS. ORTH: Yes.
- 19 MR. GRANTHAM: I just had one follow-up
- 20 question.
- 21 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MR. GRANTHAM:
- Q. Mr. Cline, in your testimony, you referred to there being SIP revisions down the road, every five years, and I think you used the phrase "another bite at

the apple."

And my question is is it your opinion that this Board will have another chance to revisit the San Juan Generating Station in particular with regard to regional haze?

A. Oh, yeah, very much so. They won't be able to make another BART determination for NO_{x} , but the -- the Board would have the obligation to adopt future regional haze SIPs that achieve reasonable progress in the future, and if the Department determines that reasonable progress may be achieved through further reductions for San Juan Generating Station, that certainly is the goal.

MR. GRANTHAM: Thank you.

MS. ORTH: Anything else?

FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY THE BOARD:

MR. CASCIANO: I do have one more question.

Mr. Cline, we've heard allusion to health effects from emissions, and I wondered if you could talk about how that is supposed to be considered with the BART determination and whether the Department has done that properly in your opinion, per the Clean Air Act for this application?

MR. CLINE: Sure. The regional haze program is kind of different from most Clean Air Act programs.

The Clean Air Act is focused on protection of public
health and welfare. But there is a visibility problem
that Congress wanted to address. And so the focus of
this proceeding is on meeting the statutory and
regulatory requirements for regional haze.

Now, to the extent that there are collateral benefits, such as reduced health effects, you know, that's just all the more reason to adopt that type of plan.

But I think it's inappropriate to compare regional haze plans on which has the alleged better health improvement part. You need really to stick to the act and five factors, and health effects weren't one of them. Congress said there's five factors to consider.

And then if your reduction program does achieve health benefits, which is -- the Department's does -- it just doesn't achieve as many as some people would like. Okay. But that should not be viewed as a black mark against the Department's plan.

MR. CASCIANO: Okay. Thank you.

MS. RYAN: In follow-up, so what you're saying is our focus -- you're saying that our Board's focus should be on visibility as to regional haze in consideration of the five factors only.

MR. CLINE: Yes, ma'am. Yeah. Very much so.

I mean, there are other Clean Air Act programs

like New Source Performance Standards and NESHAPs and

PSD where you do focus on health impacts. But here it's

visibility and the five factors that Congress said each

state should balance.

7 MR. CASCIANO: And is one of those factors -- 8 five factors public health?

MR. CLINE: No, sir. No. There's the cost of compliance, the nonair quality environmental benefits.

What else? The remaining useful life of the plant, the air control technologies that are installed on the plant currently, and the visibility improvements that would be gained by any BART.

MR. CASCIANO: Okay.

MS. RYAN: Sorry. I have one more.

In your familiarity with up-and-coming technologies, obviously, right now we're looking at SCR and SNCR.

Is there -- do you expect that in five years, when our Board is required to revisit SIP for regional haze, that there would be more technology for us to look at at that time? Or are you familiar -- are you supposing?

I know that's a big guess.

MR. CLINE: Yeah. I -- I would have to not venture on that. I don't really get into a lot of the technical control technologies as much, and it's more in the legal aspects.

MS. ORTH: Member Fulfer.

2.0

MR. FULFER: I have one question on the EPA calculations.

Do you feel like they're -- you were talking about them being flawed in certain ways, but one of them is -- I'm curious if they figured in the retrofit versus new construction, which retrofit is usually a higher cost? Do you feel like they based that just on new construction?

MR. CLINE: No, sir, I don't. I think the intent was to quantify cost for a retrofit. And as was discussed the other day, they are heavily married to EPA's OAQPS Cost Control Manual, and that's kind of their Bible. And for the most part, if the cost analysis in these factors aren't in that manual, they're somehow deemed not relevant.

For my money, I would rather take the cost estimate of an engineering design firm that does that for a living, who has to stand behind that cost estimate if they're selected to build a plant.

MS. ORTH: Anything else?

- 1 Anything else at all before we excuse
- 2 Mr. Cline?

9

- 3 Thank you very much, Mr. Cline.
- 4 MR. ROYBAL: Madam Hearing Officer, we'd call
- 5 Norman Benally as our next witness.
 - NORMAN BENALLY
- 7 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was
- 8 examined and testified as follows:
 - DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. ROYBAL:
- Q. Mr. Benally, you've been sworn in.
- 12 Did you prepare prefiled written testimony in
- 13 | this case?
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- 15 Q. And have you had the opportunity to review
- 16 | that testimony? Do you have any corrections or
- 17 | additions?
- 18 A. I don't have any.
- 19 Q. Could you tell us a little bit about your
- 20 | background and credentials?
- 21 A. Sure. I haven't been -- I've been employed
- 22 | with BHP Billiton since 1972. I was originally hired on
- 23 as a janitor back in 1972. Some of the past positions
- 24 | that I've held are the manager of human resources, the
- 25 | superintendent of governmental affairs, labor relations

- 1 advisor, front line supervision, and then was a 2 bargaining unit member for the 11 years with the International Union of Operating Engineers Local 953. 3 4 I am currently the head of external affairs 5 for BHP Billiton New Mexico Coal. 6 MR. ROYBAL: We'd move the admission of 7 Mr. Benally's testimony in this case. 8 MS. ORTH: All right.

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Hearing none, admitted.

Thank you.

Objections?

- 12 Thank you.
- 13 (Exhibit SJCC A admitted into evidence.)
- Q. (BY MR. ROYBAL) Mr. Benally, could you summarize your testimony for the Board?
- 16 A. Sure.
 - Mr. Roybal, before I do, though, I just want to thank the Board for being here in New Mexico, and I am Salt Clan. My mom was Salt Clan. My father was Red Running Into Water Clan. So I introduce myself as being Salt Clan first and Red Running Into Water Clan second. And my maternal grandfathers are Folded Arms Clan, and my paternal grandfathers are also Folded Arms Clan, as well.
 - But I'm here to offer testimony on behalf of

San Juan Coal Company, which is a subsidiary of New Mexico Coal. In return, New Mexico Coal is wholly-owned by BHP Billiton.

The company operates San Juan Mine, which is an underground mine operating on federal land and state land here in Northwest New Mexico. We also operate Navajo Mine, which is a surface coal mine that's located within the exterior boundaries of the Navajo Nation.

San Juan Mine sells coal to the San Juan

Generating Station that is operated by public service of

New Mexico. As a result, we are a very significant

stakeholder in the future and the sustainability of San

Juan Generating Station.

As a -- as a company, we support New Mexico Environmental Department's proposal for San Juan Generating Station to establish the Best Available Retrofit Technology to regulate nitrogen oxide and particulate matters.

We are concerned over a recent US

Environmental Protection Agency proposal that would
establish BART for San Juan Generating Station, and we
believe the State of New Mexico is in the best position
to establish BART for the San Juan Generating Station.

My testimony pertains to the socioeconomic benefits and impacts of our operation on the State of

New Mexico, San Juan County and the Navajo Nation.

In several ways, I'm really an example of what the energy industry can bring to the Navajo Nation. I indicated, I started when I was 20 years old with BHP Billiton, and have enjoyed an employment. I've been able to obtain a bachelor's of science degree in business administration from the University of Phoenix for which the energy industry, BHP Billiton paid 75 percent of my continuing education.

In calendar year 2010, New Mexico Coal employed 1,038 people at our San Juan and Navajo Mine operations, with a total payroll of approximately \$93 million. Our workforce is 63 percent Native American. We account for nearly 3 percent of employment in San Juan County.

We are a significant contributor to the local and state economy. Our bargaining unit miners are represented by Local 953 of the International Union of Operating Engineers. We are currently the largest private employer in San Juan County and the Navajo Nation. And as you know, the Navajo Nation -- the unemployment rate exceeds 50 percent.

In calendar year 2010, our New Mexico Coal operations paid state, local, tribal, federal taxes and royalties of over \$116 million, which equates to \$8.62

per ton, plus state and federal income taxes. In addition, we spent over \$150 million on equipment, services and supplies for our two mines.

2.4

The San Juan Mine currently employs approximately 529 employees with a total payroll of \$46 million. In calendar year 2010, San Juan Mine paid state, local, tribal, federal taxes and royalties for approximately \$49 million, plus state and federal income taxes. This includes approximately 24 million in New Mexico taxes and nearly 11 million in royalties to New Mexico.

As a company, we are committed to sustainable development, and we strive to be a business that is actively creating a positive legacy in our community. We are a major contributor to both the San Juan United Way and the Navajo Way campaigns.

We were the first \$1 million donor to the San Juan United Way's 50-year history. And this was repeated again in 2011. In July of 2009, BHP Billiton established a community investment fund program which resulted in \$383,000 being awarded to 34 deserving nonprofit organizations.

And I thank you for once again for coming to our neck of the woods.

MR. ROYBAL: We offer the witness for

```
1
    questions.
2
               MS. ORTH: Thank you.
               Mr. Grantham?
 3
 4
               MR. GRANTHAM:
                              No questions.
5
               MS. ORTH:
                          Mr. Alvidrez?
                              No questions.
 6
               MR. ALVIDREZ:
7
               MS. ORTH:
                         Mr. Rose?
8
               MR. ROSE:
                         No questions.
9
               MS. ORTH:
                          Anyone else?
10
               Board members, do you have questions of
11
    Mr. Benally?
12
               Any reason not to excuse him?
13
               No?
               Thank you very much, Mr. Benally.
14
               MR. ROYBAL: Again, we thank the Board for
15
    attending this hearing and coming to San Juan County.
16
17
               MS. ORTH:
                          Thank you.
18
               Let me ask at this point if there's anyone
19
    else who would like to make nontechnical public comment.
20
    This is the last opportunity.
21
               Ma'am.
22
               We're asking commenters to come to the same
23
    spot.
2.4
25
```

MARGARET MC DANIEL

2 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was 3 examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

THE REPORTER: State and spell your full name, please.

7 MS. MD DANIEL: Margaret McDaniel,

 $8 \quad M-A-R-G-A-R-E-T \quad M-C-D-A-N-I-E-L$.

1

4

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE REPORTER: Thank you.

MS. MC DANIEL: Members of the EIB Board, I respectfully ask for your attention for a couple of minutes.

I am the executive director of San Juan Economic Development Service, a nonprofit economic development group here in San Juan County, representing the Cities of Aztec, Bloomfield, Farmington and the remainder of San Juan County.

Our mission is to assist and lead the way in retention, expansion, creation and recruitment of economic-based companies into this area for purpose of creating high-wage jobs. Our Board consists of all the decision-makers, the mayors, the city managers, as well as private sector folks from throughout San Juan County.

And before me, I have a packet of a letter stating our request to support the state SIP plan. I

also have a resolution signed by the members of the board of directors in support of this plan.

While I have this letter with supporting documentation, I would like to just speak freely for a couple of minutes and tell you that as -- as a member of this community, also serving as a county commissioner for District 2, and as an executive director of SJEDS, it is our understanding that there are so many issues in this county that affect all of the people here.

And none of us, none of us in this county want dirty water, dirty air or dirty environment. We're all trying to work together to find balance between job creation, job saving or -- and people's health and well-being.

So at this point, we really respectfully ask that you consider this, because we know that we have lost over 5,000 jobs in this area in the last couple of years, and while the loss of jobs and dollars seem -- seem kind of hard and cold in -- compared to people's health or even the -- even the visibility, the haze issue here, it's a matter of working together to find some kind of balance, that we can all work together and live together in this beautiful area and find a way to enjoy our environment, as well as keep our people employed.

1 And so with that, I'd like to leave you with 2 this packet of information and respectfully ask that you 3 accept it and consider our -- our support of the SIP. 4 MS. ORTH: Thank you. 5 Please bring it up here. 6 Is there anyone else who would like to make 7 nontechnical public comment? 8 Sir. 9 MR. ROGERS: My name is Joe Rogers. 10 THE REPORTER: Would you raise your right 11 hand. 12 JOE ROGERS 13 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: 14 15 DIRECT TESTIMONY 16 THE REPORTER: Now, please state and spell 17 your name. MR. ROGERS: Joe Rogers, R-O-G-E-R-S. 18 19 THE REPORTER: Thank you. 2.0 MR. ROGERS: Definitely nontechnical. 21 I started working at the Four Corners Power 22 Plant in probably February of 1974 and worked there 23 until last year. And one thing I know for certain is, 24 you know, when you get up on top of the units, you can 25 see San Juan Station from the Four Corners plant, and

you can see all around the area.

In '74, the visibility was a lot better than it is now, but the only thing that's really changed in that time was the power plants are probably -- between the two power plants, they probably put in billions of dollars worth of pollution control equipment. And so, I mean, they made a major effort in what it takes to control our visibility. And that's what this is about, visibility.

And so I would say unequivocally that the power plants aren't the main source of pollution that is impacting visibility.

Second item was I appreciate the Board's efforts in this. I think they're making the best of a really bad situation, and I think it is a state's rights issue. I think you guys need to have control of this, and encourage you to stick with it and make sure that we deal with this as a visibility issue, not a health issue.

I guess my third comment would be I've been in constant contact with our -- our two senators and Congressman Lujan over this issue continually, and it does not look like they have any intention of stepping in and helping EPA make the decision to give this back to the New Mexico Board.

So I would encourage everybody to contact the senators and Lujan in particular and make sure that they step in and support New Mexico in taking this from -- back from the EPA.

Thank you.

MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Rogers.

Is there anyone else who would like to make public comment?

Sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 JOSH ORTEGA

having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was
examined and testified as follows:

13 DIRECT TESTIMONY

THE REPORTER: State and spell your full name, please.

MR. ORTEGA: Josh Ortega, O-R-T-E-G-A.

17 THE REPORTER: Thank you.

MR. ORTEGA: Thank you.

Ladies and gentlemen of the Board, I'm a member of the International Union of Operating Engineers Local 953, and I'm also an underground coal miner. I am but one of the several hundred coal miners that are employed with the responsibility of providing fuel to San Juan Generating Station. We are productive members of our community, and we contribute economically to this

region.

The impact of these proposed regulations will have profound effect upon the economy of this area. If the power plant and coal mines are regulated out of business, five or ten years from now, maybe sooner, this community will look nothing like it does now. And as to your comments earlier, the riverwalks and things of that nature have been provided due to the economic impact of the San Juan Generating Station and BHP.

According to the American Lung Association's

Annual State of the Air report, Farmington consistently

ranks as one of the cleanest clean air cities in the

United States.

As a resident of this area and working to rear a family here, I am concerned about the environment, and I strive to lessen my family's effect upon the local environment. But as a responsible father, I have to consider the economic impacts of these choices upon my family.

The Federal Implementation Plan will place an undue economic hardship upon the already struggling citizens of this great state and threaten the very livelihood of the local residents.

The New Mexico implementation plan balances cost and economic impacts with significant environmental

```
1
    improvements. By utilizing our State Implementation
2
    Plan, we will provide the residents of this community
3
    and our state an opportunity to succeed in a struggling
4
    economy.
 5
              Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for your time.
 6
              MS. ORTH:
                         Thank you, Mr. Ortega.
7
              Anyone else?
8
              Is Lucinda Bennalley here or --
                       LUCINDA Y. BENNALLEY
9
10
         having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was
         examined and testified as follows:
11
                         DIRECT TESTIMONY
12
13
              THE REPORTER: State and spell your full name,
14
    please.
15
              MS. BENNALLEY: Lucinda, L-U-C-I-N-D-A, Y.
16
    Bennalley, B-as-in-boy-E-N-N-A-L-L-E-Y.
17
              THE REPORTER: Thank you.
              MS. BENNALLEY: Good morning, ladies and
18
19
    gentlemen of the State of New Mexico's Board,
2.0
    Environmental Board.
21
              My name is Lucinda Yellowman Bennalley, and
22
    I'm the president of the local chapter. I don't know
23
    how many of you are familiar with the chapters of the
24
    Navajo Nation. They're like small county governments.
```

And I've been a chapter president for over 20 years.

And I'm also a retired educator, having last worked as a guidance counselor in a public school, at Kirtland Central. Yea, Broncos. And my primary job there was to make sure that the students had a plan to get a high school diploma and then go on beyond that. And we and the other counselors — it was our priority to make sure that these students went on to get skilled, to be able to have jobs.

And we are very fortunate where we live here in Northwest New Mexico to have these companies close by so our children can go on to school, get skilled and come back and stay in the community and work in a well-paying job.

And we're thankful we have a community college at San Juan to provide these programs that are needed for jobs here in the area. And we are also thankful to the companies that have provided their funds for the School of Energy to -- to provide programs that are appropriate for -- for the local companies and the positions that are available there.

And as a member of the Nenahnezad Chapter, we always claim that we're closest to Hesperus Mountain, which, of course, the Navajos consider as one of the sacred mountains, and historically, all that land up to Hesperus was Navajo Nation, before the US bought it out,

or bought it back or took it back.

Because my dad used to say, "Well, we used to herd our sheep all the way to the foothills, and there was our summer camp."

And it was like, man, why didn't we keep it?

But anyway, because we didn't keep it, we have thousands, probably, counting all the people that are employed at PNM and BHP and the contractors, they're able to have jobs, and which improves their quality of life, and enabling our -- our Navajo Nation to have a better quality of life than what's on the rest of the nation.

And I am asking that on behalf of our community, whatever needs to be done to -- to assist PNM to continue operating, providing jobs, providing scholarships, summer jobs, and, of course, most important, the royalties and taxes that go to the whole State of New Mexico, and to the Navajo Nation and other tribes.

And we feel that as -- as indigenous people, we are responsible stewards of the land. We feel that the creator has blessed us with the type of land that was given to us to live on, at some point a hundred or so years ago. However, we were blessed that there's a lot of natural resources, and we did not benefit only as

a tribe by ourselves, but we were able to -- our neighbors are able to benefit from it, too.

So -- and I always say, "When you were coming in, you probably saw the beautiful mountains." And I said that to the EPA folks about a month ago or so.

They said, "Yes, we did."

Okay. Where's the haze? There is no haze.

Yesterday there was. I don't know where that came from.

I think it's blowing from the coast or something. But you couldn't hardly see, and it was awful. Now, that's haze. And that's what our elders say. If you're talking about pollution, you're talking about what you see in LA. There's no pollution here.

So we are in support of that and whatever needs to be done to assist PNM. And of course, if anything should happen negatively to cause that plant to shut down, it will affect all -- the whole county and the whole state, probably the whole nation.

And again, I say we are fortunate that our children and our people are able to stay close to home to have good jobs, although there are a number of them that have to travel to different contracts throughout the United States to -- to have well-paying jobs.

So -- and another thing I wanted to say was -I had mentioned to EPA people that maybe something

should be done to collaborate with the United Nations, maybe some global watchdog organization to address this pollution.

I don't think that our plants, our pollution, all this global warming pollution, because there's no regulation. Nobody looks out for the power plants that are unregulated in China, and all that drifts over to us. Now we're experiencing all the weather changes because of what happened in Japan.

So we are just trying to provide for our community, for our United States of America, and our people proudly served as veterans, calling the US our mother. That was one of the words in the Code Talkers' vocabulary.

So with that, I thank you for the time to be able to make these comments.

MS. ORTH: Thank you, Ms. Bennalley.

Anyone else?

CLAYTON BENALLY

having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

THE REPORTER: Would you state and spell your full name, please.

MR. BENALLY: My name is Clayton Benally,

C-L-A-Y-T-O-N, Benally, B-E-N-A-L-L-Y.

- 2 And I am no relations to Norman Benally or 3 Lucy Bennalley.
- I'm here today to urge the Environment
 Improvement Board to adopt the State Implementation
 Plan.
 - I live in Shiprock. I'm a member of the Navajo Nation. I get my utility bill through the Navajo Tribal Utility Authority, the NTUA, if you will. NTUA buys their electricity from Tucson Electric, which are part owners in PNM. So any decisions made that affect PNM also affects the Navajo Nation.
 - And as you know, Navajo Nation goes in New Mexico, Arizona and Utah. So, you know, the decisions affect a wide range of people, in a big geographical area.
 - I worked at PNM, BHP and also on APS on the construction side of things. Now my job directly -- now directly affects people that I send out to these construction jobs. I'm also a member of the International Union of Operating Engineers Local 953.
 - And I have a lot of friends and a lot of family -- some family and a lot of coworkers that are directly affected by any decisions made toward PNM, BHP or even APS. You know, the friends and family, they

maintain a good living, maintain good benefits working at these sites.

The latest concerning PNM is they've abided by all the rules and restrictions made by the EPA, you know, the latest being the 2009 baghouse projects in which I had a lot of coworkers, associates working out there. You know, we bent over backwards to abide by the restrictions that seem to be ever changing concerning these industries.

Every job at APS, PNM and BHP have an economic multiplier which directly affects the community in general, the local businesses, as well as our children and our school districts and stuff. And so, you know, any -- any decision that's made affects a wide range of people.

In worst case scenario, if the restrictions are too bad, the plants shut down, it's going to affect a lot of people. A lot of people are going to be out of jobs, and things aren't going to look good.

And there's a big push toward green energy, but one question I want to pose to you is where are you going to get your electricity if the wind's not blowing and the sun's not shining?

I want to thank you for your time, and please adopt the State Implementation Plan.

```
1
               Thank you.
2
              MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Benally.
3
               Is there anyone else who would like to make
4
    nontechnical public comment at this time?
5
              This is the last opportunity.
 6
              Yes.
7
              MS. FALK:
                         Hi.
8
              MS. ORTH: I'm sorry. Wait for her first, but
9
    I'll take you next.
10
              MS. FALK:
                        Oh, okay.
11
              MS. ORTH: You can both be sworn in.
12
               (EUGENIA QUINTANA and CONSTANCE LUIS FALK were
13
              duly sworn or affirmed.)
              THE REPORTER: Would you state and spell your
14
    full name, please.
15
16
              MS. QUINTANA: Eugenia Quintana, E-U-G-E-N-I-A
17
    Q-U-I-N-T-A-N-A.
18
              THE REPORTER:
                              Thank you.
19
              And your name, please.
20
              MS. FALK: My name is Constance Louise Falk,
    F-A-L-K.
21
22
              THE REPORTER: Thank you.
23
24
25
```

EUGENIA QUINTANA

having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MS. QUINTANA: My name is Eugenia Quintana.

I'm the environmental department manager for the Navajo
Nation Environmental Protection Agency. It's an office
within the executive branch of the Navajo Nation. And
I'm here on behalf of the Navajo Environmental
Protection Agency to offer some comments.

I wanted to say that the Navajo Nation is supportive of New Mexico's proposed revisions to the State Implementation Plan for regional haze. New Mexico has their own authority to develop SIP for their jurisdiction, and we support New Mexico doing that, especially when New Mexico has a better understanding of the regional context and how to weigh the complete considerations in that regard.

Why is the Navajo Nation interested?

The Navajo Nation is -- is home to about

280,000 Navajo people. The Navajo Nation covers more
than 27,000 square miles within the exterior boundaries
of Arizona, New Mexico and Utah. It also occupies 13
counties in those states, and it is a place of great
scenic beauty.

There is little economic development on the Navajo Nation, and Navajo people who live there are the poorest -- among the poorest in the US. 48 percent are unemployed, and 40 percent are below the federal poverty line.

Our living conditions are substandard compared to the rest of the United States. 31 percent of Navajo homes do not have complete plumbing. 28 percent do not have operational kitchen facilities. 30 percent do not have water services. 32 percent are without electricity. 86 percent do not have natural gas services. 60 percent of homes do not have telephone services.

The Navajo Nation's most valuable and saleable natural resource are its coal reserves. The Navajo Nation is dependent on coal. They have been so for many years, and we so are very much dependent on coal.

The two power -- there are two power plants on the Navajo Nation, the Four Corners Power Plant and the Navajo Generating Station. These two power plants were specifically planned for and located exactly where they are to provide for and sell coal and provide a market for Navajo coal.

The income from Four Corners and Navajo

Generating Station provide many direct and indirect

contributions to the Navajo Nation. These indirect and direct contributions are substantial to the Navajo Nation's economic viability, including the health and welfare of the Navajo people and to the sustainability of the Navajo Nation as an independent sovereign nation.

The San Juan Generating Station and the supplying mine, the San Juan Mine, also contribute to the regional economy and provide hundreds of jobs to Navajo workers, contractors and subcontractors.

Approximately 22 percent of San Juan Generating Plant workers are Navajo, and about 45 percent of the San Juan Mine workforce are also Navajo -- Navajo tribal members.

The Navajo Nation is situated where three power plants are located. And I just named those, the Four Corners Power Plant and the Navajo Generating Station, including the San Juan Generating Station. All three power plants contribute to the Navajo Nation economy, and all three power plants and their supplying mines contribute to the tribal economy and the regional economic dynamics.

I would also like to mention that there are also additional emission sources, both major and minor sources, within the region, that all contribute in varying percentages to emissions, and these aren't just emissions from the power plants.

The Navajo Nation also recently expressed concerns to USEPA Region 6 regarding USEPA's proposed BART for San Juan Generating Station. The proposed EPA BART determination has implications for the Navajo Nation tribal trust interests.

Although the San Juan Generating Station is located outside the jurisdiction of the Navajo Nation, it is nevertheless a facility with significant impacts on both the Navajo Nation and the regional economy.

Some of the positive impacts of the San Juan Generating Station that we hear quite frequently are PNM scholarships and the contributions to nonprofit organizations that use the contributions to address issues within their own specific communities.

The BART determination proposed for San Juan Generating Station, including NGS and Four Corners -
I'm sure the Board members are aware that USEPA is also proposing BART for two power plants on the Navajo

Nation, for Four Corners and for NGS. USEPA Region 9 is planning to propose BART for NGS sometime this summer.

They have recently taken comments on BART for Four Corners.

BART determinations for all these three power plants within one to two years time frame could have significant impacts to the Navajo Nation, particularly

to the Navajo workforces at each of these plants. The subsequent rippling effect has the potential to exact exponential detrimental impacts if BART determinations result in exorbitant costs for compliance and too stringent compliance scheduling.

We have also been concerned over USEPA's proposed compliance scheduling for San Juan Generating Station. It requires installation of SCR on all units within three years of promulgation of the EPA BART FIP for San Juan Generating Station, while the Regional Haze Rule actually allows for five years for compliance.

I also wanted to interject and highlight that the closure of the Mohave Generating Station on the western side of the Navajo Nation is directly attributable to similar USEPA rulemaking. Closure of Mohave, in turn, resulted in the closure of the Black Mesa mine and the loss of significant royalty revenues to the Navajo Nation.

While San Juan Generating Station is not burning down with coal, there still are considerable regional economic effects which cumulatively would dramatically impact the Navajo Nation if the San Juan Generating Station were forced to close because of the proposed USEPA BART rulemaking.

A comment about health. The Navajo Nation is

1 concerned about health, both on the Navajo Nation and 2 regionally. However, BART that is being proposed for 3 San Juan Generating Station is supposed to be about 4 visibility, not about health, and in the context of our concern about health, we have specifically asked USEPA 5 6 Region 6 to consider pursuing health studies in 7 collaboration with the Navajo Nation, New Mexico and 8 other stakeholders, so we can get a better idea of the 9 baseline data that we can use to perhaps model and 10 further analyze and make some better assumptions about the direct impacts of the major sources upon the health 11 12 of people in the region.

In conclusion, the Navajo Nation is supportive of New Mexico's proposed revisions to the State

Implementation Plan for regional haze because the existing and proposed SNCR controls on all the units will achieve reasonable progress in order to meet the visibility goals of the Regional Haze Rule by 2064.

That's it.

MS. ORTH: Thank you, Ms. Quintana.

Ms. Falk.

22

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

CONSTANCE LOUISE FALK

having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MS. FALK: Hi.

I'm planning to move to this community within the next couple of years. I bought a home here. I'll be retiring. I'm a professor of agriculture and economics at New Mexico State University.

And of course, I'm very concerned about potential to my own health to moving into a region that -- as I understand it -- I'm not well informed, but as I understand it, it's -- these power plants produce some of the greatest amounts of air pollution than any power plants in the country.

And if I'm not mistaken in that understanding, then my perspective on that is that this community has been then -- been allowed to be kind of a sacrifice zone for whatever particular interests are behind that.

And I understand the Navajo Nation's concern about jobs, and certainly we can quantify the loss of those jobs, and maybe that's why we tend to make decisions based on those jobs, and we don't quantify the externalities associated with a lot of pollution. We don't quantify. And even the person that came before me

said that we should study the health effects.

But we do know that all of these -- this particulate matter in the air has health effects on children. And they can't come here and speak to you, and they can't come here and make their case about their lifelong problems with breathing and asthma.

And so I think that instead of just focusing on the things that are easily quantified, which are potential jobs lost, which, in my mind, hasn't been established, because other power plants in the country have very easily upgraded.

So instead of just concentrating on those easily quantifiable impacts, we should think more about the more difficult things to quantify, which are the externalities associated with widespread health impacts that this community has long suffered from and that I fear will also impact me when I move here.

And another sort of unquantifiable economic impact that probably is never really talked about is the potential to draw many more retirees such as myself to this community who would be drawn here if they knew that this was a clean environment and that they could see the beautiful natural wonders that exist here in this region.

And so those are the kind of the main points

that I would hope you consider, is some of those hard to quantify externalities, and looking at those more carefully, and not just basing your decision on the easily quantified potentially loss of jobs.

And certainly we know there's lots of information available about the green energy economy and how more jobs can be created through that than the number of jobs that would be lost in the old way.

They're going to go by the wayside anyway.

We know that the fossil fuel era has to come to an end, it will come to an end, and so why not bring it to an end in a way that's rational and makes a transition to a clean energy economy and one that provides jobs here at San Juan College. They have an internationally known program in green energy, and there's no reason why that program can't be extended to the Navajo Nation to train lots of people in green energy jobs.

Thank you very much.

MS. ORTH: Thank you, Ms. Falk.

Is there anyone else?

I see a gentleman.

RUDY MOYA

having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

THE REPORTER: Would you state and spell your full name, please.

7 MR. MOYA: My name is Rudy Moya. I've been in 8 this area since 1971.

I'm a business agent for the laborers union hall in Farmington. I've worked in the power plant for many years. You know, most of my members in this area are hard-working laborers. I got over 400 or 500 people working, not at work -- not working now. Maybe about 80 percent -- 80 people are working now.

When we got these turnarounds, they look forward to their jobs. And they also like to go for their pension. We got credits. And a lot of people are coming from Arizona, Utah, Colorado, just to get these jobs, and make a good living, and they have a good pension. And I can see San Juan County going down. San Juan Power Plant has always provided work for us, and Four Corners, also.

All I can ask on behalf of my members and the people in Farmington area in -- and the Navajo Nation, if we can do something about working with the power

plants, you know, if they have to fix something, more 1 2 work for the people. That's all I ask for San Juan and the members in this area. 3 4 That's it. 5 MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Moya. 6 MR. MOYA: I have a little proposal here for 7 our area, they may want to look at it. 8 MS. ORTH: Bring it up here, please. Is there anyone else who would like to make 9 10 nontechnical public comment? This is the last opportunity before we close 11 12 the record. 13 MR. ALVIDREZ: Madam Hearing Examiner, PNM does have some written public comment that we would like 14 15 to submit at this time. It is primarily directed to 16 some of the public comment with respect to health effects. 17

18 I've got a number of copies here --

MS. ORTH: Great.

MR. ALVIDREZ: -- but it should be sufficient.

MS. ORTH: Thank you.

22 Anything else at all before we close the

23 record?

19

2.0

21

24 MR. ALVIDREZ: Madam Hearing Examiner, again,

25 I don't -- certainly don't want to prolong this. PNM is

very anxious, of course, to have the Board take action on this matter.

I know that we're dealing with some very significant technical issues and that the Board has had an opportunity to certainly review the testimony that's been filed here, the public comments and also hear the summary testimony.

We do have the representatives from Black & Veatch here in the event that, you know, through the course of the evening last night or reviewing the testimony, any questions came up, we'd be glad to present them, provide the Board with an opportunity of some follow-up questions, if they have any.

Certainly completely at the discretion of the Board, but we want to be helpful and provide the Board with as much information as we possibly can to help them deliberate and make a decision in this case.

I would also ask that the parties be provided with at least a few minutes -- since we're not going to have briefing or anything like that in this case, a few minutes to make some closing comments, as well.

That's all I would ask. Thank you.

MS. ORTH: All right.

Anything -- do you have anything to add,

25 Mr. Grantham.

MR. GRANTHAM: Madam Hearing Officer, the

Department also has our witnesses here today, and we

were of the assumption that there would be an

opportunity for additional questions either by the Board

or some of the public for the Department's technical

witnesses.

MS. ORTH: All right.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Board, do you have a record upon which you would like to deliberate, or do you have additional questions either of the Department panel or the PNM panel?

MS. PEACOCK: I have a question for PNM.

MS. ORTH: You do. All right.

And would this be from the Black & Veatch witnesses?

MS. PEACOCK: Maybe just Mr. Alvidrez.

MS. ORTH: Oh. All right.

Let's give it a shot.

MR. ALVIDREZ: Certainly.

MS. PEACOCK: As you've heard over the last couple of days, it's likely that the EPA will probably not adopt the SIP.

What is PNM -- well, what is the process that PNM is going to follow with the -- waiting for the EPA to say yes or no, and then what will happen?

MR. ALVIDREZ: Well, you raised a very good point, and I'm sure it's one that is heavy on the mind of the Board members. And I think it's very important to clarify where the EPA is with respect to this process.

2.4

Now, what EPA has done is it has issued a proposed SIP -- FIP. It is nothing more than a proposal at this point. It is not final. It's not binding. EPA is still deliberating on it. It has received over 4,500 comments, as I understand it, with respect to its proposed BART determination.

And so I think that it really kind of shortchanges the EPA to some extent to think that that's a done deal. And I want to impress upon this Board that this Board's action to adopt the NMED proposal will have a profound effect in Region 6, in Dallas, with respect to its proposal.

Now, the EPA has gone to federal court and asked for an extension of time in August so that this Board could act and adopt a State Implementation Plan on regional haze and the other proceeding that's going to follow shortly after this with respect to the interstate transport, so that they can consider this matter and take action.

I can also tell the Board that following the

comment period that PNM made, where PNM submitted

comments, that two weeks ago the EPA came to the San

Juan Generating Station, for the first time, by the way,

with respect to the BART analysis, to actually look at

the station, see how it's configured and see whether or

not, you know, the numbers that PNM has submitted

through Black & Veatch make sense.

So I don't want the Board to think that this is a futile effort, that there's, you know, no significance to what they -- what the Board might do with respect to the NMED proposal.

What I can tell you is that it will have an impact, that the EPA is going to have to answer some tough questions. They're going to have to answer why their economic analysis is so different from the analysis that the state has approved.

And I will tell you that one of the things that we know of that EPA analysis, it's done by the book, and that when EPA was looking at the San Juan Generating Station, all they did was Google it. They looked at it on a Google map and said, "Oh, yeah."

And it's a very different thing when you get on the ground and actually look at the plant, see how it's configured, see what the controls are that are on there, where you have to work and what the costs are

1 involved.

2 So I think that's a very significant issue.

The other thing about the EPA proposal is that

I think there's a lot of people that are

misunderstanding. It's a regional haze SIP or regional

6 haze FIP. It is not.

What it is is an interstate transport FIP
that, for whatever reason, EPA decided they wanted to go
ahead and make a BART determination in the context of
that rather than a full regional haze Federal
Implementation Plan. It falls far short and is very
different from what the NMED has proposed, which is a
comprehensive plan.

If you read the EPA Federal Implementation Plan, they readily admit, "We're not even addressing ${\rm SO}_2$, which is probably the largest contributor of regional haze. We'll wait, and we'll address that sometime later."

But the package that the NMED has submitted is a full package. It addresses both the regional haze requirements, and it also addresses the interstate transport visibility component. It is the full package.

And by the way, as the EPA readily admits, it's had the state interstate transport SIP pending before it since 2003 and didn't take action.

```
1
              So there are a lot of tough questions the EPA
2
    is going to have to answer if this Board adopts the NMED
3
    proposal. It really is going to have to address why it
4
    has come to a different conclusion, based on its very
    extenuating study, why it shouldn't give deference, as
5
6
    Mr. Cline suggested, with respect to the state's
7
    determination about what is right for New Mexico and the
8
    region.
9
              And so I don't want this Board to go away with
10
    the idea that, you know, this is a fool's errand.
    will be meaningful. It will have an impact that EPA
11
    will have to consider.
12
13
              MS. ORTH: Anything else before we -- yeah.
14
              Member Ryan.
15
              MS. RYAN: I do have a question for PNM's
    technical witnesses.
16
17
              MR. ALVIDREZ: Certainly.
              They're -- for the court reporter, it might
18
19
    be --
20
              MS. ORTH:
                          Yes.
21
              MR. ALVIDREZ: -- helpful so she can --
22
                        We're recalling for the moment
              MS. ORTH:
23
    Ms. Fischer and Mr. Lucas, Black & Veatch panel.
24
    gave full testimony yesterday.
              Ms. Fischer and Mr. Lucas, you're still under
25
```

oath.

1

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 DIANE FISCHER and KYLE LUCAS

having been previously duly sworn or affirmed, were

4 examined and testified further as follows:

FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY THE BOARD:

MS. ORTH: Member Ryan.

8 MS. RYAN: Yes. Thank you for being available 9 today for follow-up questions.

And this came to me as I was driving to the San Juan area yesterday from Santa Fe, and the wind was blowing, seemingly 900 miles an hour. We had dust blowing everywhere, clouds building. It looked -- rain in some places. And I saw a haze.

And I started to think about what was in the haze and all of the contributing factors, not only the pollution, you know, NO_x and CO_2 , that we've been talking about, but I was seeing the dirt being blown up like this, and I was thinking how much of that is dirt -- my husband and I were discussing it -- how much of that is dirt, how much of that is NO_x , how much of that is CO_2 , and how are we quantifying that and looking at visibility.

And if we're supposed to be looking at visibility, I'm just -- I am not a scientist, I'm a

lawyer, so far cry from, but could you attempt at all -and in the research that your company did in coming up
with its analysis to take in other variables in the haze
issue for this particular area?

Sorry, that's a long question.

2.4

MR. LUCAS: You asked some very intuitive questions there.

While I can't speak about yesterday, and the specifics of yesterday --

(Discussion off the record.)

MR. LUCAS: While I can't speak for yesterday's specific conditions, I'm from Kansas, and what it looked like to me was just a dusty, rainy event on the drive up.

Specifically with yesterday, there was a lot of moisture in the air. And as part of the visibility analysis, it does take into account moisture variables and relative humidity. That is one contributing factor to the visibility component or the visibility equation.

The equation also takes into account sulfates and nitrates. It takes into account various sizes of particulate matter, organic aerosols and other -- other pollutants that has gone through various rounds of studies.

And so when we spoke yesterday of the analysis

```
1
    done to look at the baseline conditions at San Juan --
2
              MS. RYAN: Right.
3
              MR. LUCAS: -- as compared to the conditions
    of the additional control technology evaluations, each
4
5
    of that -- each of those analyses takes into account all
6
    of those variables that are available through the
7
    modeling methodology we proposed through the protocol
8
    document, and looks at it equally through the same
    meteorological data.
9
10
              MS. RYAN:
                        Okay.
              So it all was considered in coming up with
11
12
    your baseline.
13
              MR. LUCAS: All is all inclusive. I'm going
14
    to say --
15
              MS. RYAN: Right.
16
              MR. LUCAS: -- that within the guidelines
17
    provided to us and the methodology proposed, it was
    considered.
18
19
              MS. RYAN:
                         Okay.
20
              MS. FISCHER: Kyle, can I ask you a question?
21
              When she's talking about dust flying up in the
22
    air, that's called fugitive dust. That's the technical
```

Is fugitive dust in that number, or not?

MR. LUCAS: There is a value of particulate

23

24

25

term for it.

matter considered at each of the Class I areas, and the guidelines have published certain values and attributes various components to that equation that overall come back into the model and are reviewed through the baseline to AQC technology review.

MS. RYAN: So when we were talking yesterday about a 2.5 basically visible change, that is only in application to just the particular technology proposed by the SIP, and just as to those particular pollutants, not as to the other variables that contribute to visibility in this community.

MR. LUCAS: The -- it is correct that the 2.5 deciviews was for the SNCR technology. The improvements gained between baseline and that technology addition on a facility basis, both between the baseline and the AQC analysis, the same variables were looked at and used, the sulfates and nitrates, moisture, particulate matter, organic aerosols and so forth, to make it on an equivalent basis, so that you can see the improvements by looking at each technology option that was chosen to be feasible.

MS. RYAN: Okay.

MS. FISCHER: So if I -- I think what you're asking is does the model kind of throw all those things that you're talking about into the model before, and

280

```
1
    then look at if we change something, are all those same
2
    things in the model, and so that the only difference is
3
    the control technology? Is that what you're asking?
 4
              MR. LUCAS: I should also include that --
 5
              MS. FISCHER: Is that true? Is the only
 6
    difference --
7
              MR. LUCAS: Yes.
                                 It's --
8
              MS. FISCHER:
                             Okay.
9
              MR. LUCAS:
                          The only change between the two
10
    are operational characteristics of the equipment and the
    machinery and so forth.
11
12
              Additionally, when we're building a database
13
    to run in the model, we do take into account a
14
    three-dimensional meteorological field, we take into
15
    account precipitation data, we take into account ozone
16
    data, ammonia data that's available. So there are other
17
    pieces that go into the -- the base model that we look
18
    at.
19
              MS. RYAN: That answers my question.
20
              Thank you.
21
              MS. ORTH: Other questions of the Black &
22
    Veatch panel?
23
              Member Fulfer.
24
              MR. FULFER: Only what is your baseline year
```

2009?

that you're using? Is it from 2005?

MR. LUCAS: Since there was so much

complicated data that goes into the model, the database

was the years 2001, '2 and '3, and that is the

standardized data set that is typically used in this

area. And certain different areas of the country have

different data sets that they use. This is one that was

proposed within the methodology.

MR. FULFER: So are you able to take into account some of the retrofit that they've done before?

MR. LUCAS: The meteorological was standardized for both the baseline condition as well as the AQC analyses. Within the baseline condition, the operational characteristics of the plant included the consent decree controls that were discussed earlier, the \$320 million of add-ons between 2005 and 2009. So it takes into account those additional NO_x combustion controls and chose operational characteristics as part of that baseline.

MS. FISCHER: So we took the '01 to '03 data, which didn't have the consent decree stuff in, we modeled that, and then we modeled it with the consent decree stuff on it, and then we modeled it with the additional items that we looked at for BART. So there were kind of three steps because of that situation.

Is that what you're asking?

MR. FULFER: Yeah.

MS. RYAN: Can you address the first baseline model that you came up from 2001 to 2003 to the -- when the new retrofit technology was implemented at the plant?

MR. LUCAS: What was submitted as part of the SIP was the consent decree controls, we call it the 2005, and the AQC technology, that's NCR. Previously, we also looked at -- outside of that analysis the preconsent decree controls to the consent decree and the visibility gained from less NO_x control, which was -- I'm not -- I don't have the specific number. I think it was about a .4 pound per million NO_x -- I might be mistaken on that -- down to that .3 from the consent decree.

MS. RYAN: Okay.

MR. LUCAS: So we looked at that emission reduction, and that had some visibility improvement.

And then we looked for the purposes of BART, the reduction that we would see from the consent decree at the .3 level, down to the .23 level with SNCR. The .23 level is the presumptive level --

MS. RYAN: Right.

MR. LUCAS: -- for this type of boiler and this type of fuel.

And so what you see at that .25 is just the

```
1
    period -- or the betterment of the consent decree or our
2
    baseline to the SNCR technology. As we discussed
3
    yesterday, it's a cumulative effect at each of the Class
4
    T areas.
5
              If you were to look at it that way, you could
6
    say that between the uncontrolled San Juan Generating
    Station before the consent decree --
7
8
              MS. RYAN: Right.
              MR. LUCAS: -- to the SNCR control, you would
9
10
    have an additive at each Class I area --
11
              MS. RYAN: Right.
12
              MR. LUCAS: -- of San Juan's benefit of going
13
    to that -- let's say .4 --
14
              MS. RYAN: Okay.
15
              MR. LUCAS: -- don't quote me on that
16
    number -- but to the .23.
17
              MS. RYAN:
                         Okay.
              MR. LUCAS: You'd have that additional which
18
19
    is not part of the .25 --
2.0
              MS. RYAN: Um-hum.
              MR. LUCAS: -- to the consent decree.
21
22
              MS. RYAN:
                        Okay.
23
              MR. LUCAS: To help you illustrate --
24
    visibility is a hard -- hard concept when you're looking
```

at deciviews and how much you would actually achieve.

25

As part of the hearing that happened a couple of months ago, the -- some graphics were produced.

These are the wind haze program. It's available as a freeware off the National Park Service web site. Within that, you look at specific Class I areas. And each has

What was done was that the various control technology scenarios, the precontrolled, controlled and SNCR, SCR, were looked at and overlaid on a representative Class I area.

specific background conditions.

These graphics that we have here are representative -- we'll call it Mesa Verde. The software doesn't have graphics for Mesa Verde as a Class I area. The Colorado SIP used something very similar, but they used Canyonlands as representative due to similarities in topography.

So what we have done is overlaid those various scenarios as far as what visibility would look like as a change between one scenario and another scenario over Canyonlands. And these might help illustrate or may have -- bring up other questions you -- that you may have but.

MS. RYAN: Yes. Thank you.

MR. LUCAS: This might be helpful.

MR. ALVIDREZ: And I would note these are in

- 1 | the record. They are attached as exhibits to
- 2 Mr. Themig's testimony. It's actually PNM's comments to
- 3 | the EPA. It's EJT Exhibit 2, and this is Appendix 5.
- 4 But this is a very good illustration of --
- 5 | this is what -- this is a depiction of the area before
- 6 any control, before the San Juan upgrades that we've
- 7 | talked about.
- 8 This is a picture of after the upgrades have
- 9 been made, the \$320 million have been spent.
- 10 And this is a picture of what you would get
- 11 | after you spend a billion dollars. So what we're
- 12 | talking about is a difference between this picture and
- 13 | this picture for a billion dollars.
- 14 MR. BRYCE: Can you show those to the
- 15 | audience, also?
- MR. ALVIDREZ: Absolutely.
- 17 MS. FISCHER: I feel a little like Vanna.
- 18 MR. ALVIDREZ: And these pictures are screen
- 19 | captures right off of the National Park Service web
- 20 | site. You can go and look -- independently verify and
- 21 | put in the deciview changes yourself and confirm.
- 22 You know, but our conclusion was there's not a
- 23 | whole lot of difference for a billion dollars.
- 24 MR. VOLKERDING: And then do you have a
- 25 | picture with the state BART?

```
1
              MR. ALVIDREZ: We don't have a picture --
2
              MR. VOLKERDING:
                               Okay.
              MR. ALVIDREZ: -- of the SNCR.
 3
 4
              MR. LUCAS: But it would be less --
 5
              MR. VOLKERDING:
                               Right. It would be in
 6
              I just -- yeah.
    between.
7
              MR. LUCAS: So yesterday when we were speaking
8
    of that one deciview is about a 10 percent change and
9
    trying to kind of understand that change, this is the --
10
    probably the closest illustration we might be able to
11
    provide.
12
              MS. RYAN: That was helpful. Thank you.
13
              MS. ORTH: Other Board questions?
14
              No.
15
              Is there any cross-examination based on that
    testimony?
16
17
              MR. GRANTHAM:
                              No. No questions.
18
                        All right.
              MS. ORTH:
19
              Anything else, Mr. Alvidrez?
20
              MR. ALVIDREZ: Yes. If I could, just a
21
    follow-up question.
22
                    FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
    BY MR. ALVIDREZ:
24
              MR. ALVIDREZ: With respect to the haze that
```

25

you -- I assume you drove up yesterday and saw the haze,

```
1
    as well --
 2
               MR. LUCAS: Yes, I did.
 3
               MR. ALVIDREZ: -- like Board Member Ryan has
 4
    referred to.
               And in terms of that haze, is that what you
 5
    saw consistent with a \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}} plume?
 6
 7
               MR. LUCAS: No. As I mentioned, it was more
 8
    consistent with what I've seen with just a
    meteorological event, a rainstorm.
 9
10
               MR. ALVIDREZ: And is there a color
    characteristic associated with a NO, plume commonly
11
12
    noted.
13
               MR. LUCAS: Typically with NO<sub>x</sub> or nitrates,
14
    you'll see a yellow or brown type of plume, if you were
15
    looking at a power plant stack or downstream from that.
    And on the drive up, I did not see stacks or plumes
16
    specifically on the drive.
17
18
               MR. ALVIDREZ: That's all my questions.
19
               MS. ORTH: All right.
20
               Is there any reason not to excuse the Black &
```

No?

Thank you very much, Ms. Fischer and

Mr. Lucas.

Veatch panel again?

21

25

A couple of us need a break. But let me ask

```
1
    before we do that if we can get to the closure of the
    evidentiary record, parties, presumably you've had an
2
3
    opportunity to look at the document Mr. Alvidrez handed
    me titled Comments of Public Service Company in Response
 4
5
    to Public Comments Relating to Alleged Health Effects,
 6
    clearly technical testimony in the form of rebuttal.
7
              Were there objections to the admission of this
8
    packet?
9
              MR. GRANTHAM: Madam Hearing Officer, I don't
10
    believe I've seen that.
11
              MS. ORTH: All right.
12
              Mr. Rose, have you seen it?
13
              No.
                  Okay.
14
              It's titled Public Health Analysis of Air
15
    Emissions Impacts from the San Juan Generating Station.
16
    It was prepared by Gradient Corporation.
              MR. GRANTHAM: Madam Hearing Officer, we have
17
    no objections.
18
19
              MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you.
20
              MR. CASCIANO: I have a question, Hearing
```

So this is being offered as public comment versus technical testimony?

Officer.

21

MS. ORTH: No, sir. Member Casciano, this is technical testimony which is allowed to come in

```
1
    notwithstanding the fact that it was not part of the
2
    notice of intent because it's in the form of rebuttal.
3
    And it appears to be proper rebuttal to my eyes.
4
    Mr. Rose or Mr. Roybal wants to argue otherwise, I'll
5
    certainly hear their objection at this time.
 6
              MR. ROYBAL: San Juan has no objection.
7
              MR. ROSE: I have no objection to it.
8
              MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you.
              In that case, it's admitted.
9
               (Exhibit PNM A admitted into evidence.)
10
11
              MS. ORTH: The Board may rely on -- on the
12
    document.
13
              Is there any reason, then, not to close the
    evidentiary record?
14
15
              No?
              All right. Hearing -- hearing nothing, we
16
    will close the evidentiary record at this moment.
17
              We will take a 10- to 15-minute break.
18
19
    we return, we will have closing arguments from any party
2.0
    who wishes to offer it. That would be Mr. Alvidrez,
21
    Mr. Grantham, Mr. Rose or Mr. Roybal. And then the
22
    Board can move into deliberations.
23
              Thank you.
24
              Let's take a break.
25
               (Proceedings in recess from 11:38 a.m. to
```

- 1 11:57 a.m.)
- MS. ORTH: Let's come back from the break,
- 3 please.
- 4 All right. We're coming back from the break
- 5 | in EIB 11-01. This is proposed revisions to the State
- 6 | Implementation Plan for regional haze.
- 7 The evidentiary record is closed. We will now
- 8 | have closing arguments from the parties.
- 9 Mr. Grantham, would you like to start?
- And let me ask all counsel to come down here
- 11 so we can hear you.
- 12 MR. GRANTHAM: Thank you, Madam Hearing
- 13 Officer, members of the Board.
- 14 We've been in hearing for quite some time, so
- 15 I will be brief and allow you to get to your
- 16 deliberations. But I do have a few closing remarks.
- 17 | First of all, I want to emphasize that the
- 18 | visibility is a valid concern. It's an important public
- 19 | welfare criterion. And it has been decided by Congress
- 20 | that visibility is an issue that needs to be addressed
- 21 in Class I areas.
- 22 So the issue before you is not whether
- 23 | visibility is -- we're considering a balance against
- 24 | jobs or other considerations. This Board has to enact a
- 25 | State Implementation Plan to address visibility, or EPA

will do a federal plan.

However, visibility does require a balancing as it would any type of environmental regulation, and the criteria for that balancing are established by Congress in the Clean Air Act.

As Mr. Cline testified to, I think very accurately and eloquently, the criteria in particular for the BART determination are in the act, and they are the cost of compliance, the remaining useful life of the source, the energy and nonair impacts, and the existing pollution control technology, and the degree of visibility improvement.

The act -- the Clean Air Act and case law under the visibility provisions of the act establish that the state has wide discretion in making a determination for the BART determination. Mr. Cline also testified to that.

And I would add that -- he talked about the provisions, the BART guidelines that were established in 1980 and are reasonably -- considerable visibility impairment provisions, as a more accurate reflection or interpretation of the act, because it was more contemporaneous with the 1977 amendments.

However, I would just add that even under the 2005 guidelines that we are operating under here today

for making BART determinations, the guidelines provide a methodology that the state has to follow, and they give the state some guidance on how to weigh -- not -- on how to consider the factors.

But ultimately, it comes down to a decision.

And the 2005 guidelines that we operate under don't provide and they can't provide a quantitative way to reach that ultimate decision.

I think the exchange between Member Ryan and the technical witnesses really kind of brought that into focus, that what we're looking at here as far as the BART determination is -- really comes down to two options. One would give but a quarter of a deciview improvement for about \$75 million, and the other a larger deciview improvement for \$700 million.

And the guidelines can't tell you how to balance those two. There's no formula you can plug those into.

It's the Department's position that either of those would -- either SCR or SNCR is within the state's discretion to determine. What the -- the tipping point for our analysis was the ratepayer cost. The cost is a consideration. That is one of the factors established by the act.

Benefits -- the health benefits are not a

factor in making a BART determination, presumably because Congress feel that there are other provisions to address health affects, such as the National Ambient Air Quality Standards.

So in determining whether the state should exercise its discretion and require more than the presumptive standards, which SNCR meets, the ratepayer cost was -- was the tipping point, and that is why the state's BART determination ended up where it is.

I'd just also like to remind the Board that there -- the BART determination is not the only provision of this rulemaking. There are also revisions to Section 309, the 2003 SIP and technical demonstrations done to satisfy the requirements of 309(g) to extend the 309 program to other Class I areas, and there is substantial evidence in the record supporting that.

So I would respectfully request the Board to approve NMED's proposed SIP for 309 and 309(g), and also just point out that in the -- in our pleadings, there is a proposed statement of reasons at Exhibit 19, which the Board can use to document and memorialize its decision should it reach that decision here today.

Thank you.

MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Grantham.

Mr. Alvidrez?

MR. ALVIDREZ: Yes, Madam Hearing Examiner,
Madam Chair, members of the Board.

I'd like to first open by thanking you very much for your time and your consideration in this case. We understand that you are a volunteer board, that you're not paid to tend to this very important business, and that it is very difficult, it is very time-consuming. And I think it's very commendable to have all of you here during this entire hearing to hear this very important case.

And so please accept my thanks on behalf of Public Service Company of New Mexico for your hard work and your dedication.

I want to talk a little bit about, of course, the BART determination for San Juan Generating Station, and I hope that with the testimony that has been provided and the comments that have been provided by a number of people, that the Board has a solid understanding of what we're dealing with and what the issues are for consideration.

And we've provided information about visibility impacts, about what the BART process is, and have, you know, illustrated or attempted to illustrate with these exhibits about what an investment of a

billion dollars -- what the difference is.

And while we certainly don't want to minimize the importance of visibility, say that it's not something that needs to be considered by the Board -- it absolutely does -- we do think that you do have to look at the cost as factor.

And when you analyze the tremendous costs in terms of impacts to ratepayers, in terms of potential impacts to the Four Corners area, we think, as the New Mexico Environment Department believes, that the balance tips in favor of something less than SCR, that is that SNCR is the correct answer for New Mexico.

And in making this determination, we are, of course, filling a very important statutory duty, both under the Clean Air Act and under our own New Mexico Air Quality Control Act.

And, you know, there were a lot of questions about, well, with SNCR, it costs \$77 million, and we only get an imperceptible improvement in visibility. So why should we cause the ratepayers to even pay that amount?

Well, there's a very important reason why, and that is that that SNCR technology gets you to the presumptive limit. The presumptive limit has legal significance in terms of how the EPA has to judge the

State Implementation Plan. The EPA set that standard and said, "This is what we expect facilities to meet."

And with the SNCR, we can meet it, San Juan will be able to meet it, And this Board will have satisfied its legal requirements in terms of the types of controls, NO_{x} controls, that are required for San Juan.

That's why it's worth the \$77 million to spend to get, even though it's a small improvement, an improvement. We also note that it will also reduce NO_x emissions, which is a good thing. It's not an insignificant amount. It's almost 5,000 tons per year.

There's been a lot of discussion about what's happening around the country in terms of EPA and their involvement in SCR technology, and we did provide the Board, again attached to Mr. Themig's testimony in PJT-2, Attachment A, a list that was current, at least as of April 4th, of various power plants around the country, and the proposed BART determinations for the various plants.

So I hope you'll take a little bit of time to look at it, because you will see that while there are a number of plants for which SCR has been determined or proposed is appropriate, there are many, many more plants where other technologies, including SCR, SNCR,

have been determined appropriate, and even many, many more where the combustion controls as we've talked about, the existing controls that are in effect at San Juan, have been proposed as approved.

Now, in many cases, it still remains to be seen what's going to happen, but I think it's important that if you look at the EPA's own guidelines, their own guidance in this area, here is what they say about SCR.

These guidelines were published in their 2005 preamble, and it says, "Although states may in specific cases find that the use of SCR is appropriate, we have not determined that SCR is generally cost effective for BART across unit times."

So using the EPA's own criteria, SCR is not something that they presume is going to be the appropriate technology. In fact, if you read the EPA BART guidelines, they believe that combustion controls are appropriate. That is the low NO_x burners over air that's in effect at San Juan currently.

When we're talking about SCR and SNCR, we're talking about postcombustion controls. That's, you know, after the coal has been combusted and it's going out the -- the exhaust, if you will.

So in closing, we believe that the proposal that has been submitted by the New Mexico Environment

- 1 Department is a very comprehensive, very thoughtful and
- 2 | certainly very defensible proposal. It's appropriate
- 3 | for the State of New Mexico, and it reaches the
- 4 | appropriate balance of the various interests that this
- 5 | Board is to consider in adopting a regional haze State
- 6 | Implementation Plan.
- 7 And with that, we would urge the Board's
- 8 | approval of the New Mexico Environment Department's SIP
- 9 as proposed.
- 10 Thank you.
- MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Alvidrez.
- 12 Mr. Roybal, do you have closing comments?
- 13 MR. ROYBAL: Very briefly, Madam Hearing
- 14 Officer, members of the Board.
- 15 Again, thank you for your efforts in coming to
- 16 | Farmington, San Juan County.
- We hope that what we offered this morning
- 18 | through Mr. Cline's testimony and his analysis of the
- 19 history and substance of the Clean Air Act and its
- 20 | regulations addressing regional haze will give the Board
- 21 | comfort that its proposed action, the adoption of the
- 22 | NMED proposal, is not only appropriate and in keeping
- 23 | with the provisions and requirements of the act, but is
- 24 | the right decision to make.
- 25 The interchange with Mr. Cline and the Board,

we thought, was a very positive demonstration of the diligence and interest of the -- of the Board.

And I just report to you that Mr. Cline, as someone who has been working in this area for many, many years and who cares about the substance of the law, and in this area specifically -- he commends the Board for its questions and its engagement in this issue, and he again has worked a long time in this area, and he's always happy when he can participate in an effort like this one, and see that the decision-making process where the state bodies that have to work in this very technical area is being implemented in this way.

So I think hopefully, again, that interchange with Mr. Cline will give you some comfort that the Board will be taking an appropriate action.

And the second -- our second witness hopefully will give you some indication of the impact of the Board's decision on the community here and on the specific people. Mr. Benally worked for his whole career with our company and in support of this power plant.

Lucinda Bennalley, the representative of one of the chapters that is most closely impacted by the mine and power plant, should give you again an indication of how your decision will impact our

```
1
    community.
2
              And we thank you for your efforts.
              Close with that.
3
              MS. ORTH:
 4
                          Thank you, Mr. Roybal.
5
              Mr. Rose, do you have anything to add?
 6
              MR. ROSE:
                         Nothing to add, Madam Hearing
7
    Officer.
8
              MS. ORTH:
                          Thank you.
9
              Those are the closing arguments, then, Board.
10
              And I will turn the imaginary gavel back to
11
    the chair.
12
              MS. PEACOCK:
                             Thank you.
13
              And thank you everyone for providing testimony
14
    and comments today. We really appreciate it, and we've
15
    learned a lot in the last couple of days.
               So we're going to move into deliberations on
16
    11-01, and does anyone want to start?
17
18
              MS. RYAN: I'm getting several looks from the
19
    Board, so -- I don't know whether that's because they
20
    want to get -- they know I'm talkative and want me to
21
    get it out of the way or because they legitimately want
22
    to hear what I have to say.
23
               So I'm going to go with the later -- with the
2.4
    latter.
```

I would just like to state that for me

25

personally, and I know probably for other members of our
Board, that there's been the rhetoric used the past
couple days of that this is a moral issue. And I happen
to completely agree with that. It is a moral issue. It
is a moral issue.

The public health of our state is a moral issue. The economic impact, the loss of jobs are an economic issue. Our environment -- the state of our environment and needing to protect what I believe God has given us is absolutely a moral issue. And that is the reason that I agreed to be a member of this Board.

So I do appreciate those comments and take them very personally. So thank you to those of you who have raised those.

But as a member of the Board, I am -- I have to make my decisions in accordance with the guidelines set forth in the Air Quality Control Act more specifically, and then also within the guidelines set forth in BART.

And to just recap, our guidelines and implementation of the SIP are under the Air Quality Control Act and not the Environmental Improvement Act, and those guidelines are separate, and they do put on this Board factors that we have to take into consideration when we're making a decision to implement

regulations under the Air Quality Control Act.

And those are character and degree of injury to or interference with health, welfare, visibility and property; two, the public interest, including the social and economic value of the sources and subjects of air contaminants; and, three, technical practicability and economic reasonableness of reducing or eliminating air contaminants from the sources involved; and previous experience with equipment and methods available to control the air contaminants involved.

We have heard -- and that -- and taking that into consideration of the five factors that we must weigh under BART, public health is not one of them, and we do not have, you know, any technical testimony -- because of that, we do not have any technical testimony or evidence to consider with regard to the impact of that.

However, I do anticipate that in other hearings and in other things that our Environmental Department does, that we will be taking those into very careful consideration.

But today, we are particularly focused on visibility and the regional haze and specifically in this area of the state, and also the economic reasonableness and impact to the community, as well as

the value of the resources in this community.

So all of that considered, members of the Board, and those present today, my vote would be to support the NMED's and PNM's proposal for the State Implementation Plan.

And I would like to recognize the efforts by the New Mexico Environmental Department to do its best to really focus on what is best for our state and not just let the federal government come in and tell us what to do.

And I really appreciate all of the hard work that you have done to do what is best for us and to keep local -- what affects us locally local.

And as well as PNM for its efforts previously spending the 320 million to improve its -- its facilities and its output and to determine your own destiny on how you want to be a member of our society and productive and also your steps to even further that with the SIP.

So thank you to PNM and the coal plant for continuing to take steps to move that forward.

So that will be my vote today.

MS. PEACOCK: Any others?

MR. BRYCE: I'll go ahead and give my

25 | thoughts.

We've heard from a lot of people in public comment and a lot of good information from those who are also affected through the business world, and we've had some people suggest that we should do less than SNCR, that even the \$77 million is -- for a little bit of visibility doesn't seem like a wise investment.

We've got others saying they'd like to do the maximum because they just want the cleanest air, they'd love to have natural visibility today.

The hybrid, which is right in the middle, proved to be not cost effective to invest that kind of money for not that much more significant results than the SNCR, it didn't seem very wise.

So it really did boil down to SNCR versus SCR, which is where we started, of course.

The whole SIP -- you know, typically the Board will spend a lot of time going through every single word of the SIP. Seeing that we had a foot stack of papers, we didn't spend probably quite as much time as all the verbiage of the plan.

But it's obvious that we have to submit a SIP.

You know, that's our obligation. It should have
happened prior to now. To not approve it, have the FIP
go into place, I think, is a little bit negligent on our
part, to not do what the state should be doing, and this

should be in our own control.

It's my thought that based on the five factors of BART -- you know, visibility is great. I would love to see pristine, clear air every time I walked on top of a mountain or looked around and see the vistas.

You know, the \$1 billion investment to get us slightly improved air -- you know, I wish -- I wish it was crystal clear, and, you know, it was just the way we imagined it on the best day that we've ever been outdoors. But to spend a billion dollars to get that kind of view, the improvement is not significant.

To me, it seems obvious that we need to meet the EPA threshold, we have to make some investment. A \$77 million investment is a much wiser investment in my view than spending 10 times that amount.

I also would support the SIP and the SNCR technology.

MS. PEACOCK: Anyone else?

MR. FULFER: I think you have to have a -probably meetings like this to bring technology and
compliance to the forefront, especially technology, that
brings out what's available out there and keeps the
search for better technology, and I think we're going to
see that more and more for coal over the next few years.

The EPA system, I feel like, lacks

all-encompassing and state-specific items. I think it's unreasonable. Some of the testimony that was talked about today as being marginal or excellence, I think the excellence it's not obtained in one slice or one silver bullet. I think it's something that's grown over time.

And so I think you have to -- you have to have something in place and being working toward that all the time. And I think the state does that in their -- in their attempt here.

I think with New Mexico being one of the poorest states in the state overall economy that we're in, I think this is really -- the EPA method is economically burdensome.

I think -- I think the state's plan may be somewhat even burdensome in the fact that this company has invested over \$320 million and not considering all the facts of what they've put in it at this time as far as getting their investment. They've only had that investment in a couple of years.

And how do you keep adding this on every two years or what's -- so I feel like it -- there should be at least like a five-year time span in instituting another burden. I think that will create time for the ratepayers to -- to -- they don't feel that burden. It will give technology a time to catch up.

I think we're going to see coal technology -like I said a while ago, it's coming along fast -- at a
faster clip than we've seen in many years. The
cost/benefit ratio of the EPA plan, I feel like, is out
of balance. The economic cost to the state, the cities
and the counties is a severe burden to the people.

The health benefits, I think you have to take those somewhat into consideration in thinking about it, but I think electricity brings a lot of health benefits to our modern times. The economic stimulus that it brings, I think, brings our health up to another level. I think the poorer our nation is, the worse the health benefits are.

And so our nation's dependent on coal. I think without it it's -- it would create a poorer nation. So I really feel like the benefits to health to having coal is -- far outweighs the not having.

I do support the state's plan. I think the plan needs to give some time for good planning and engineering. And I think it should be required to maybe give it some time to -- for that engineering planning to get -- before they have to institute that. And I do support the plan.

MS. PEACOCK: Anyone else?

MR. CASCIANO: Yeah. I have some comments.

1 First, I wanted to thank the technical 2 witnesses that came forward. There was a tremendous volume of information, both regulatory and 3 technology-wise, that I thought the technical witnesses did a very good job of sorting through that and 6 presenting summaries to the Board and asking -- or answering questions.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will be voting in favor of the state's implementation plan for -- for several reasons. I was concerned with the EPA questions to the state's plan, but I was very impressed with the responses that the state provided to EPA and think they addressed the list of 20 or so questions that EPA had. So that helped me in coming to this conclusion.

I would note that most of the public comment was in favor of the state's plan. A great majority today, less of a majority yesterday, but even the nontechnical comments yesterday were more in favor of the state's plan.

And those who spoke against the plan or for the EPA proposal, many of those were in favor of clean technology and energy alternatives, and I think it's -it's our job to balance, and if we put too much money into end of pipe control for pollutants that have marginal benefits when it comes to visibility or public health improvements, then we lose out with that money that we could apply to other more productive uses.

I was moved today by the comments from the

Native American community. I think it's very important

for us to remember, as Board Member Fulfer said, that

health outcomes in the US are the primary predictor or

the biggest factor in predicting negative health

income -- or outcomes. It is income level and

socioeconomic status.

So if you are a poor person in the United

States, you -- you have about twice the chance of

getting across a multitude of common diseases and causes

of death -- of getting those than if you are in a poor

socioeconomic strata.

So I think it's important that we keep businesses healthy and alive and -- and that we be sensitive to workers in a community.

So with that, I'll conclude my remarks by also kind of giving my opinion on EPA's best available control technology, in this case retrofit technology. I think it's important that we remember -- and Mr. Cline talked about this a little bit. I think the best really in the regulations means the best for the situation at hand. It doesn't mean the theoretical best or the absolute best.

EPA and the environmental rules really want us to consider a number of factors and what is best kind of considering everything for the particular situation.

Thank you.

MS. PEACOCK: Anyone else?

MR. VOLKERDING: I'll go.

Being from the Farmington area, I was happy to hear, you know, from the public this morning, and as Mr. Casciano pointed out, overwhelmingly the people in this area up here today are in support of the New Mexico's plan.

And I think it's -- something that, you know, the Board has to consider is that, you know, we're -- we've heard testimony and public comment in terms of alternative energy and green, and we've had people talk about global warming and those kinds of things. And those are all very important issues, but that's not actually what this hearing is about.

This hearing is about whether this Board approves the State Implementation Plan as presented.

And so I think it's important that we stay focused in terms of what is before us.

As has been pointed out, you know, Congress, when it came up with these rules, outlined what a state should do in developing a SIP, and the New Mexico's

proposal has done that.

2.0

And in response to the people that are concerned about public health, I think Mr. Alvidrez brought up an excellent point, there are a whole bunch of other rules that come into play, and this is -- this decision and this SIP is not done in a vacuum. There are the National Ambient Air Quality Standards, there's New Mexico air quality standards, there's other performance standards for different types of equipment.

And so whatever decision the Board makes does not invalidate any of those aspects that are out there to also help and protect public health.

And so staying on point in terms of what is before this Board, the state's SIP plan has met all of the criteria that Congress laid out in the Clean Air Act, it does reduce emissions from the PNM plant, and so I also would be in support of the New Mexico plan.

MR. MORROW: Oh, I -- they've said everything that I was going to say, so no sense taking up anybody else's time.

But I am in support of what we're doing. I appreciate hearing from both sides, we do need to hear from both sides. But I think the decision that we make today and the guidance we've had has been very good.

And that's all I'll say.

```
MS. PEACOCK: Again, and I'll wrap it up.
1
2
               I'm very impressed with the Environment
3
    Department's work on this, with PNM's work, and the
4
    engineers and the modeling that went into this, and also
5
    how you -- you've played with EPA and responding to them
 6
    and getting them involved, and it just seems to be a
7
    very complete process to me, and it's a process that
8
    does belong in the state.
9
              And that's why, as well, I'm going to be
10
    voting for the SIP.
11
               So we've heard from everybody.
12
              Can I -- will somebody make a motion?
13
              MR. MORROW: I so move.
              MS. RYAN:
14
                        Second.
15
              MS. PEACOCK: All in favor.
16
               (Simultaneous responses.)
17
              MS. PEACOCK: Okay. Unanimous to approve the
18
    SIP.
19
              And because of what -- upon approval of the
20
    SIP, we now move -- need to move into 11-02 and have a
21
    hearing on that.
22
                         Yes, Madam Chair.
              MS. ORTH:
23
              MS. PEACOCK: So I'll turn it over to
2.4
    Ms. Orth.
               (Proceedings adjourned at 12:33 p.m.)
25
```

```
1
    STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2
                           ) ss.
    COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3
                           )
 4
5
 6
         I, CHERYL ARREGUIN, the officer before whom the
7
    foregoing proceeding was taken, do hereby certify that
8
    the witnesses whose testimony appears in the foregoing
    transcript were duly sworn or affirmed; that I
9
10
    personally recorded the testimony by machine shorthand;
11
    that said transcript is a true record of the testimony
12
    given by said witnesses; that I am neither attorney nor
13
    counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the
    parties to the action in which this proceeding is taken,
14
15
    and that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney
    or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially
16
    interested in the action.
17
18
19
                               NOTARY PUBLIC
20
                               CCR License Number: 21
                               Expires: 12/31/2011
21
22
    My Commission Expires:
                             12/12/11
23
24
25
```

\$	11:57 [1] - 290:1	218:15, 243:19,	300 [1] - 176:10	70 [1] - 194:2
Ψ	12/12/11 [1] - 313:22	257:5, 280:25, 281:15	309 [3] - 293:13,	70-something [1] -
	12/31/2011 [1] -	2010 [5] - 199:18,	293:15, 293:19	188:23
\$11 [1] - 192:9	313:20	209:12, 242:10,	309(g [2] - 293:15,	700 [1] - 191:16
\$116 [1] - 242:25	122 [2] - 196:23,	242:23, 243:6	293:19	71 [2] - 188:23,
\$12 [1] - 213:17	214:3	2011 [5] - 174:16,	31 [1] - 260:7	211:11
\$150 [1] - 243:2	12:33 [1] - 312:25	180:10, 185:10,	32 [1] - 260:10	7103 [1] - 174:19
\$30 [1] - 196:22	13 [1] - 259:23	206:20, 243:19	320 [1] - 303:15	715 [1] - 211:12
\$320 [4] - 188:20,		2018 [1] - 230:4		
281:15, 285:9, 306:16	15-minute [1] -	2016 [1] - 230.4 202 [1] - 177:15	325 [1] - 176:17	75 [1] - 242:9
\$383,000 [1] - 243:21	289:18	202 [1] - 177.15 205 [1] - 177:17	33 [1] - 209:12	750 [1] - 232:23
	1508 [1] - 175:13		34 [1] - 243:21	779-1627 [1] - 175:20
\$46 [1] - 243:6	169A [1] - 223:19	2050 [1] - 203:1		
\$49 [1] - 243:8	169B [1] - 223:20	2053 [1] - 206:6	4	8
\$700 _[1] - 292:15	18 [2] - 215:4, 215:5	2064 [1] - 264:18		
\$75 [1] - 292:14	184 [1] - 177:5	207 [1] - 177:19	4 _[2] - 282:13, 283:13	8 [1] - 211:13
\$750 [1] - 213:15	187 [1] - 177:7	20th [1] - 185:2	• • •	
\$77 [5] - 213:17,	18th [1] - 206:9	21 [2] - 192:13,	4,000 [1] - 196:8	80 [2] - 268:14
295:18, 296:8, 304:5,	19 [1] - 293:21	313:20	4,500 [1] - 272:9	80s [1] - 192:15
305:14	190 [1] - 177:9	210 [1] - 177:21	40 [4] - 202:21,	824-4737 [1] - 176:6
\$8.62 [1] - 242:25	193 [1] - 177:11	212 [1] - 177:23	203:12, 206:3, 260:4	827-6040 [1] - 175:14
\$82 [1] - 209:7	1964 [1] - 200:6	214 [1] - 177:25	400 [1] - 268:12	83 [1] - 207:24
\$85 [7] - 191:5,	197 [1] - 177:13	217 [1] - 178:5	42 [1] - 212:18	86 [1] - 260:11
191:7, 191:13,	1971 [1] - 268:8	219 [1] - 180:5	44 [1] - 188:22	87102 [1] - 176:6
191:18, 192:9,	1972 [2] - 239:22,	22 [1] - 261:10	45 [1] - 261:11	87401 [1] - 176:11
192:11, 213:16	239:23	227 [1] - 178:6	4601 [1] - 174:19	87501 [1] - 175:5
\$93 [1] - 242:13	1974 [1] - 247:22	23 [4] - 209:13,	48 [1] - 260:3	87502-5469 [1] -
		282:20, 283:16	4th [1] - 296:18	175:20
ī	1976 [1] - 185:10	2307 [1] - 176:16		87504-1508 [1] -
	1977 [2] - 220:23,	234 [2] - 178:7, 178:8	5	175:13
	291:23	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		87504-2307 [1] -
'01 [1] - 281:19	1980 [4] - 220:24,	235 [1] - 178:9		176:17
'03 [1] - 281:19	221:19, 222:24,	239 [1] - 178:11	5 [1] - 285:3	
'74 [1] - 248:2	291:20	24 [1] - 243:9	5,000 [2] - 246:17,	0
'76 [1] - 185:12			-,,	u u
10[1] - 100.12	1980s [1] - 221:12	240 [1] - 180:4	296:12	9
	1999 [2] - 205:22,	245 [1] - 178:13		9
'77 [1] - 229:5		245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15	296:12	9 _[1] - 262:19
	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16,	
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4	1999 [2] - 205:22,	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22	9 [1] - 262:19
'77 [1] - 229:5	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18	9 _[1] - 262:19 900 _[1] - 276:12 90s _[1] - 192:15
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18,	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3,
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7,	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:19	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21,
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14,	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1 [5] - 180:10,	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7,	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:19 255 [2] - 178:21,	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1 [5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16,	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:19 255 [2] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1[5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7,	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:19 255 [2] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:18	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18 9:08 [1] - 174:20
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1 [5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24 1,038 [1] - 242:11	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7, 204:7, 206:2, 242:4,	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:19 255 [2] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25 268 [1] - 179:5	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:18 575 [1] - 175:20	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1[5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24 1,038 [1] - 242:11 10 [5] - 191:16,	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7,	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:19 255 [2] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25 268 [1] - 179:5 27,000 [1] - 259:22	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:18	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18 9:08 [1] - 174:20
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1 [5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24 1,038 [1] - 242:11 10 [5] - 191:16, 209:18, 286:8,	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7, 204:7, 206:2, 242:4,	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:19 255 [2] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25 268 [1] - 179:5 27,000 [1] - 259:22 276 [1] - 179:7	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:18 575 [1] - 175:20 598-4358 [1] - 176:12	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18 9:08 [1] - 174:20
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1[5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24 1,038 [1] - 242:11 10 [5] - 191:16, 209:18, 286:8, 289:18, 305:15	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7, 204:7, 206:2, 242:4, 251:25, 308:13	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25 268 [1] - 179:5 27,000 [1] - 259:22 276 [1] - 179:7 28 [1] - 260:8	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:18 575 [1] - 175:20	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18 9:08 [1] - 174:20
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1[5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24 1,038 [1] - 242:11 10 [5] - 191:16, 209:18, 286:8, 289:18, 305:15 100 [1] - 191:16	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7, 204:7, 206:2, 242:4, 251:25, 308:13 200 [1] - 176:11	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25 268 [1] - 179:5 27,000 [1] - 259:22 276 [1] - 179:7 28 [1] - 260:8 280,000 [1] - 259:21	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:18 575 [1] - 175:20 598-4358 [1] - 176:12	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18 9:08 [1] - 174:20 A a.m [3] - 174:20, 289:25, 290:1
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1[5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24 1,038 [1] - 242:11 10 [5] - 191:16, 209:18, 286:8, 289:18, 305:15 100 [1] - 191:16 11 [4] - 192:13,	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7, 204:7, 206:2, 242:4, 251:25, 308:13 200 [1] - 176:11 2001 [2] - 281:3,	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25 268 [1] - 179:5 27,000 [1] - 259:22 276 [1] - 179:7 28 [1] - 260:8 280,000 [1] - 259:21 286 [1] - 179:9	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:18 575 [1] - 175:20 598-4358 [1] - 176:12	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18 9:08 [1] - 174:20 A a.m [3] - 174:20, 289:25, 290:1 abate [1] - 201:14
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1[5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24 1,038 [1] - 242:11 10 [5] - 191:16, 209:18, 286:8, 289:18, 305:15 100 [1] - 191:16 11 [4] - 192:13, 211:11, 240:2, 243:10	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7, 204:7, 206:2, 242:4, 251:25, 308:13 200 [1] - 176:11 2001 [2] - 281:3, 282:3 2003 [3] - 274:25,	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25 268 [1] - 179:5 27,000 [1] - 259:22 276 [1] - 179:7 28 [1] - 260:8 280,000 [1] - 259:21 286 [1] - 179:9 289 [1] - 180:10	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:18 575 [1] - 175:20 598-4358 [1] - 176:12	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18 9:08 [1] - 174:20 A a.m [3] - 174:20, 289:25, 290:1 abate [1] - 201:14 abide [1] - 257:7
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1[5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24 1,038 [1] - 242:11 10 [5] - 191:16, 209:18, 286:8, 289:18, 305:15 100 [1] - 191:16 11 [4] - 192:13, 211:11, 240:2, 243:10 11-01 [3] - 181:6,	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7, 204:7, 206:2, 242:4, 251:25, 308:13 200 [1] - 176:11 2001 [2] - 281:3, 282:3 2003 [3] - 274:25, 282:3, 293:13	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25 268 [1] - 179:5 27,000 [1] - 259:22 276 [1] - 179:7 28 [1] - 260:8 280,000 [1] - 259:21 286 [1] - 179:9	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:18 575 [1] - 175:20 598-4358 [1] - 176:12 6 6 6 [4] - 213:14, 262:2, 264:6, 272:16	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18 9:08 [1] - 174:20 A a.m [3] - 174:20, 289:25, 290:1 abate [1] - 201:14 abide [1] - 257:7 abided [1] - 257:3
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1[5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24 1,038 [1] - 242:11 10 [5] - 191:16, 209:18, 286:8, 289:18, 305:15 100 [1] - 191:16 11 [4] - 192:13, 211:11, 240:2, 243:10 11-01 [3] - 181:6, 290:5, 300:17	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7, 204:7, 206:2, 242:4, 251:25, 308:13 200 [1] - 176:11 2001 [2] - 281:3, 282:3 2003 [3] - 274:25, 282:3, 293:13 2004 [2] - 218:14,	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25 268 [1] - 179:5 27,000 [1] - 259:22 276 [1] - 179:7 28 [1] - 260:8 280,000 [1] - 259:21 286 [1] - 179:9 289 [1] - 180:10 2nd [1] - 174:16	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:20 598-4358 [1] - 176:12 6 6 [4] - 213:14, 262:2, 264:6, 272:16 60 [1] - 260:12	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18 9:08 [1] - 174:20 A a.m [3] - 174:20, 289:25, 290:1 abate [1] - 201:14 abide [1] - 257:7 abided [1] - 257:3 able [17] - 186:5,
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1[5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24 1,038 [1] - 242:11 10 [5] - 191:16, 209:18, 286:8, 289:18, 305:15 100 [1] - 191:16 11 [4] - 192:13, 211:11, 240:2, 243:10 11-01 [3] - 181:6, 290:5, 300:17 11-01(R [2] - 174:3,	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 2[4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7, 204:7, 206:2, 242:4, 251:25, 308:13 200 [1] - 176:11 2001 [2] - 281:3, 282:3 2003 [3] - 274:25, 282:3, 293:13 2004 [2] - 218:14, 230:4	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25 268 [1] - 179:5 27,000 [1] - 259:22 276 [1] - 179:7 28 [1] - 260:8 280,000 [1] - 259:21 286 [1] - 179:9 289 [1] - 180:10	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:18 575 [1] - 175:20 598-4358 [1] - 176:12 6 6 [4] - 213:14, 262:2, 264:6, 272:16 60 [1] - 260:12 600 [2] - 209:13,	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18 9:08 [1] - 174:20 A a.m [3] - 174:20, 289:25, 290:1 abate [1] - 201:14 abide [1] - 257:7 abided [1] - 257:3 able [17] - 186:5, 191:8, 196:15,
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1[5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24 1,038 [1] - 242:11 10 [5] - 191:16, 209:18, 286:8, 289:18, 305:15 100 [1] - 191:16 11 [4] - 192:13, 211:11, 240:2, 243:10 11-01 [3] - 181:6, 290:5, 300:17 11-01(R [2] - 174:3, 212:15	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 2[4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7, 204:7, 206:2, 242:4, 251:25, 308:13 200 [1] - 176:11 2001 [2] - 281:3, 282:3 2003 [3] - 274:25, 282:3, 293:13 2004 [2] - 218:14, 230:4 2005 [7] - 229:7,	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25 268 [1] - 179:5 27,000 [1] - 259:22 276 [1] - 179:7 28 [1] - 260:8 280,000 [1] - 259:21 286 [1] - 179:9 289 [1] - 180:10 2nd [1] - 174:16	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:18 575 [1] - 175:20 598-4358 [1] - 176:12 6 6 [4] - 213:14, 262:2, 264:6, 272:16 60 [1] - 260:12 600 [2] - 209:13, 209:17	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18 9:08 [1] - 174:20 A a.m [3] - 174:20, 289:25, 290:1 abate [1] - 201:14 abide [1] - 257:7 abided [1] - 257:3 able [17] - 186:5, 191:8, 196:15, 202:17, 203:22,
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1[5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24 1,038 [1] - 242:11 10 [5] - 191:16, 209:18, 286:8, 289:18, 305:15 100 [1] - 191:16 11 [4] - 192:13, 211:11, 240:2, 243:10 11-01 [3] - 181:6, 290:5, 300:17 11-01(R [2] - 174:3, 212:15 11-02 [1] - 312:20	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7, 204:7, 206:2, 242:4, 251:25, 308:13 200 [1] - 176:11 2001 [2] - 281:3, 282:3 2003 [3] - 274:25, 282:3, 293:13 2004 [2] - 218:14, 230:4 2005 [7] - 229:7, 280:25, 281:15,	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25 268 [1] - 179:5 27,000 [1] - 259:22 276 [1] - 179:7 28 [1] - 260:8 280,000 [1] - 259:21 286 [1] - 179:9 289 [1] - 180:10 2nd [1] - 174:16	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:18 575 [1] - 175:20 598-4358 [1] - 176:12 6 6 [4] - 213:14, 262:2, 264:6, 272:16 60 [1] - 260:12 600 [2] - 209:13,	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18 9:08 [1] - 174:20 A a.m [3] - 174:20, 289:25, 290:1 abate [1] - 201:14 abide [1] - 257:7 abided [1] - 257:3 able [17] - 186:5, 191:8, 196:15, 202:17, 203:22, 216:18, 235:6, 242:6,
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1[5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24 1,038 [1] - 242:11 10 [5] - 191:16, 209:18, 286:8, 289:18, 305:15 100 [1] - 191:16 11 [4] - 192:13, 211:11, 240:2, 243:10 11-01 [3] - 181:6, 290:5, 300:17 11-01(R [2] - 174:3, 212:15	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 2[4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7, 204:7, 206:2, 242:4, 251:25, 308:13 200 [1] - 176:11 2001 [2] - 281:3, 282:3 2003 [3] - 274:25, 282:3, 293:13 2004 [2] - 218:14, 230:4 2005 [7] - 229:7, 280:25, 281:15, 282:8, 291:25, 292:6,	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25 268 [1] - 179:5 27,000 [1] - 259:22 276 [1] - 179:7 28 [1] - 260:8 280,000 [1] - 259:21 286 [1] - 179:9 289 [1] - 180:10 2nd [1] - 174:16	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:18 575 [1] - 175:20 598-4358 [1] - 176:12 6 6 [4] - 213:14, 262:2, 264:6, 272:16 60 [1] - 260:12 600 [2] - 209:13, 209:17 63 [2] - 188:7, 242:13	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18 9:08 [1] - 174:20 A a.m [3] - 174:20, 289:25, 290:1 abate [1] - 201:14 abide [1] - 257:7 abided [1] - 257:3 able [17] - 186:5, 191:8, 196:15, 202:17, 203:22, 216:18, 235:6, 242:6, 252:8, 253:9, 254:1,
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1[5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24 1,038 [1] - 242:11 10 [5] - 191:16, 209:18, 286:8, 289:18, 305:15 100 [1] - 191:16 11 [4] - 192:13, 211:11, 240:2, 243:10 11-01 [3] - 181:6, 290:5, 300:17 11-01(R [2] - 174:3, 212:15 11-02 [1] - 312:20	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7, 204:7, 206:2, 242:4, 251:25, 308:13 200 [1] - 176:11 2001 [2] - 281:3, 282:3 2003 [3] - 274:25, 282:3, 293:13 2004 [2] - 218:14, 230:4 2005 [7] - 229:7, 280:25, 281:15, 282:8, 291:25, 292:6, 297:9	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25 268 [1] - 179:5 27,000 [1] - 259:22 276 [1] - 179:7 28 [1] - 260:8 280,000 [1] - 259:21 286 [1] - 179:9 289 [1] - 180:10 2nd [1] - 174:16 3 3 [4] - 242:14, 281:3, 282:14, 282:20	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:18 575 [1] - 175:20 598-4358 [1] - 176:12 6 6 [4] - 213:14, 262:2, 264:6, 272:16 60 [1] - 260:12 600 [2] - 209:13, 209:17	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18 9:08 [1] - 174:20 A a.m [3] - 174:20, 289:25, 290:1 abate [1] - 201:14 abide [1] - 257:7 abided [1] - 257:3 able [17] - 186:5, 191:8, 196:15, 202:17, 203:22, 216:18, 235:6, 242:6, 252:8, 253:9, 254:1, 254:2, 254:20,
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1[5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24 1,038 [1] - 242:11 10 [5] - 191:16, 209:18, 286:8, 289:18, 305:15 100 [1] - 191:16 11 [4] - 192:13, 211:11, 240:2, 243:10 11-01 [3] - 181:6, 290:5, 300:17 11-01(R [2] - 174:3, 212:15 11-02 [1] - 312:20 1100 [1] - 176:5	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 2[4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7, 204:7, 206:2, 242:4, 251:25, 308:13 200 [1] - 176:11 2001 [2] - 281:3, 282:3 2003 [3] - 274:25, 282:3, 293:13 2004 [2] - 218:14, 230:4 2005 [7] - 229:7, 280:25, 281:15, 282:8, 291:25, 292:6, 297:9 2006 [2] - 198:2,	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25 268 [1] - 179:5 27,000 [1] - 259:22 276 [1] - 179:7 28 [1] - 260:8 280,000 [1] - 259:21 286 [1] - 179:9 289 [1] - 180:10 2nd [1] - 174:16 3 3 [4] - 242:14, 281:3, 282:14, 282:20 30 [3] - 214:2,	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:18 575 [1] - 175:20 598-4358 [1] - 176:12 6 6 [4] - 213:14, 262:2, 264:6, 272:16 60 [1] - 260:12 600 [2] - 209:13, 209:17 63 [2] - 188:7, 242:13	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18 9:08 [1] - 174:20 A a.m [3] - 174:20, 289:25, 290:1 abate [1] - 201:14 abide [1] - 257:7 abided [1] - 257:3 able [17] - 186:5, 191:8, 196:15, 202:17, 203:22, 216:18, 235:6, 242:6, 252:8, 253:9, 254:1, 254:2, 254:20, 255:16, 281:8,
'77 [1] - 229:5 'mix' [1] - 222:4 1 1[5] - 180:10, 184:15, 213:16, 243:17, 305:6 1,000 [1] - 213:24 1,038 [1] - 242:11 10 [5] - 191:16, 209:18, 286:8, 289:18, 305:15 100 [1] - 191:16 11 [4] - 192:13, 211:11, 240:2, 243:10 11-01 [3] - 181:6, 290:5, 300:17 11-01(R [2] - 174:3, 212:15 11-02 [1] - 312:20 1100 [1] - 176:5 1190 [2] - 175:4,	1999 [2] - 205:22, 229:6 2 [4] - 174:24, 246:7, 281:3, 285:3 2.5 [2] - 279:7, 279:12 20 [7] - 195:6, 195:7, 204:7, 206:2, 242:4, 251:25, 308:13 200 [1] - 176:11 2001 [2] - 281:3, 282:3 2003 [3] - 274:25, 282:3, 293:13 2004 [2] - 218:14, 230:4 2005 [7] - 229:7, 280:25, 281:15, 282:8, 291:25, 292:6, 297:9	245 [1] - 178:13 247 [1] - 178:15 249 [1] - 178:17 25 [3] - 212:18, 282:25, 283:19 251 [1] - 178:21, 209:15 259 [1] - 178:23 265 [1] - 178:25 268 [1] - 179:5 27,000 [1] - 259:22 276 [1] - 179:7 28 [1] - 260:8 280,000 [1] - 259:21 286 [1] - 179:9 289 [1] - 180:10 2nd [1] - 174:16 3 3 [4] - 242:14, 281:3, 282:14, 282:20	296:12 50 [4] - 191:16, 206:13, 209:12, 242:22 50-year [1] - 243:18 500 [2] - 176:5, 268:12 505 [4] - 175:14, 176:6, 176:12, 176:18 529 [1] - 243:5 5469 [1] - 175:18 575 [1] - 175:20 598-4358 [1] - 176:12 6 6 [4] - 213:14, 262:2, 264:6, 272:16 60 [1] - 260:12 600 [2] - 209:13, 209:17 63 [2] - 188:7, 242:13	9 [1] - 262:19 900 [1] - 276:12 90s [1] - 192:15 953 [4] - 240:3, 242:18, 249:21, 256:21 982-3873 [1] - 176:18 9:08 [1] - 174:20 A a.m [3] - 174:20, 289:25, 290:1 abate [1] - 201:14 abide [1] - 257:7 abided [1] - 257:3 able [17] - 186:5, 191:8, 196:15, 202:17, 203:22, 216:18, 235:6, 242:6, 252:8, 253:9, 254:1, 254:2, 254:20,

KATHY TOWNSEND COURT REPORTERS

226:13, 235:8, 236:8, 301:11 298:11, 311:3 absolutely [3] acts [1] - 200:9 ALVIDREZ [24] -285:16, 295:5, 301:10 actual [2] - 184:24, 256:5, 257:25, agriculture [1] absorb [2] - 203:25, 222:4 271:22, 272:15, 265:8 176:3, 182:2, 183:2, 272:20 ahead [4] - 202:25, 226:23, 244:6, 204.1 actuality [1] - 228:4 adopted [2] - 222:4, 203:1, 274:9, 303:24 269:13, 269:20, academics [1] ad [1] - 197:25 199:16 add [7] - 211:3, 233:9 aimed [1] - 211:7 269:24. 271:19. 272:1. 275:17. accept [3] - 181:10, 270:24, 281:15, adopting [2] -Air [27] - 180:8, 275:21. 284:25. 194:13, 298:5 247:3, 294:12 291:18, 291:24, 201:12, 205:20, 285:16, 285:18, adoption [3] accommodate [2] -300:5, 300:6 208:14, 218:5, 218:9, 286:1, 286:3, 286:20, 219:14, 234:11, 190:5, 225:9 add-ons [1] - 281:15 220:7, 222:6, 223:17, 286:23, 286:24, 298:21 accompanies [1] adding [2] - 192:14, 223:19, 235:22, 287:3, 287:10, 194:19 adopts [1] - 275:2 235:25, 236:1, 237:2, 306:20 287:18, 294:2 adverse [1] - 226:1 250:11, 288:14, accordance [1] addition [5] - 195:8, Ambient [2] - 293:3, 301:16 213:19. 217:17. advisor [1] - 240:1 291:5, 291:13, 293:3, 311:7 295:15, 298:19, according [2] -243:2, 279:14 advocated [1] additional [8] -301:17, 301:21, amend [1] - 230:24 225:24, 250:10 198:10 302:1, 311:7, 311:15 amendments [1] -181:10, 261:22, aerosols [2] account [11] air [31] - 185:9, 291:23 219:18, 242:14, 277:22, 279:18 271:4, 271:9, 278:4, Affairs [1] - 199:14 185:15, 186:2, America [1] - 255:11 277:17, 277:20, 281:16, 281:23, 186:22, 187:1, 277:21, 278:5, 283:18 affairs [2] - 239:25, American [3] -198:16, 198:24, 242:14, 250:10, 309:4 240:4 280:13, 280:15, additionally [1] -199:1, 199:10, ammonia [1] -281:9, 281:16 280:12 affect [6] - 223:3, 199:15, 200:13, 280:16 246:9, 254:17, accurate [1] - 291:21 additions [1] -208:2, 208:24, 209:1, amount [5] - 188:16, 256:11, 256:15, accurately [1] -239:17 210:5, 216:2, 237:12, 211:19, 295:21, 291:7 additive [1] - 283:10 257:17 246:11, 250:12, 296:12, 305:15 achieve [7] - 186:24, address [14] affected [3] - 184:2, 265:14, 266:3, 187:1, 235:9, 236:17, 206:15, 206:18, 256:24, 304:3 amounts [1] - 265:14 277:16, 278:22, 236:18, 264:17, 206:22, 208:2, affects [6] - 256:12, analyses [3] -297:18, 302:5, 302:7, 283:25 211:24, 220:13, 256:19, 257:11, 228:13, 278:5, 281:12 302:10, 304:8, 305:4, 236:3, 255:2, 262:13, 257:14, 293:3, 303:13 analysis [15] achieved [3] -305:7, 311:8 189:11, 230:12, 274:17, 275:3, 282:2, affirmed [25] - 184:9, 223:22, 224:14, Albuquerque [2] -290:25, 293:3 224:15, 238:19, 235:11 187:21, 190:16, 176:6, 184:21 273:4, 273:15, achieves [1] - 186:8 addressed [6] -193:5, 197:16, 202:2, alive [1] - 309:16 act [9] - 200:2, 213:8, 213:13, 205:2, 207:7, 210:19, 273:16, 273:18, all-encompassing 277:3, 277:17, 236:13, 272:20, 220:25, 221:12, 212:6, 214:19, [1] - 306:1 277:25, 279:16, 291:8, 291:13, 290:20, 308:12 216:25, 217:5, 239:7, **Alleged** [1] - 288:5 282:9, 292:22, 298:18 291:14, 291:22, 245:2, 247:13, addresses [2] alleged [1] - 236:11 292:24, 298:23 274:20, 274:21 249:11, 251:10, Analysis [2] - 180:7, 255:20, 258:13, Alliance [2] - 205:14, Act [24] - 200:6, addressing [4] -212:22 259:2, 265:2, 268:2, analytical [1] - 224:9 205:20, 208:14, 211:21, 211:25, allow [3] - 215:22, analyze [2] - 264:10, 218:5, 218:9, 220:7, 274:15, 298:20 276:3, 313:9 afford [1] - 204:19 222:25, 290:15 295:7 222:2, 222:6, 223:17, adjourned [1] allowed [2] - 265:18, ANDREW [1] -223:19, 235:22, age [2] - 200:15 312:25 288:25 235:25, 236:1, 237:2, 176:15 administration [1] -Agency [7] - 176:14, allowing [1] - 193:19 291:5, 291:13, 242:7 182:12, 199:13, announced [1] -295:15, 295:16, administrator [2] -200:1, 241:20, 259:7, **allows** [1] - 263:11 215:3 allusion [1] - 235:18 298:19, 301:17, 181:6, 181:22 259:10 **Annual** [1] - 250:11 301:22, 302:1, 311:16 admission [2] agency [3] - 199:21, almost [3] - 208:16, answer [7] - 187:10, action [14] - 189:8, 240:6, 288:7 200:7, 233:4 211:15, 296:12 223:5, 231:2, 273:13, 198:12, 199:24, alternative [3] -273:14, 275:2, 295:12 admissions [1] agency's [2] -200:1, 201:13, 200:10, 221:7 191:23, 222:23, 209:14 answering [1] -211:17, 270:1, 310:15 admit [1] - 274:15 agent [1] - 268:9 308:7 272:15, 272:24, alternatives [1] agents [1] - 198:4 answers [1] - 280:19 admits [1] - 274:23 274:25, 298:21, ago [7] - 188:19, 308:22 anticipate [1] -ADMITTED [1] -299:15, 313:14, 227:11, 253:24, Alvidrez [14] - 179:9, 302:18 180:2 313:17 181:23, 182:1, 182:3, 254:5, 273:2, 284:2, anxious [1] - 270:1 admitted [6] - 219:7, actions [2] - 215:18, 183:11, 226:22, 307:2 219:9, 240:11, anyway [2] - 253:6, 244:5, 271:16, 267:9 240:13, 289:9, 289:10 agree [2] - 192:7, actively [1] - 243:14 286:19, 288:3, 301:4 adopt [12] - 195:11, Appendix [1] - 285:3 activities [1] - 198:5 289:20, 294:1, agreed [2] - 230:15, 213:9, 214:7, 217:19, applauded [1] -

226:6 268:8, 268:11, 296:17 256:1 241:21, 241:23, 268:24, 269:3, 269:7, 262:3, 262:4, 262:15, apple [2] - 225:20, attack [1] - 196:12 bachelor [1] - 218:7 276:11, 277:4, 281:5, 262:18, 262:20, attacks [2] - 209:13 bachelor's [1] -283:10, 284:10, 242:6 262:21, 262:23, application [3] attainment [2] -225:7, 235:23, 279:8 284:14, 285:5, 295:9, 206:17, 209:3 background [3] -263:3, 263:9, 263:24, apply [1] - 309:2 297:8, 299:4, 299:6, attempt [2] - 277:1, 217:23, 239:20, 284:6 264:2, 272:11, 273:4, 299:8, 299:12, 274:9, 281:23, applying [1] - 226:6 306.9 backstop [1] - 230:7 302:24. 310:7. 310:10 282:18. 285:25. appointed [1] - 181:3 attempted [1] backwards [1] area's [1] - 208:24 291:8, 291:16, appraisal [1] -294:24 257.7 areas [10] - 197:2, 291:19, 292:1, 206:22 attending [1] -**BACT** [2] - 234:4, 209:23, 211:15, 292:12, 293:1, 293:9, 244:16 234:6 appreciate [7] -224:7, 279:1, 281:5, 293:11, 294:16, 205:11, 227:7, attention [3] bad [4] - 186:3, 283:4, 284:5, 290:21, 294:23, 296:19, 248:13, 300:14, 199:22, 222:15, 186:6, 248:15, 257:17 297:13, 297:17, 293:15 301:12, 303:11, 245:11 baghouse [1] - 257:5 301:19, 302:13, 305:3 argue [1] - 289:4 311:22 Attorney [2] balance [25] -BARTs [1] - 233:9 arguments [3] approach [3] -175:11, 175:12 185:16, 186:1, 186:7, base [1] - 280:17 289:19, 290:8, 300:9 186:8, 186:24, 194:16, 222:22, attorney [2] - 313:12, Arizona [4] - 185:3, based [10] - 194:12, 313:15 225:22 186:25, 195:4, 256:14, 259:23, 220:6, 220:10, 232:9, appropriate [15] -Attorneys [2] -195:14, 195:22, 268:18 238:12, 245:20, 195:14, 222:21, 197:6, 220:4, 222:18. 176:4, 176:16 265:23, 275:4, Arms [2] - 240:22, 225:8, 230:10, 222:20, 223:9, attractions [1] -240:23 286:15, 305:2 231:25, 252:19, 223:11, 237:6, 198:19 ARREGUIN [1] baseline [12] - 264:9, 296:24, 297:1, 246:12, 246:22, attractive [1] -278:1, 278:12, 279:5, 313:6 290:23, 292:17, 297:11, 297:16, 198:17 297:18, 298:2, 298:4, 279:14, 279:15, Arreguin [2] -295:10, 298:4, 307:5, attractiveness [1] -280:24, 281:11, 298:22, 299:15 181:18, 181:19 308:23 198:24 281:12, 281:18, appropriately [1] -Arrington [1] balanced [1] attributable [2] -282:2, 283:2 220:12 176:10 221:1, 263:15 194:16 basic [1] - 223:21 approval [5] arriving [1] - 190:1 attributes [1] - 279:2 balances [1] basing [1] - 267:3 228:24, 230:21, article [1] - 199:17 250:24 audience [1] basis [4] - 219:20, 233:13, 298:8, 312:19 aside [1] - 220:13 balancing [4] -285:15 220:20, 279:15, approve [8] - 228:8, aspects [2] - 238:4, 219:20, 223:24, August [3] - 230:20, 279.19 229:18, 230:22, 291:2, 291:4 311:11 231:13, 272:19 basket [1] - 225:4 233:17, 293:19, assist [3] - 245:18, Authority [2] - 215:2, Bandelier [1] -**BE** [1] - 174:16 304:23, 312:17 253:14, 254:15 211:13 256:9 bear [2] - 203:21, approved [4] bargaining [2] assistance [1] authority [3] - 209:1, 203:22 228:23, 229:9, 185:19 240:2, 242:17 222:9, 259:14 beautiful [4] - 184:4, 273:16, 297:4 BART [89] - 194:24, Assistant [1] available [12] -218:20, 219:14, 246:23, 254:4, 266:23 approves [1] -175:11 181:21, 220:14, beauty [1] - 259:25 310:20 219:19, 220:9, 221:4, associated [4] -220:18, 252:20, 221:13, 221:16, become [1] - 202:19 approving [1] -185:22, 265:24, 267:6, 276:8, 278:6, 229.10 221:18, 222:9, becomes [1] -266:15, 287:11 280:16, 284:3, 302:9, 222:14, 222:19, 181:20 April [1] - 296:18 associates [1] -305:22, 309:19 APS [4] - 215:19, 222:24, 223:1, 223:3, befits [1] - 198:16 257:6 Available [4] -223:5, 223:22, 224:5, 256:17, 256:25, association [2] -**BEFORE** [1] - 174:1 205:25, 206:2, 224:8, 224:14, 257:10 198:1, 198:6 began [1] - 204:9 220:14, 241:16 224:19, 224:24, AQC [4] - 279:5, Association's [1] average [2] - 195:17, begin [1] - 182:25 225:1, 225:6, 225:7, 279:15, 281:12, 282:8 beginning [1] - 183:8 250.10 213:17 225:11, 225:14, area [46] - 188:5, assume [2] - 202:13, behalf [7] - 182:3, awarded [1] - 243:21 225:23, 226:3, 226:9, 188:21, 188:25, 286:25 aware [2] - 228:19, 219:12, 240:25, 226:10, 228:19, 198:4, 198:13, 262:17 253:13, 259:9, assumption [1] -229:3, 229:9, 229:11, 198:16, 198:17, 271:3 268:23, 294:12 awful [1] - 254:10 229:15, 230:5, 230:9, 198:20, 198:23, behind [4] - 202:11, assumptions [1] -Aztec [1] - 245:16 230:14, 231:10, 198:25, 200:4, 227:11, 238:23, 264:10 232:9, 232:11, 200:17, 201:11, 265:19 assure [1] - 222:1 В 232:13, 232:16, 201:14, 213:23, beings [1] - 186:10 asthma [2] - 209:13, 232:19, 232:21, 214:6, 216:3, 245:20, 266:6 believes [1] - 295:10 B-as-in-boy-E-N-N-232:22, 233:17, 246:17, 246:23, attached [2] - 285:1, belong [1] - 312:8 248:1, 250:3, 250:14, A-L-L-E-Y [1] - 251:16 234:4, 234:8, 235:7, 296:16 below [2] - 208:4, 252:16, 256:16, B-E-N-A-L-Y [1] -235:21, 237:14, 260:4 Attachment [1] -

BENALLY [6] -178:10, 178:20, 216:24, 239:6, 255:19, 255:25 Benally [11] - 180:4, 239:5, 239:11, 240:14, 244:11, 244:14, 255:25, 256:1, 256:2, 258:2, 299.19 Benally's [1] - 240:7 benefit [5] - 209:21, 209:24, 253:25, 254:2, 283:12 benefits [13] -185:23, 236:7, 236:17, 237:10, 241:25, 257:1, 292:25, 307:7, 307:9, 307:13, 307:16, 308:25 Bennalley [6] -251:8, 251:16, 251:21, 255:17, 256:3, 299:22 BENNALLEY [4] -178:18. 251:9. 251:15, 251:18 bent [1] - 257:7 BERNALILLO [1] -313:3 best [22] - 202:24, 203:2, 203:14, 203:15, 204:17, 204:20, 220:14, 220:17, 220:18, 220:19, 241:22, 248:14, 303:7, 303:8, 303:12, 305:9, 309:19, 309:22, 309:23, 309:24, 309:25, 310:2 Best [4] - 205:25, 206:2, 220:14, 241:16 better [10] - 186:17, 203:13, 222:23, 236:11, 248:2, 253:11, 259:16, 264:8, 264:10, 305:23 betterment [1] -283:1 between [16] - 192:3, 192:9, 195:14, 213:10, 220:4, 246:12, 248:4, 279:14, 279:15, 280:9, 281:15, 283:6, 284:19, 285:12, 286:6, 292:9

beyond [3] - 203:16,

228:4, 252:5 board [2] - 246:2, BHP [14] - 176:10, 294:6 182:17, 216:11, Board [101] - 174:18, 239:22, 240:5, 241:3, 175:6. 178:6. 178:9. 242:4, 242:8, 243:19, 179:7, 181:3, 181:6, 250:9. 253:8. 256:17. 181:11, 181:16, 256:24. 257:10 183:3, 183:12, **Bible** [1] - 238:18 183:13, 183:23, big [7] - 191:6, 187:13, 189:25, 192:10, 195:25, 195:11, 197:5, 201:13, 202:14, 230:13, 237:25, 256:15, 257:20 207:18, 210:7, 211:1, 212:20, 215:24, bigger [2] - 192:5, 216:10, 217:22, 199:1 218:1, 219:16, biggest [1] - 309:7 219:22, 223:15, **bill** [2] - 195:18, 225:19, 227:2, 227:8, 256:8 233:6, 233:20, Bill [3] - 182:7, 233:21, 235:3, 235:8, 192:6, 212:11 237:21, 240:15, BILL [3] - 175:17, 240:18, 244:10, 177:22, 212:5 bill.grantham@ 244:15, 245:10, 245:21, 248:25, state.nm.us [1] -249:19, 251:19, 175:21 251:20, 256:5, billion [7] - 213:16, 262:17, 270:1, 270:4, 285:11, 285:13, 270:12, 270:15, 285:23, 295:1, 305:6, 271:4, 271:8, 272:3, 305:10 272:14, 272:20, **billions** [1] - 248:5 272:25, 273:8, Billiton [9] - 176:10, 273:10, 275:2, 275:9, 182:17, 216:11, 286:13, 287:3, 239:22, 240:5, 241:3, 289:11, 289:22, 242:5, 242:8, 243:19 290:13, 290:24, **bills** [1] - 191:5 293:10, 293:18, binding [1] - 272:8 293:22, 294:3, bit [11] - 184:16, 294:19, 295:4, 296:4, 184:18, 217:22, 296:16, 298:5, 229:20, 232:6, 298:14, 298:20, 239:19, 294:15, 298:25, 299:2, 299:6, 296:21, 304:5, 299:14, 300:9, 304:24, 309:22 300:19, 301:2, bite [1] - 234:25 301:11, 301:15, bites [1] - 225:19 301:24, 303:3, Black [8] - 233:15, 304:16, 308:6, 309:5, 263:16, 270:8, 310:13, 310:19, 271:14, 273:7, 311:10, 311:14 275:23, 280:21, Board's [6] - 219:13, 287:20 236:23, 248:13, black [1] - 236:20 272:15, 298:7, 299:18 blessed [2] - 253:22, bodies [1] - 299:11 253:24 **bogus** [1] - 228:14 blew [1] - 185:3 **boil** [1] - 304:14 Bloomfield [1] boiler [2] - 226:3, 245:16 282:23 blowing [4] - 254:9, bones [1] - 228:10 257:22, 276:12, book [1] - 273:19 276:13

253:1. 265:7 Boulevard [1] -174:19 boundaries [2] -241:8, 259:22 box [1] - 227:21 Box [2] - 175:18, 176:16 **boy** [1] - 251:16 branch [1] - 259:8 break [5] - 287:25, 289:18. 289:24. 290:2. 290:4 breaks [1] - 209:17 breathe [1] - 186:5 breathing [1] - 266:6 brief [1] - 290:15 briefing [1] - 270:20 briefly [2] - 223:21, 298:13 bright [1] - 228:15 brilliant [1] - 186:4 bring [8] - 209:24, 211:4, 242:3, 247:5, 267:11, 269:8, 284:21, 305:20 **brings** [6] - 195:4, 232:6, 305:22, 307:9, 307:11 broad [2] - 219:17, 220:16 broader [1] - 225:13 Broncos [1] - 252:3 brought [2] - 292:10, 311:4 BROWN [4] - 177:12. 197:15, 197:21, 197:24 **brown** [2] - 201:16, 287:14 Brown [1] - 197:21 **Bryce** [1] - 231:16 BRYCE [5] - 175:9, 231:17, 232:1, 285:14, 303:24 budgets [1] - 195:21 build [2] - 191:15, 238:24 **Building** [1] - 175:4 building [2] - 276:13, 280:12 **built** [1] - 215:8 bullet [1] - 306:5 bunch [2] - 231:4, 311:4

burden [3] - 306:23,

burdensome [2] -

306:24, 307:6

borne [1] - 214:10

bought [3] - 252:25,

Bureau [2] - 199:14, 201:12 burners [1] - 297:18 burning [1] - 263:20 business [8] - 187:8, 212:17, 242:7, 243:13, 250:5, 268:9, 294:7, 304:3 businesses [2] -257:12, 309:16 buy [1] - 204:13 buys [1] - 256:10 BY [10] - 217:8, 219:10, 227:4, 234:2, 234:22, 235:16, 239:10, 240:14, 276:6, 286:23

306:13, 306:15

C

C-L-I-N-E [1] - 217:3 C-O-L-L-I-N-S [1] -207:12 C-R-A-W-F-O-R-D [1] - 210:25 calculations [1] -238:7 calendar [3] -242:10, 242:23, 243:6 California [1] - 185:4 camp [1] - 253:4 campaigns [1] -243:16 cannot [1] - 185:1 Canyonlands [2] -284:15, 284:20 capacity [1] - 218:11 capita [1] - 209:18 captures [1] - 285:19 cards [1] - 187:8 care [1] - 209:15 career [1] - 299:20 careful [1] - 302:21 carefully [2] - 196:1, 267:3 cares [1] - 299:5 carry [2] - 208:8, 232:24 CASCIANO [7] -175:8, 235:17, 236:21, 237:7, 237:15, 288:20, 307:25 Casciano [2] -288:24, 310:9

case [19] - 192:4,

192:19, 217:10,

220:18, 220:20,

border [1] - 188:6

born [1] - 184:20

borders [1] - 208:6

blown [1] - 276:18

BOARD [4] - 174:1,

227:4, 235:16, 276:6

228:18, 233:19, 186:2, 186:22, 187:1, characteristics [3] -290:8, 290:16, combined [1] - 210:3 239:13, 240:7, 297:24, 298:12, 300:9 280:10, 281:13, 189:16, 203:20, combusted [1] -257:16, 266:5, 281:17 250:12, 266:22, closure [4] - 263:13, 297:22 270:17, 270:20, CHARLES [1] - 176:9 267:13, 308:21 263:15, 263:16, 288:1 combustion [3] -289:9, 291:13, 294:5, Clean [17] - 205:20, clouds [1] - 276:13 281:16, 297:2, 297:17 Charles [2] - 182:17, 294:11, 309:20 216:11 208:14. 218:5. 218:9. CO2 [2] - 276:17, comfort [2] - 298:21, Case [1] - 212:15 220:7. 222:6. 223:17. charles.ce.roybal@ 276:21 299.14 223:19. 235:22. case-by-case [1] bhpbilliton.com[1] -**COAL** [1] - 180:3 coming [16] - 184:6, 235:25, 236:1, 237:2, 220:20 176:12 Coal [21] - 176:8, 202:25, 203:2, 203:6, 291:5, 291:13, cases [3] - 186:17, charts [1] - 211:22 176:9, 182:18, 209:3, 237:17, 295:15, 298:19, 297:5, 297:11 cheap [1] - 204:15 182:25, 196:17, 243:23, 244:16, 311:15 catastrophic [1] -197:12, 201:19, 254:3, 268:18, 277:2, cheapest [1] cleaned [1] - 185:5 196:6 213:20, 214:16, 278:11, 290:4, 202:23 cleaner [2] - 208:2, catch [1] - 306:25 chemical [1] - 218:7 216:8, 216:12, 298:15, 307:2, 308:14 causes [1] - 309:12 210:10 216:19, 217:14, commendable [1] -CHERYL [1] - 313:6 caves [1] - 186:21 cleanest [2] -219:13, 226:12, 294:9 Cheryl [1] - 181:18 250:12, 304:8 240:5, 241:1, 241:2, CCR [1] - 313:20 commends [1] children [5] - 203:16, clear [6] - 192:4, 242:10, 242:23 Center [1] - 200:20 299:6 252:11, 254:20, 223:5, 226:8, 230:5, coal [34] - 185:22, comment [32] -Central [1] - 252:3 257:12, 266:4 305:4, 305:8 189:12, 194:1, 194:7, 181:9, 181:11, certain [6] - 196:7, China [2] - 185:4, clearer [1] - 192:4 196:12, 203:4, 181:14, 183:5, 222:7, 238:9, 247:23, 255:7 206:24, 208:9, 210:4, 183:15, 183:22, clearly [3] - 185:7, 279:2, 281:5 choice [2] - 202:20, 231:3, 288:6 214:2, 215:11, 183:25, 184:7, certainly [14] - 188:8, 203:7 clients [1] - 218:18 215:13, 215:16, 188:10, 190:10, 228:5, 233:11, choices [3] - 191:25, 224:12, 228:20, 197:12, 201:19, Cline [24] - 180:5, 235:12, 265:21, 250:18 216:21, 217:2, 217:9, 233:12, 241:7, 241:9, 210:16, 214:14, 267:5, 269:25, 270:5, choke [1] - 186:5 249:21, 249:22, 270:14, 271:19, 218:2, 219:10, 223:16, 229:24, choose [2] - 181:11, 250:4, 260:15, 226:15, 226:20, 232:5, 244:19, 247:7, 275:17, 289:5, 295:2, 222.1 260:16, 260:17, 227:6, 231:17, 233:8, 248:20, 249:8, 258:4, 298:2 chose [1] - 281:17 260:22, 260:23, 263:25, 269:10, 234:3, 234:16, **certify** [1] - 313:7 **chosen** [1] - 279:20 263:20, 297:22, 269:14, 269:16, 234:23, 235:18, cetera [1] - 195:20 circumstances [5] -239:2, 239:3, 275:6, 303:20, 305:24, 273:1, 288:22, 304:2, Chaco [1] - 198:3 219:19, 223:1, 307:1, 307:14, 307:17 291:6, 291:16, 308:15, 310:14 chair [1] - 300:11 223:25, 225:9, 229:23 coal-fired [9] commenters [1] -298:25, 299:3, Chair [2] - 294:3, Cities [1] - 245:16 189:12, 196:12, 299:14, 309:21 244:22 312:22 cities [2] - 250:12, 203:4, 208:9, 210:4, CLINE [17] - 178:4, comments [20] chairman [1] -307:5 215:16, 224:12, 216:24, 217:2, 217:4, 185:8, 211:4, 219:22, 193:21 citizens [1] - 250:22 227:23, 228:25, 228:20, 233:12 231:23, 250:7, Chairman [3] -Citizens [1] - 205:14 229:2, 229:22, 231:1, coalition [1] - 218:15 255:16, 259:10, 211:1, 216:10, 217:25 city [5] - 184:16, 231:21, 232:18, coast [1] - 254:9 262:21, 270:6, 184:17, 204:6, 245:22 challenges [1] -233:11, 235:24, Code [1] - 255:13 270:21, 272:10, 226:2 City [1] - 188:5 237:1, 237:9, 238:1, cold [1] - 246:19 273:2, 285:2, 294:18, chance [2] - 235:3, Civil [2] - 175:12, 238:14 298:12, 300:14, collaborate [1] -309:11 200:6 Cline's [2] - 218:22, 301:12, 307:25, 255:1 change [7] - 203:6, claim [1] - 252:22 298:18 collaboration [1] -308:18, 309:3 279:7, 280:1, 280:9, Clan [7] - 240:19, **clip** [1] - 307:3 264:7 Comments [2] -284:19, 286:8, 286:9 240:20, 240:21, clock [1] - 231:7 288:4, 288:5 collateral [1] - 236:6 changed [3] - 229:6, 240:22, 240:23 close [12] - 181:12, commission [1] college [1] - 252:14 231:20, 248:3 clarifications [1] -207:4 215:19, 215:24, College [4] - 174:18, changes [2] - 255:8, 233:23 215:25, 252:10, Commission [3] -174:19, 216:16, 285.21 clarify [2] - 233:8, 254:20, 263:23, 193:22, 212:19, 267:14 Changing [1] - 201:3 272.4 269:11, 269:22, 313.22 COLLINS [4] changing [1] - 257:8 Class [10] - 211:15, commissioner [2] -289:13, 289:17, 300:3 177:18, 207:3, 207:6, chapter [2] - 251:22, 224:6, 279:1, 283:3, 190:1, 246:6 closed [1] - 290:7 207:12 251:25 283:10, 284:5, closely [3] - 194:16, Commissioner [1] -Collins [2] - 207:12, Chapter [1] - 252:21 284:10. 284:13. 211:8, 299:23 190:10 210:14 chapters [2] -290:21, 293:15 closest [2] - 252:22, commitment [1] color [1] - 287:10 251:23, 299:23 CLAYTON [3] -286:10 206:14 Colorado [5] - 188:6, character [1] - 302:2 178:20, 255:19, 256:1 closing [8] - 215:4, 207:18, 208:10, committed [1] characteristic [1] -Clayton [1] - 255:25 270:21, 289:19, 268:18, 284:14 243:12 287:11 clean [14] - 185:15,

common [1] - 309:12 commonly [1] -287:11 commonwealth [1] -218:2 communication [1] -186:20 communities [2] -200:21, 262:14 community [28] -194:25, 196:14, 205:16, 205:19, 206:23, 216:17, 243:14, 243:20, 246:6, 249:25, 250:6, 251:2, 252:12, 252:14, 253:14, 255:11, 257:11, 265:6, 265:17, 266:16, 266:21, 279:11, 299:18, 300:1, 302:25, 303:1, 309:4, 309:17 Community [1] -216:15 companies [6] -196:1, 218:16, 245:20, 252:10, 252:17, 252:19 company [7] - 204:1, 241:4, 241:14, 243:12, 277:2, 299:20, 306:15 Company [17] -176:2, 176:2, 176:8, 182:3, 182:18, 183:1, 197:13, 214:16, 216:8, 216:12, 216:19, 217:14, 219:13, 226:12, 241:1, 288:4, 294:13 COMPANY [2] -180:3, 180:6 compare [2] -185:10, 236:10 compared [4] -213:17, 246:19, 260:6, 278:3 compel [1] - 223:20 complain [1] -200:24 complains [1] -200:25 complaints [1] -200:20 complete [4] - 223:2, 259:17, 260:8, 312:7 completely [2] -270:14, 301:4 compliance [8] -

205:20, 237:10, 236:3, 236:14, 237:5, 263:4, 263:5, 263:7, 263:11, 291:9, 305:21 complicated [1] -281:2 comply [1] - 205:23 component [2] -274:22, 277:19 components [1] -279:3 comprehensive [2] -274:13, 298:1 computers [1] -186:19 concentrate [1] -188:15 concentrating [1] -266:12 concept [1] - 283:24 concern [5] - 193:23, 196:25, 264:5, 265:20, 290:18 concerned [8] -232:15, 241:19, 250:15, 263:6, 264:1, 265:10, 308:10, 311:3 concerning [2] -257:3, 257:8 concerns [2] - 224:1, 262.2 conclude [1] -309:18 conclusion [9] -197:4, 201:9, 223:20, 226:8, 234:10, 264:13, 275:4, 285:22, 308:14 condition [2] -281:11, 281:12 conditions [8] -219:18, 222:25, 225:9, 260:6, 277:12, 278:1, 278:3, 284:6 conduct [1] - 181:4 configured [2] -273:5, 273:24 confirm [2] - 199:24, 285.21 confirmation [1] -199:20 confirmed [2] -199:15 conflicts [1] - 219:25 confronted [1] -198:6 Congress [17] -219:17, 220:11,

220:21, 220:22,

225:10, 226:7, 226:8,

222:12, 223:6,

290:19, 291:5, 293:2, 310:23, 311:15 congressional [2] -221:17, 227:7 Congressman [1] -248.22 consent [10] -281:14, 281:20, 281:21, 282:7, 282:10, 282:14, 282:19, 283:1, 283:7, 283:21 consider [24] -183:7, 189:14, 194:15, 197:5, 204:2, 204:16, 222:3, 222:25, 223:21, 224:5, 236:15, 246:16, 247:3, 250:18, 252:23, 264:6, 267:1, 272:23, 275:12, 292:4, 298:5, 302:16, 310:2, 310:13 considerable [3] -228:21, 263:20, 291:20 considerably [1] -204:10 consideration [10] -197:7, 225:23, 236:25, 292:23, 294:5, 294:21, 301:25, 302:12, 302:21, 307:8 considerations [3] -222:22, 259:18, 290.24 considered [7] -222:14, 235:20, 278:11, 278:18, 279:1, 295:4, 303:2 considering [4] -220:20, 290:23, 306:16, 310:3 consistent [2] -287:6, 287:8 consistently [2] -198:10, 250:11 consists [2] - 224:8, 245:21 Constance [1] -258:20 CONSTANCE [3] -178:24, 258:12, 265:1 constant [1] - 248:21 constraint [2] -190:3, 190:9 constraints [3] -183:16, 187:17, 301:22, 302:1

189:22 construction [5] -221:6, 238:11, 238:13, 256:18, 256:20 consuming [1] -294:9 contact [3] - 181:19, 248:21, 249:1 contaminants [3] -302:6, 302:8, 302:10 contemporaneous [1] - 291:23 contemporaneousl **y** [1] - 221:8 contention [1] -189:7 context [3] - 259:17, 264:4, 274:9 continual [1] - 210:5 continually [1] -248:22 continue [4] -186:22, 194:7, 215:23, 253:15 continued [2] -206:24, 221:16 Continued [3] -176:1, 178:1, 179:1 continuing [2] -242:9. 303:21 contractors [2] -253:8, 261:9 contracts [3] -196:23, 214:3, 254:22 contrary [1] - 225:22 contribute [8] -196:19, 213:22, 249:25, 261:7, 261:17, 261:19, 261:23, 279:10 contributing [2] -276:16, 277:18 contributions [4] -261:1, 261:2, 262:12, 262:13 contributor [3] -242:16, 243:15, 274:16 control [19] - 208:16, 220:14, 220:19, 237:12, 238:3, 248:6, 248:8. 248:16. 278:4. 280:3, 282:11, 283:9, 284:7, 285:6, 291:11, 302:10, 305:1, 308:24, 309:20 Control [5] - 238:17, 295:16, 301:17,

controlled [1] -284:8 controls [12] -264:16, 273:24, 281:14, 281:17, 282:7, 282:10, 296:6, 297:2, 297:3, 297:17, 297:21 copies [2] - 221:21, 269:18 copy [2] - 181:20, 207:3 core [1] - 198:14 Corners [18] -196:18, 198:16, 198:18, 199:7, 208:3, 208:10, 209:18, 209:25, 247:21, 247:25, 260:19, 260:24, 261:15, 262:16, 262:19, 262:22, 268:22, 295:9 corporate [1] -198:11 Corporation [1] -288:16 corporation [2] -198:4, 198:6 correct [5] - 228:25, 230:19, 234:6, 279:12, 295:12 corrections [2] -217:16, 239:16 correctly [1] - 220:10 cost [30] - 188:13, 195:8, 195:14, 197:3, 203:21, 203:22, 203:24, 204:18, 209:18, 213:11, 213:15, 220:4, 224:15, 224:17, 228:13, 237:9, 238:12, 238:15, 238:18, 238:21, 238:23. 250:25. 291:9, 292:22, 293:8, 295:6, 297:12, 304:11, 307:5 Cost [1] - 238:17 cost/benefit [1] -307:4 costs [9] - 191:4, 206:23, 209:15, 213:13, 214:10, 263:4, 273:25, 295:7, 295:18 Counsel [1] - 175:17 counsel [5] - 181:23, 182:24, 290:10,

313:13, 313:16

272:14 223:8, 223:14, counselor [1] - 252:2 creating [2] - 243:14, degrades [2] - 201:7, 223:23, 224:2, 224:5, counselors [1] -245:21 dealing [2] - 270:3, 224:15 252:6 creation [2] - 245:19, 294:20 degree [4] - 218:6, 224:8, 225:2, 225:11, Dean [1] - 180:4 242:6, 291:11, 302:2 225:13, 225:14, 246:13 count [1] - 233:14 creator [1] - 253:22 Deanna [1] - 207:12 delayed [1] - 228:24 226:10, 226:11, counties [4] -208:10, 209:18, credentials [2] -DEANNA [2] delegated [1] -226:13, 228:7, 228:12, 228:17, 259:24, 307:6 217:23, 239:20 177:18, 207:6 219:17 228:19. 229:11. counting [1] - 253:7 credit [1] - 230:11 death [3] - 186:2, deliberate [3] -230:5, 231:3, 233:18, country [8] - 189:13, credits [1] - 268:17 186:5, 309:13 181:11, 270:17, 271:9 235:7, 235:21, 262:4, 189:15, 204:4, criteria [4] - 291:4, deaths [1] - 209:12 deliberating [1] -262:15, 272:11, 265:15, 266:10, 291:7, 297:14, 311:15 DEBORAH [1] -272:9 274:9, 275:7, 291:8, 281:5, 296:14, 296:19 deliberations [3] criterion [1] - 290:19 291:16, 292:12, **COUNTY** [1] - 313:3 289:22, 290:16, CROSS [1] - 234:21 decades [1] - 206:16 293:1, 293:9, 293:11, county [8] - 196:9, December [1] -300:16 cross [3] - 178:8, 294:16, 295:13 208:9. 208:25. 246:6. 181:15, 286:15 198:2 demands [1] - 194:8 determinations [8] -246:9, 246:10, demonstration [1] cross-examination decide [1] - 186:15 221:15, 221:18, 251:24, 254:17 decided [3] - 202:15, 299:1 [2] - 181:15, 286:15 222:10, 229:9, County [22] - 185:12, demonstrations [1] -274:8, 290:19 **crumble** [1] - 204:9 262:23, 263:3, 292:1, 185:18, 185:24, 293:14 cry [1] - 277:1 decision [23] -296:19 193:22, 196:20, 194:17, 194:19, department [1] crystal [1] - 305:8 determine [2] -206:18, 207:14, 259:6 197:8, 209:5, 212:25, cumulative [1] -292:21, 303:16 207:17, 208:6, 223:3, 245:22, Department [19] -283:3 determined [8] -208:22, 210:6, cumulatively [1] -248:24, 257:14, 175:3, 175:16, 219:19, 220:11, 216:13, 242:1, 267:3, 270:17, 292:5, 175:18, 182:8, 263:21 221:14, 223:22, 242:15, 242:20, 292:8, 293:22, 195:13, 201:13, curious [1] - 238:10 226:9, 296:23, 297:1, 244:16, 245:15, 293:23, 298:24, 220:1, 220:3, 220:10, curiously [1] -297:12 245:17, 245:23, 299:10, 299:18, 225:2, 226:5, 235:10, 229:10 determines [1] -268:20, 298:16 299:25, 301:25, 235:21, 271:2, current [3] - 187:2, 235:10 couple [14] - 215:8, 311:6, 311:10, 311:23 271:10, 295:10, 221:15, 296:17 determining [5] -221:23, 229:9, 298:1, 302:20, 303:7 decision-makers [1] customer [3] - 194:9, 221:25, 222:14, 233:23, 245:11, - 245:22 Department's [12] -195:15, 213:17 225:6, 225:23, 293:5 246:5, 246:17, 265:7, 207:19, 225:5, decision-making [1] customer's [1] detrimental [1] -271:21, 284:1, 225:23, 226:10, - 299:10 195:17 263:3 287:25, 300:15, decisionmaking [1] -231:23, 236:17, customers [2] develop [1] - 259:14 301:3, 306:19 223:1 236:20, 241:15, 194:3, 195:8 developed [1] course [14] - 186:3, 271:5, 292:19, 298:8, decisions [6] cut [1] - 215:6 232:8 204:11, 205:17, 312:3 204:5, 256:11, 222:12, 222:17, developing [1] dependent [5] -256:14, 256:24, D 252:23, 253:16, 203:4, 232:20, 310:25 265:23, 301:16 254:15, 265:10, development [6] -260:16, 260:17, deciview [5] -270:1, 270:10, dad [1] - 253:2 307:14 195:24, 210:2, 222:9, 224:23, 285:21, 294:15, 295:14, depiction [1] - 285:5 243:13, 245:15, 260:1 **Dallas** [1] - 272:16 286:8, 292:13, 292:15 Development [1] -304:15 **DANIEL** [4] - 178:12, Desert [7] - 197:25, deciviews [2] -245:14 court [4] - 198:7, 245:1, 245:7, 245:10 198:9, 198:14, 279:13, 283:25 231:12, 272:18, **DIANE** [2] - 179:6, dark [1] - 186:3 199:11, 199:25, declare [1] - 201:2 275:18 276:2 data [10] - 220:3, decree [11] - 281:14, 200:25, 201:9 Court [1] - 181:18 difference [12] -264:9, 278:9, 280:15, 281:20, 281:22, deserving [1] -185:10, 192:1, 192:9, courts [1] - 221:6 280:16, 281:2, 281:4, 282:7, 282:10, 243:21 covers [1] - 259:21 203:24, 213:10, 281:6, 281:19 282:14, 282:19, design [1] - 238:22 213:12, 213:13, coworkers [2] database [2] -283:1, 283:7, 283:21 designated [1] -280:2, 280:6, 285:12, 256:23, 257:6 280:12, 281:2 dedication [1] -219:21 285:23, 295:1 CRAWFORD [3] date [1] - 229:10 294:14 designed [1] - 224:9 different [11] - 191:3, 177:20, 210:18, days [4] - 211:12, despite [1] - 199:19 deeds [1] - 189:15 227:20, 235:25, 210:24 271:21, 300:15, 301:3 deemed [1] - 238:20 destiny [1] - 303:17 254:22, 273:15, Crawford [2] de [1] - 176:17 deterioration [1] deems [1] - 222:20 273:22, 274:12, 210:24, 212:3 deadline [2] -210:5 defensible [1] -275:4, 281:5, 281:6, create [3] - 195:20, 230:20, 231:5 298:2 determinant [1] -311.9 306:23, 307:15 deal [6] - 188:12, 225:1 deference [1] - 275:5 created [2] - 203:6, difficult [3] - 192:2, 200:7, 221:25, definitely [1] determination [40] -267:7 266:14, 294:8

247:20

218:19, 220:9, 223:7,

225:17, 248:18,

301:7, 301:8, 302:5, difficulty [1] - 209:3 disgusted [1] -Drawer [1] - 175:13 electrical [1] diligence [1] - 299:2 185:11 drawn [1] - 266:21 302:7, 302:24, 307:5, 218:12 District [2] - 184:15, drifts [1] - 255:7 307:10 electricity [13] dimensional [1] -246:7 drive [4] - 211:18, economic-based [1] 186:19, 194:3, 194:8, 280:14 districts [1] - 257:13 - 245:20 195:18, 203:5, dioxide [1] - 213:3 277:14, 287:16, Division [1] - 175:12 287.17 economically [2] -206:13, 209:21, diploma [1] - 252:5 **DIRECT** [22] docketed [1] - 181:6 Drive [2] - 175:4, 249:25, 306:13 215:5, 215:15, 256:10, 257:22, 184:11, 187:23, document [4] -175:19 economics [1] -260:11, 307:9 190:18, 193:7, 278:8, 288:3, 289:12, driving [1] - 276:10 265:9 eliminating [1] -197:18, 202:4, 205:4, 293:22 drought [1] - 210:3 economy [16] -207:9, 210:21, 212:8, documentation [2] -185:20, 186:10, 302:7 drove [1] - 286:25 ELIZABETH [1] -214:21, 217:7, 239:9, 199:4, 246:4 188:14, 191:15, due [3] - 206:12, 214:9, 218:13, 175:10 245:4, 247:15, documented [1] -250:8. 284:15 242:17, 250:3, 251:4, 249:13, 251:12, 199:9 Eloise [1] - 197:21 duly [25] - 184:9, 255:22, 259:4, 265:4, 261:8, 261:18, **ELOISE** [3] - 177:12, dollar [2] - 224:25, 187:21, 190:16, 268:4, 286:22 261:19, 262:9, 267:6, 197:15, 197:21 228:14 193:5, 197:16, 202:2, 267:13, 306:11 Direct [8] - 177:5, dollars [11] - 213:25, eloquently [1] -205:2, 207:7, 210:19, education [1] - 242:9 177:7, 177:21, 178:5, 291:7 224:17, 224:20, 212:6, 214:19, 178:11, 179:8, 180:4, educator [1] - 252:1 emission [5] -224:23, 246:18, 216:24, 217:5, 239:7, 180.5 effect [9] - 203:10, 194:12, 213:2, 248:6, 285:11, 245:2, 247:13, 224:11, 250:3, 220:17, 261:22, direct [20] - 177:9, 285:13, 285:23, 249:11, 251:10, 177:11, 177:13, 250:16, 263:2, 282.16 295:1, 305:10 255:20, 258:13, 272:16, 283:3, 297:3, 177:15, 177:17, 259:2, 265:2, 268:2, emissions [14] done [22] - 194:24, 177:19, 177:23, 297:19 199:23, 204:5, 188:22, 194:11, 276:3. 313:9 177:25, 178:13, effective [4] -195:6, 206:9, 206:18, 204:20, 221:20, during [1] - 294:10 178:15, 178:17, 203:13, 213:11, 207:24, 211:7, 230:6, 222:7, 227:18, dust [5] - 185:3, 178:19, 178:21, 235:21, 253:14, 276:12, 278:21, 297:12, 304:11 235:19, 261:24, 178:23, 178:25, 261:25, 296:11, 254:15, 255:1, 272:6, 278:22, 278:24 effectiveness [4] -179:5, 221:21, 208:17, 224:15, 311:16 272:14, 273:18, dusty [1] - 277:13 260:25, 261:2, 264:11 Emissions [2] -224:17, 224:21 278:1, 281:9, 284:7, duty [1] - 295:14 directed [1] - 269:15 Effects [1] - 288:5 284:17, 293:14, 180:8, 288:15 dynamic [1] - 205:15 directly [6] - 181:19, 303:12, 311:1, 311:6 effects [9] - 203:11, emphasis [2] dynamics [1] -256:18, 256:19, donor [1] - 243:17 235:19, 236:7, 218:4, 224:16 261:20 256:24, 257:11, Dooda [6] - 197:25, 236:13, 263:21, emphasize [3] -263:14 266:1, 266:3, 269:17 221:17, 224:24, 198:9, 198:14, Е director [2] - 245:13, effort [4] - 202:18, 290:17 199:25, 200:24, 201:9 246:7 248:7, 273:9, 299:9 door [1] - 201:21 employed [7] -E-I-S-E-N-F-E-L-D directors [1] - 246:2 double [1] - 215:18 efforts [8] - 194:5, 239:21, 242:11, 111 - 205:8 dirt [3] - 276:18, down [18] - 183:18, 194:10, 200:11, 246:25, 249:23, early [1] - 221:12 276:19, 276:21 183:20, 186:12, 248:14, 298:15, 253:8, 313:13, 313:16 Earth [6] - 200:22, dirty [3] - 246:11 300:2, 303:6, 303:14 186:13, 186:22, employee [1] -200:23, 201:2, 201:4, eggs [1] - 225:3 discretion [7] -209:17, 224:13, 313:15 201:7, 201:10 219:17, 222:5, 228:7, 234:24, 254:17, EIB [8] - 174:3, employees [1] easily [4] - 266:8, 257:17, 263:20, 270:14, 291:15, 181:6, 194:15, 243.5 266:11, 266:13, 267:4 292:21, 293:6 268:20, 282:14, 205:10, 206:21, employer [1] -Economic [1] -212:14, 245:10, 290:5 discriminate [2] -282:20, 290:10, 242:20 245:14 292:5, 292:12, 304:14 eight [1] - 215:14 200:5, 200:8 employers [2] economic [34] discrimination [2] downstream [1] -Eisenfeld [3] - 205:7, 196:18, 213:21 185:24, 187:1, 199:25, 200:9 287:15 205:12, 207:1 employment [3] -194:17, 194:21, discuss [1] - 227:15 **DOYLE** [3] - 177:24, EISENFELD [4] -196:5, 242:5, 242:14 194:25, 195:24, 214:18, 214:24 177:16, 205:1, 205:7, employs [2] discussed [3] -196:19, 208:2, 209:6, 238:16, 281:14, 283:2 205:10 213:23, 243:4 Doyle [1] - 214:24 209:24, 210:1, either [4] - 271:4, discussing [1] -Dr [4] - 192:23, enabling [1] - 253:10 213:22, 214:5, 226:1, 276:20 193:10, 197:10, 214:6 271:10, 292:19, enact [1] - 290:24 245:14, 245:20, 292:20 discussion [3] draft [2] - 195:11, enacted [3] - 220:22, 250:8, 250:18, **EJT** [1] - 285:3 198:21, 224:16, 226:3 221:9, 229:5 250:21, 250:25, 296:13 elderly [1] - 191:9 dramatic [1] - 206:10 encompassing [1] -257:10, 260:1, 261:3, elders [1] - 254:11 Discussion [1] dramatically [2] -306:1 261:20, 263:21, elected [1] - 183:4 277:10 185:6, 263:22 encourage [4] -266:18, 273:15, draw [1] - 266:20 Electric [1] - 256:10 diseases [1] - 309:12 210:11, 219:13,

248:17, 249:1
encourages [1] -
226:12
end [8] - 187:3,
215:7, 222:14, 226:9,
267:11, 267:12, 308:24
endanger [1] - 199:8
ended [1] - 293:9
energies [1] - 189:18
Energy [2] - 176:9,
252:18 energy [20] - 186:11,
186:13, 194:6,
203:18, 203:20,
210:10, 215:6,
215:11, 215:13,
218:3, 242:3, 242:8, 257:20, 267:6,
267:13, 267:15,
267:18, 291:10,
308:22, 310:15
engaged [1] - 198:4
engagement [1] - 299:7
engine [3] - 185:24,
187:1, 214:5
engineering [4] -
218:8, 238:22,
307:20, 307:21
Engineers [4] - 240:3, 242:19,
249:20, 256:21
engineers [1] - 312:4
enjoy [1] - 246:24
enjoyed [1] - 242:5
enjoying [1] - 216:14 entire [2] - 185:25,
294:10
Environment [11] -
175:3, 175:16,
175:18, 182:8,
195:13, 207:19, 256:4, 295:10,
297:25, 298:8, 312:2
environment [10] -
185:14, 189:17,
195:15, 246:11,
246:24, 250:15, 250:17, 266:22,
301:8, 301:9
environmental [14] -
188:12, 189:15,
190:25, 191:6,
200:10, 200:24, 208:3, 210:11, 218:4,
237:10, 250:25,
259:6, 291:3, 310:1
ENVIRONMENTAL
[1] - 174:1

```
Environmental [21] -
174:17, 175:6, 181:3,
183:23, 195:10,
197:4, 199:12,
199:17, 200:1,
201:12. 207:18.
210:7. 212:20.
241:15, 241:20,
251:20, 259:7, 259:9,
301:22, 302:19, 303:7
 EPA [85] - 194:22,
199:20, 205:17,
208:15, 208:16,
208:21, 208:25,
213:4, 213:14, 215:3,
220:24, 221:2,
221:11, 221:16,
221:19, 221:23,
222:2, 222:8, 222:15,
223:10, 223:12,
224:11, 224:18,
227:17, 228:3, 228:6,
228:17, 228:21,
228:23, 229:9,
229:11, 229:17,
230:21, 231:2, 231:7,
231:9, 231:20,
231:22, 232:8,
232:15, 232:22,
233:9, 233:17, 238:6,
248:24, 249:4, 254:5,
254:25, 257:4, 262:3,
263:9, 271:21,
271:24, 272:4, 272:6,
272:8, 272:13,
272:18, 273:2,
273:13, 273:18,
273:19, 274:3, 274:8,
274:14, 274:23,
275:1, 275:11, 285:3,
290:25, 295:25,
296:1, 296:14,
297:16, 305:13,
305:25, 306:12,
307:4, 308:10,
308:12, 308:13,
308:21, 310:1, 312:5
 EPA's [26] - 195:9,
207:21, 213:10,
219:25, 220:8,
221:20, 223:22,
223:23. 224:2. 224:5.
224:8, 224:14, 225:1,
225:7, 225:11,
225:22, 226:11,
227:14, 228:14,
232:11, 232:12,
```

233:12, 238:17,

297:7, 297:14, 309:19

equally [1] - 278:8

```
equates [1] - 242:25
 equation [3] -
277:19, 277:20, 279:3
 equipment [5] -
243:2, 248:6, 280:10,
302:9. 311:9
 equity [1] - 206:22
 equivalent [2] -
230:6, 279:19
 era [1] - 267:10
 errand [1] - 275:10
 especially [4] -
188:14, 213:6,
259:16. 305:21
 essentially [1] -
184:21
 establish [5] -
232:22, 241:16,
241:21, 241:23,
291:14
 established [6] -
231:12, 243:20,
266:10, 291:4,
291:19, 292:23
 estimate [2] -
238:22, 238:23
 estimated [7] -
196:8, 196:22, 209:7,
209:12, 209:15,
209:19, 213:15
 estimating [1] -
196:6
 et [1] - 195:20
 EUGENIA [4] -
178:22, 258:12,
258:16, 259:1
 Eugenia [2] - 258:16,
259:5
 evaluated [1] -
231:19
 evaluations [1] -
278:4
 evening [1] - 270:10
 event [3] - 270:9,
277:13, 287:9
 everywhere [1] -
276:13
 evidence [7] -
201:22, 218:22,
219:9, 240:13,
289:10, 293:16,
302:16
 evidentiary [4] -
288:2, 289:14,
289:17, 290:7
 exact [1] - 263:2
 exactly [1] - 260:21
 Examination [7] -
178:5, 178:7, 178:8,
```

```
examination [3] -
178:6, 181:15, 286:15
 examined [22] -
184:10, 187:22,
190:17, 193:6,
197:17, 202:3, 205:3,
207:8, 210:20, 212:7,
214:20, 217:6, 239:8,
245:3, 247:14,
249:12, 251:11,
255:21, 259:3, 265:3,
268:3, 276:4
 Examiner [3] -
269:13, 269:24, 294:2
 example [4] - 195:5,
221:24, 225:11, 242:2
 exceeds [1] - 242:22
 excellence [5] -
203:8, 204:3, 204:14,
306:3. 306:4
 excellent [4] -
202:15, 202:19,
202:23, 311:4
 exchange [1] - 292:9
 excuse [4] - 234:16,
239:1, 244:12, 287:20
 executive [3] -
245:13, 246:7, 259:8
 exercise [1] - 293:6
 exhaust [1] - 297:23
 Exhibit [5] - 211:11,
219:9, 285:3, 289:10,
293:21
 exhibit [3] - 219:3,
219:4, 240:13
 exhibits [2] - 285:1,
294:25
 exist [1] - 266:23
 existing [5] - 198:24,
234:9, 264:16,
291:10, 297:3
 exorbitant [1] -
263:4
 expansion [1] -
245:19
 expect [4] - 181:12.
206:14, 237:20, 296:2
 expected [1] - 197:1
 expensive [2] -
215:12, 215:14
 experience [1] -
302:9
 experiencing [1] -
255:8
 Expires [2] - 313:20,
```

179:8

```
EXAMINATION [8] -
                            exploratory [1] -
217:7, 227:3, 234:1,
                           198:5
234:21, 235:15,
                            exponential [1] -
239:9, 276:5, 286:22
                           263:3
                            expressed [1] -
                           262.1
                            extend [1] - 293:15
                            extended [1] -
                           267:16
                            extension [1] -
                           272:19
                            extent [2] - 236:6,
                           272:13
                            extenuating [1] -
                           275:5
                            exterior [2] - 241:8,
                           259:22
                            external [1] - 240:4
                            externalities [3] -
                           265:24, 266:15, 267:2
                            eyes [2] - 228:15,
                           289:3
```

313:22

F

```
F-A-L-K [1] - 258:21
 faced [1] - 204:4
 facilities [3] - 260:9,
296:2, 303:16
 facility [3] - 216:15,
262:8, 279:15
 facing [1] - 189:2
 fact [10] - 185:18,
186:21, 199:5, 199:7,
206:12, 213:4,
224:25, 289:1.
297:16, 306:15
 factor [6] - 209:5,
224:14, 277:18,
293:1, 295:6, 309:7
 factors [25] - 204:17,
219:20, 220:21,
222:13, 222:16,
222:19, 223:3,
223:24, 225:8,
225:24, 226:6,
236:13, 236:14,
236:25, 237:5, 237:7,
237:8, 238:19,
276:16, 292:4,
292:23, 301:24,
302:12, 305:2, 310:2
 facts [1] - 306:17
 failure [1] - 200:2
 fair [1] - 194:16
 fairness [2] - 195:5,
```

197:7

Falk [3] - 258:20,

178:9, 178:11, 179:7,

264:24 267:20
264:21, 267:20
FALK [7] - 178:24,
258:7, 258:10,
258:12, 258:20,
265:1, 265:5
falls [1] - 274:11
familiar [4] - 190:25,
233:11, 237:23,
251:23
familiarity [1] -
237:17
family [6] - 205:13,
250:15, 250:19,
256:23, 256:25
family's [1] - 250:16
far [8] - 191:3, 228:4,
274:11, 277:1,
284:18, 292:11,
306:17, 307:17
Farmington [26] -
174:19, 176:11,
180:9, 183:24,
183:25, 184:16,
184:20, 184:22,
184:24, 185:2, 188:6,
188:7, 202:9, 204:6,
205:11, 205:13,
205:15, 212:17,
212:19, 216:13,
245:16, 250:11,
268:10, 268:24,
298:16, 310:7
fashion [1] - 202:16
fast [1] - 307:2
faster [1] - 307:3
father [2] - 240:19,
250:17
favor [6] - 295:11,
308:8, 308:16,
308:18, 308:21,
312:15
Fe [6] - 175:5,
175:13, 175:20,
176:17, 181:9, 276:11
fear [1] - 266:17
feasible [1] - 279:21
February [1] - 247:22
federal [11] - 195:16,
196:25, 241:5,
242:24, 243:1, 243:7,
243:8, 260:4, 272:18,
291:1, 303:9
*
rederal Bi - 250 20
Federal [3] - 250:20,
274:10, 274:14
274:10, 274:14 Felicia [1] - 181:2
274:10, 274:14 Felicia [1] - 181:2 FELICIA [1] - 175:3
274:10, 274:14 Felicia [1] - 181:2 FELICIA [1] - 175:3 few [5] - 190:4,
274:10, 274:14 Felicia [1] - 181:2 FELICIA [1] - 175:3 few [5] - 190:4, 270:19, 270:20,
274:10, 274:14 Felicia [1] - 181:2 FELICIA [1] - 175:3 few [5] - 190:4,

fifty [1] - 200:15	224:14
fifty-six [1] - 200:15	five-ye
fights [1] - 192:3	fix [1] -
figure [2] - 209:17,	flatly [1
228:14	flawed
figured [1] - 238:10	flaws
Figures [1] - 211:11	flexibi
filed [3] - 199:25,	221:25,
206:5, 270:6	231:14,
fill [1] - 191:12	flip [1] -
filling [1] - 295:14	flying [
final [2] - 222:8,	focus
272:8	220:10,
finally [2] - 191:24,	236:3, 2
234:10	292:11,
	focuse
financial [1] - 195:21 financially [1] -	302:22,
313:16	focuse
	focusi
findings [1] - 219:25	266:7
FIP [7] - 231:9,	
231:10, 263:9, 272:7,	fold [1] Folded
274:6, 274:7, 304:23	240:23
fire [1] - 196:11	
fired [10] - 189:12,	folks [5
194:2, 196:12, 203:4,	192:16,
208:9, 210:4, 215:16,	245:23,
224:12, 228:20,	follow
233:12	199:23,
firm [1] - 238:22	232:17,
first [32] - 183:14,	236:22,
184:9, 187:21,	271:24,
190:16, 193:5,	276:9, 2
197:16, 202:2, 205:2,	follow 199:13,
205:22, 207:7, 210:19, 212:6,	234:19,
214:19, 216:21,	270:13,
	follow
217:5, 239:7, 240:21,	219:23,
243:17, 245:2, 247:13, 249:11,	272:25
251:10, 255:20,	
258:8, 259:2, 265:2,	187:22,
268:2, 273:3, 282:2,	193:6, 1
290:17, 294:4, 308:1	205:3, 2
Fischer [3] - 275:23,	212:7, 2
275:25, 287:23	239:8, 2
FISCHER [8] - 179:6,	249:12,
276:2, 278:20,	255:21,
279:23, 280:5, 280:8,	268:3, 2
281:19, 285:17	food [1]
fits [2] - 225:22,	fool's
227:14	foot [1]
	foothil
five [17] - 200:15, 200:18, 220:21,	FOR [1]
222:13, 224:14,	
234:24, 236:13,	force [
236:14, 236:25,	
237:5, 237:8, 237:20,	263:23
250:5, 263:11,	forefro
200.0, 200.11,	forego

five-factor [1] -

302:12, 305:2, 306:22

224:14	torgot [1] - 201:20
five-year [1] - 306:22	form [2] - 288:6,
fix [1] - 269:1	289:2
flatly [1] - 223:18	formed [1] - 198:2
flawed [1] - 238:9	former [1] - 212:19
flaws [1] - 223:22	forms [1] - 210:10
flexibility [4] -	formula [1] - 292:17
221:25, 222:20,	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	forth [5] - 232:12,
231:14, 233:1	279:18, 280:11,
flip [1] - 185:17	301:17, 301:19
flying [1] - 278:21	fortunate [2] - 252:9,
focus [9] - 189:17,	254:19
220:10, 229:24,	fortunately [1] -
236:3, 236:23, 237:4,	225:3
292:11, 303:8	forward [3] - 268:16,
focused [3] - 236:1,	303:21, 308:2
302:22, 310:21	fossil [1] - 267:10
focuses [1] - 218:3	fought [1] - 204:14
focusing [2] - 220:8,	Four [18] - 196:18,
266:7	198:15, 198:18,
fold [1] - 208:16	199:7, 208:3, 208:10,
Folded [2] - 240:22,	209:18, 209:25,
240:23	247:21, 247:25,
folks [5] - 191:10,	260:19, 260:24,
192:16, 196:7,	261:15, 262:16,
245:23, 254:5	262:19, 262:21,
,	, ,
follow [12] - 199:13,	268:22, 295:9
199:23, 232:5,	four [5] - 188:19,
232:17, 234:19,	205:17, 208:11,
236:22, 270:13,	208:16, 215:14
271:24, 272:22,	frame [1] - 262:24
276:9, 286:21, 292:2	Francis [2] - 175:4,
follow-up [7] -	175:19
199:13, 199:23,	Francisco [1] -
234:19, 236:22,	199:12
270:13, 276:9, 286:21	freely [1] - 246:4
following [3] -	freeware [1] - 284:4
•	
219:23, 223:15,	freeze [1] - 186:2
272:25	frequent [1] - 184:25
follows [22] - 184:10,	frequently [1] -
187:22, 190:17,	262:11
193:6, 197:17, 202:3,	friends [2] - 256:22,
205:3, 207:8, 210:20,	256:25
212:7, 214:20, 217:6,	front [1] - 240:1
239:8, 245:3, 247:14,	frustrating [1] -
249:12, 251:11,	227:25
255:21, 259:3, 265:3,	fuel [6] - 196:17,
268:3, 276:4	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	213:20, 232:10,
food [1] - 191:12	249:23, 267:10,
fool's [1] - 275:10	282:24
foot [1] - 304:18	fugitive [2] - 278:22,
foothills [1] - 253:3	278:24
FOR [1] - 174:5	FULFER [6] - 175:7,
force [1] - 215:19	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	238:6, 280:24, 281:8,
forced [2] - 226:3,	282:1, 305:19
263:23	Fulfer [3] - 238:5,
forefront [1] - 305:21	280:23, 309:5
foregoing [2] -	fulfills [1] - 226:10
313:7, 313:8	
	full (24) - 184·13
•	full [21] - 184:13,
forests [1] - 209:24	full [21] - 184:13, 187:24, 190:20,

forgot [1] - 201:20

193:9, 197:20, 205:5, 207:10, 210:22, 212:9, 213:24, 214:22, 245:5, 249:14, 251:13, 255:24, 258:15, 268:6, 274:10, 274:20, 274:22, 275:24 full-time [1] - 213:24 fully [2] - 193:25, 213:1 fund [1] - 243:20 fundamental [2] -201:4, 221:5 funds [1] - 252:17 FURTHER [4] -210:21, 235:15, 276:5, 286:22 furthermore [1] -195:19 **futile** [1] - 273:9 future [6] - 194:18, 202:12, 221:20, 235:8, 235:10, 241:12 G

G-L-A-S-S [1] - 202:7 G-O-N-Z-A-L-E-S [1] - 190:22 gained [3] - 237:14, 279:14, 282:11 gas [5] - 194:2, 194:7, 210:2, 215:9, 260:11 gas-fired [1] - 194:2 gavel [1] - 300:10 general [2] - 198:23, 257:12 General [3] - 175:11, 175:12, 175:17 generally [1] -297:12 generating [1] -196:17 Generating [52] -180:9, 188:21, 190:23, 196:16, 199:8, 206:6, 206:8, 206:14, 206:19, 206:23, 207:22, 208:1, 208:7, 208:23, 209:12, 209:22, 210:9, 212:15, 213:15, 213:19, 219:15, 227:17, 235:4, 235:12, 241:10, 241:13,

241:16, 241:21, 248:16 236:24, 237:22, 294:10, 310:18, grandfathers [2] -241:23, 249:24, 240:22, 240:23 246:20, 254:7, 310:19, 311:22, 250:9, 260:20, Grantham [9] -Н 254:11, 259:13, 312:21 260:25, 261:6, 178:8, 182:6, 182:7, 264:15, 272:21, Hearing [20] - 175:2, 261:10, 261:15, 226:19, 244:3, 274:5, 274:6, 274:10, 181:2, 182:15, 183:2, H-A-G-L-E-R [1] -261:16, 262:3, 262:6, 270:25, 289:21, 274:17, 274:20, 216:9. 217:25. 262:11, 262:16, 276:14, 276:16, 218:21, 233:24, 290:9, 293:25 H-E-N-D-E-R-S-O-N 263:7. 263:10. 277:3. 284:3. 286:24. 234:17. 239:4. GRANTHAM [13] -[1] - 193:13 263:13, 263:19, 286:25, 287:5, 290:6, 269:13, 269:24, 175:17, 182:7, HAGLER [4] -263:23, 264:3, 273:3, 298:5, 298:20, 302:23 271:1, 288:9, 288:17, 226:21, 234:17, 177:22, 212:5, 273:20, 283:6, head [1] - 240:4 288:20, 290:12, 234:19, 234:22, 212:11. 212:13 288:15, 294:16 294:2, 298:13, 300:6 235:13, 244:4, 271:1, Health [5] - 180:7, Hagler [2] - 212:11, gentleman [2] -199:18, 199:23, hearings [1] - 302:19 286:17, 288:9, 214:13 229:23, 267:22 heart [1] - 209:13 288:17, 290:12 288:5, 288:14 hair [2] - 218:10, gentlemen [3] health [54] - 194:12, heavily [1] - 238:16 graphics [3] - 284:2, 218:11 249:19, 251:5, 251:19 284:11, 284:13 196:19, 198:13, heavy [1] - 272:2 **half** [1] - 215:7 199:6, 199:8, 199:21, geographical [1] gray [1] - 218:10 held [1] - 239:24 hall [1] - 268:10 256:15 200:2, 201:11, great [13] - 185:13, help [7] - 211:2, hand [7] - 190:14, Geological [1] -185:22, 185:23, 203:11, 206:11, 214:8, 219:16, 193:2, 225:5, 226:11, 199:10 208:1, 209:11, 185:24, 191:23, 270:16, 283:23, 233:14, 247:11, 209:15, 210:12, given [6] - 197:2, 221:25, 232:18, 284:20, 311:12 309:24 230:10, 230:24, 250:22, 259:24, 213:22, 220:4, helped [1] - 308:13 handed [1] - 288:3 235:18, 236:2, 236:7, 253:23, 301:10, 269:19, 305:3, 308:16 helpful [5] - 202:12, handful [1] - 233:16 236:12, 236:13, 313.12 greater [2] - 195:20, 270:15, 275:21, handle [2] - 191:19, glad [2] - 187:10, 232:23 236:17, 237:4, 237:8, 284:24, 286:12 191.21 246:13, 246:20, 270:11 greatest [2] - 189:18, helping [1] - 248:24 handling [1] - 199:14 248:18, 261:3, Glass [2] - 202:7, 265:14 Henderson [6] hands [1] - 216:23 263:25, 264:1, 264:4, 204:22 green [5] - 257:20, 190:1, 190:10, happy [5] - 183:13, 264:5, 264:6, 264:11, GLASS [3] - 177:14, 267:6, 267:15, 192:23, 193:10, 216:16, 299:9, 310:7 265:11, 266:1, 266:3, 202:1, 202:7 267:17, 310:15 197:10, 214:6 hard [8] - 202:10, 266:15, 269:16, GREGORY [1] **global** [3] - 255:2, HENDERSON [7] -246:19, 267:1, 292:25, 293:3, 301:6, 255:5, 310:16 175:7 177:10, 193:1, 193:4, 268:12, 283:24, 302:3, 302:13, 307:7, **goal** [2] - 186:23, grew [1] - 184:21 193:10, 193:13, 294:13, 303:11 307:9, 307:11, 235:12 ground [2] - 208:20, 193:16, 193:18 hard-working [1] -307:12, 307:16, goals [1] - 264:18 273:23 herd [1] - 253:3 268:12 309:1, 309:6, 309:7, God [1] - 301:9 grounds [1] - 219:24 hereby [1] - 313:7 hardly [1] - 254:10 311:3, 311:12 golf [1] - 204:11 group [1] - 245:15 hereto [1] - 313:16 health-based [1] hardship [1] - 250:21 GONZALES [4] grown [1] - 306:5 Hesperus [2] -194:12 Harold [1] - 175:4 177:8, 190:12, growth [1] - 210:1 252:22, 252:25 healthy [1] - 309:16 hate [1] - 215:17 190:15, 190:21 guess [6] - 183:21, hi [2] - 258:7, 265:5 hear [15] - 181:9, hazard [2] - 199:6, Gonzales [2] -High [2] - 184:24, 211:16, 223:12, 192:8, 195:2, 197:12, 199:21 190:21, 192:22 223:13, 237:25, 185:2 198:8, 201:19, hazards [1] - 200:24 Goodrich [1] -248:20 high [3] - 185:23, 228:17, 262:11, **HAZE** [1] - 174:5 182:20 guidance [6] -245:21, 252:5 270:6, 289:5, 290:11, Haze [3] - 207:20, Google [2] - 273:20, 222:18, 228:2, 252:2, high-paying [1] -294:10, 300:22, 263:10, 264:18 273:21 292:3, 297:8, 311:24 185:23 310:8, 311:22 haze [56] - 181:5, GORDON [3] -Guidelines [1] high-wage [1] heard [13] - 185:7, 184:25, 193:24, 177:14, 202:1, 202:7 222:25 245:21 199:2, 199:5, 201:7, 185:8, 191:3, 214:16, Gordon [1] - 202:7 guidelines [22] higher [1] - 238:11 201:14, 205:20, 219:22, 223:15, government [5] -221:4, 221:16, highlight [1] - 263:12 230:6, 235:18, 205:23, 206:10, 185:19, 197:6, 224:19, 224:24, hired [1] - 239:22 271:20, 302:11, 208:14, 208:19, 198:11, 214:1, 303:9 225:7, 232:21, historically [1] -304:1, 310:14, 312:11 212:15, 213:8, governmental [1] -232:22, 232:24, 252:24 hearing [19] -213:12, 218:14, 239:25 278:16, 279:2, history [5] - 221:11, 174:17, 181:4, 183:7, 225:14, 229:3, 229:6, governments [2] -291:19, 291:25, 227:8, 229:3, 243:18, 229:14, 230:3, 202:8, 202:10, 292:1, 292:6, 292:16, 195:19, 251:24 298:19 230:17, 231:5, 205:21, 218:23, 297:7, 297:9, 297:17, Gradient [1] - 288:16 hoc [1] - 197:25 219:7, 240:11, 231:10, 233:13, 301:16, 301:18, Grail [1] - 232:21 hold [1] - 228:9 244:16, 284:1, 301:20, 301:23 235:5, 235:9, 235:24, grandchildren [1] -Holy [1] - 232:21 289:16, 290:14, 236:5, 236:11, guys [2] - 198:8, 203:15 home [4] - 184:5,

254:20, 259:20, 265:7 interest [5] - 198:1, impacted [1] impressed [2] indicated [1] - 242:4 homes [2] - 260:8, 299:23 308:11, 312:2 indication [2] -212:24, 299:2, 302:4 260:12 impacting [1] improve [3] - 197:1, 299:17, 299:25 interested [3] -248:12 208:1. 303:15 183:4, 259:19, 313:17 honest [1] - 206:21 indicator [1] - 194:13 Impacts [2] - 180:8, hope [7] - 196:7, improved [2] - 189:9, indigenous [1] interests [5] -216:14, 219:16, 288:15 305.7 253:20 198:10. 198:11. 267:1, 294:17, impacts [19] - 197:7, IMPROVEMENT [1] indirect [2] - 260:25, 262:5, 265:19, 298:4 296:21, 298:17 209:11, 220:4, 226:1, 174:1 261:1 interference [1] hopefully [2] -237:4, 241:25, improvement [13] individual [2] -302:3 299:13, 299:16 250:18, 250:25, 188:24, 224:6, 186:10, 218:18 interject [1] - 263:12 224:22, 224:23, hospice [1] - 191:9 262:8, 262:10, international [1] industrial 121 hospital [2] - 199:24, 262:25, 263:3, 236:12, 282:17, 218:12, 218:15 200:23 264:11, 266:13, 291:12, 292:14, International [4] -209:13 industries [1] - 257:9 host [1] - 216:16 266:15, 291:10, 292:15, 295:19, industry [2] - 242:3, 240:3, 242:18, 294:23, 295:8, 295:9 296:9, 296:10, 305:11 hour [2] - 174:20, 242:8 249:20, 256:21 impairment [2] -276:12 Improvement [11] internationally [1] inevitably [1] - 216:1 221:1, 291:21 huge [2] - 188:24, 174:18, 175:6, 181:3, 267:15 informal [1] - 228:2 imperceptible [1] -211:19 183:23, 195:11, information [10] interpretation [3] hum [1] - 283:20 295:19 197:5, 201:12, 221:7, 221:8, 291:22 183:10, 192:7, 209:6, implement [3] -207:18, 212:20, human [2] - 186:10, interstate [4] -212:23, 247:2, 267:6, 228:3, 230:21, 301:25 256:5, 301:22 239:24 272:22, 274:7, 270:16, 294:22, implementation [7] improvements [8] humidity [1] - 277:18 304:2, 308:3 274:21, 274:24 193:24, 194:5, 194:7, 188:12, 188:20, hundred [2] informed [2] - 224:3, introduce [1] -189:1, 237:13, 251:1, 222:10. 250:24. 240:20 249:22, 253:23 265:12 301:21. 308:9 279:13. 279:19. 309:1 hundreds [1] - 261:8 intuitive [1] - 277:6 infrastructure [1] -Implementation [22] improves [1] - 253:9 invalidate [1] husband [1] - 276:20 204:9 - 181:5, 195:12, improving [1] hybrid [1] - 304:10 initial [2] - 183:8, 311:11 205:24, 207:21. 216:2 183:22 invasion [1] - 198:3 208:13, 208:18, **IN** [1] - 174:4 initiatives [1] - 201:1 invest [1] - 304:11 ı 214:8, 250:20, 251:1, inappropriate [1] injure [1] - 198:12 invested [3] -236:10 256:5, 257:25, 203:19. 205:19. injury [1] - 302:2 Idaho [1] - 233:15 259:13, 264:15, include [2] - 194:24, 306:16 insignificant [6] idea [3] - 228:16, 272:20, 274:11, 280:4 188:13, 188:17, investment [9] -264:8, 275:10 274:14, 290:6, included [2] - 195:2, 243:20, 294:25, 188:18, 189:5, identified [3] -290:25, 296:1, 298:6, 281:13 304:6, 305:6, 305:13, 211:20, 296:12 193:21, 199:10, 303:5, 310:20 includes [1] - 243:9 305:14, 306:18, install [1] - 207:22 220:21 **IMPLEMENTATION** including [7] - 209:2, installation [3] -306:19 ignored [1] - 199:14 [1] - 174:4 218:19, 261:3, 207:25, 209:8, 263:8 invitation [1] illnesses [1] - 200:14 implemented [2] -261:16, 262:16, 214:15 installed [3] - 210:9, illustrate [3] -282:4, 299:12 296:25, 302:4 involved [5] - 218:9, 224:12, 237:12 283:23, 284:20, implementing [1] inclusive [1] -274:1, 302:8, 302:10, instance [2] -294:24 227:10 278:13 312:6 211:12, 234:5 illustrated [1] implications [1] income [6] - 208:4, involvement [2] instead [3] - 220:8, 294:24 262:4 243:1, 243:8, 260:24, 227:12, 296:15 266:7, 266:12 illustration [2] importance [1] -309:8 issue [23] - 189:2, institute [1] - 307:22 285:4, 286:10 295:3 incorporated [1] -193:23, 199:11, instituting [1] illustrations [1] important [24] -230:7 199:22, 204:4, 306:22 221:13 184:1, 188:15, increase [4] -205:22, 206:19, insufficient [1] -189:14, 189:16, imaginary [1] -195:17, 209:7, 246:21, 248:16, 206:4 189:17, 191:22, 300:10 209:20, 216:1 248:18, 248:19, integrates [1] -194:15, 204:17, imagined [1] - 305:9 increased [1] - 210:2 248:22, 274:2, 277:4, 225:14 216:18, 221:2, 227:9, impact [21] - 194:17, increases [1] -290:20, 290:22, intend [1] - 194:21 194:21, 194:25, 253:17, 272:3, 192:12 299:7, 301:3, 301:4, intended [3] -290:18, 294:7, 195:3, 195:19, 196:5, 301:5, 301:7, 301:8, incurred [1] - 209:20 220:11, 225:10, 226:7 294:11, 295:14, 196:14, 206:10, independent [1] -301:10 intent [3] - 227:11, 295:22, 297:6, 309:4, 250:2, 250:8, 263:22, 261:5 issued [2] - 228:2, 238:15, 289:2 309:15, 309:21, 266:17, 266:19, 272.6 independently [1] intention [1] - 248:23 273:13, 275:11, 310:17, 310:21 285:20 issues [13] - 188:13, intentions [1] - 206:5 299:17, 299:25, impose [1] - 213:5 188:15, 188:17, Indian [2] - 199:14,

199:23

301:7, 302:16, 302:25

impress [1] - 272:14

interchange [2] -

298:25, 299:13

188:25, 205:16,

206:15, 206:22, 249:10 209:4, 259:15, 262:7 209:19, 292:15 253:10, 253:11, 291:9 218:4, 246:8, 262:14, Josh [1] - 249:16 jurisdictional [1] largest [3] - 196:21, lifelong [1] - 266:6 270:4, 294:21, 310:17 Journal [1] - 199:17 205:16 242:19, 274:16 light [1] - 222:21 IT [1] - 174:16 justice [3] - 200:10, last [11] - 184:4, Juan [111] - 174:18, lighting [1] - 191:12 item [1] - 248:13 176:8, 180:8, 182:17, 208:3, 210:11 193:12, 244:20, lights [3] - 185:17, items [3] - 188:24, 182:25, 185:12, juxtapose [1] -246:17, 247:23, 186:4, 186:18 252:1, 258:5, 269:11, 221:20 281:23, 306:1 185:18, 185:24, likely [3] - 200:16, 188:20, 190:23, 270:10, 271:20, itself [1] - 230:14 200:19, 271:21 193:22, 196:16, 300:15 Κ limit [4] - 233:3, 196:17, 196:20, latest [2] - 257:3, J 233:4, 295:24 196:21, 197:12, 257:5 limitations [1] -Kansas [1] - 277:12 199:8, 201:19, latitude [1] - 231:11 183:6 James [3] - 187:4, Kathy [1] - 181:18 205:14, 206:6, 206:8, latter [1] - 300:24 limits [6] - 184:16, 193:10, 210:24 keep [9] - 186:25, 206:13, 206:17, Law [2] - 176:4, 194:12. 195:7. 232:9. **JAMES** [6] - 175:8, 206:6, 246:24, 253:5, 206:19, 206:22, 176:16 232:11, 232:19 177:10, 177:20, 253:6, 303:12, 207:22, 208:1, 208:6, law [8] - 200:8, line [6] - 191:11, 193:4, 210:18, 210:24 306:20, 309:15 208:7. 208:23. 192:17, 228:15, 200:23, 201:5, 223:5, janitor [1] - 239:23 keeping [3] - 221:3, 209:11, 209:22, 232:20, 240:1, 260:5 231:3, 291:13, 299:5 Japan [1] - 255:9 230:16, 298:22 210:9, 212:15, 213:1, lawful [1] - 229:16 list [2] - 296:17, **JD** [1] - 218:6 keeps [2] - 192:14, 213:14, 213:15, laws [1] - 201:5 308:12 **JEFFREY** [1] - 175:9 305:22 213:19, 213:20, lawyer [2] - 218:2, listens [1] - 199:4 jeopardized [1] kind [19] - 229:25, 214:2, 214:16, 216:7, 277:1 live [10] - 186:21, 232:6, 232:10, 201:11 216:11, 216:13, lead [1] - 245:18 200:4, 205:12, 208:4, 235:25, 238:17, Jim [1] - 190:1 216:15, 216:19, leaders [1] - 216:17 246:23, 252:9, 246:19, 246:22, job [9] - 185:19, 217:14, 219:13, League [3] - 207:13, 253:23, 256:7, 260:2 246:12, 246:13, 265:18, 266:25, 219:14, 226:4, 207:17, 210:6 liveable [1] - 186:12 272:12, 279:24, 252:3, 252:13, 226:12, 227:17, lived [1] - 212:18 league [1] - 207:16 281:24, 286:9, 256:18, 257:10, 235:4, 235:12, 241:1, learned [1] - 300:15 livelihood [1] -292:10, 304:11, 308:5, 308:23 241:4, 241:9, 241:13, least [7] - 203:13, 250:23 305:11, 309:19, 310:2 jobs [33] - 185:21, 241:15, 241:21, 213:16. 216:2. living [5] - 185:12, kinds [1] - 310:16 185:22, 185:23, 241:23, 242:1, 232:15, 270:19. 238:23, 257:1, 260:6, Kirtland [1] - 252:3 186:25, 194:19, 242:11, 242:15, 296:17, 306:22 268:19 196:8, 214:8, 245:21, 242:20, 243:4, 243:6, kitchen [1] - 260:9 local [17] - 195:19, leave [1] - 247:1 246:17, 246:18, 243:15, 243:18, knowledge [3] -197:6, 219:18, **left** [1] - 222:3 252:8, 252:16, 253:9, 244:16, 245:13, 200:2, 212:25, 223:2 222:21, 222:25, legacy [1] - 243:14 253:15, 253:16, 245:15, 245:17, known [2] - 220:23, 223:3, 225:9, 242:16, legal [3] - 238:4, 254:21, 254:23, 245:23, 247:25, 267:15 295:24, 296:5 242:24, 243:7, 256:20, 257:19, 249:24, 250:9, **Kyle** [1] - 278:20 250:16, 250:23, Legal [1] - 176:9 261:8, 265:21, 252:15, 261:6, 261:7, **KYLE** [2] - 179:6, 251:22, 252:19, legitimately [1] -265:22, 265:23, 261:10, 261:11, 276:2 257:12, 303:13 300:21 266:9, 267:4, 267:7, 261:16, 262:3, 262:6, **Local** [4] - 240:3, less [14] - 186:18, 267:8, 267:14, 262:10, 262:15, L 195:9, 202:10, 242:18, 249:21, 267:18, 268:16, 263:7, 263:10, 208:14, 213:4, 256:21 268:19, 290:24, 301:7 263:19, 263:22, locally [1] - 303:13 213:11, 231:20, LA [1] - 254:13 Joe [2] - 247:9, 264:3, 267:14, located [5] - 208:8, 232:12, 232:16, labor [1] - 239:25 247:18 268:20, 268:21, 241:7, 260:21, 282:11, 286:4, laborers [2] - 268:9, **JOE** [2] - 178:14, 269:2, 273:3, 273:19, 295:11, 304:4, 308:17 261:14, 262:7 268:12 276:11, 278:1, 283:6, 247.12 location [2] - 184:1, lessen [1] - 250:16 lack [1] - 218:11 JOHN [5] - 175:8, 285:6, 288:15, 289:6, 196:1 **letter** [4] - 187:5, lacks [1] - 305:25 294:16, 296:3, 296:7, 178:4, 216:24, 217:2, locator [1] - 195:25 209:11, 245:24, 246:3 ladies [3] - 249:19, 297:4, 297:19, 298:16 217:4 letting [1] - 226:14 logical [1] - 229:13 251:5, 251:18 **JUAN** [1] - 180:3 **John** [4] - 180:5, level [8] - 208:5, long-term [4] laid [1] - 311:15 Juan's [1] - 283:12 216:21, 217:2, 218:1 202:16, 203:14, 208:20, 282:20, land [5] - 241:5, judge [1] - 295:25 joining [1] - 182:21 282:21, 307:11, 309:8 204:3, 210:1 241:6, 252:24, joins [1] - 198:14 **July** [3] - 199:9, look [28] - 186:14, levels [1] - 226:1 253:21, 253:22 joke [1] - 201:1 206:20, 243:19 186:24, 194:16, License [1] - 313:20 lands [2] - 198:5, jump [1] - 191:6 Joseph [1] - 190:21 196:1, 211:7, 211:11, licensed [1] - 218:2 205:18 June [2] - 174:16, JOSEPH [2] - 177:8, 211:21, 221:11, life [8] - 186:12, large [2] - 198:4,

KATHY TOWNSEND COURT REPORTERS

larger [3] - 199:2,

218:15

186:13, 194:18,

202:15, 237:11,

221:18, 237:22,

248:23, 250:6,

190:15

JOSH [2] - 178:16,

180:10

jurisdiction [3] -

257:19, 268:15, 178:18, 251:9, 251:15 202:22, 203:9, 306:3, 303:17 242:10, 242:23, 243:10, 243:11, 269:7, 273:4, 273:23, Lucy [1] - 256:3 308:25 Member [6] - 227:5, 248:25, 249:3, 278:1, 280:1, 280:17, **LUIS** [1] - 258:12 mark [1] - 236:20 275:14, 276:7, 287:3, 283:5, 284:5, 284:18, 292:9, 309:5 250:24, 252:10, Lujan [2] - 248:22, market [1] - 260:22 285:20, 288:3, 295:5, members [22] -253:18, 256:14, 249:2 Marquette [1] - 176:5 296:22, 297:7 189:25, 198:6, 211:1, 259:13, 259:15, Lung [1] - 250:10 married [1] - 238:16 looked [10] - 273:21, 259:16, 259:23, mars [1] - 198:24 216:10, 216:17, 276:13. 277:13. 264:7. 265:9. 275:7. М matched [1] - 189:12 218:1. 244:10. 279:16, 281:23, 294:13, 295:10, 245:10, 246:1, materials [2] -295:12, 295:15, 282:9, 282:16, 249:24, 261:12, 196:22, 214:3 M-C-D-A-N-I-E-L [1] -282:18, 284:9, 305:5 262:17, 268:11, 297:25, 298:3, 298:8, maternal [1] - 240:22 245:8 268:23, 269:3, 272:3, 303:7, 306:10, 311:8, looking [12] -**MATTER** [1] - 174:4 M-E-Y-E-R [1] -311:17 195:23, 195:24, 290:13, 294:3, matter [13] - 174:17, 214:24 Mexico's [7] -227:9, 237:18, 267:2, 298:14, 301:1, 303:2 181:4, 181:7, 181:12, ma'am [6] - 189:24, 208:12. 223:25. 273:19, 276:22, memoranda [1] -183:8, 213:4, 246:21, 276:24, 279:20, 197:14, 207:2, 251:19, 259:12, 228:2 266:3, 270:2, 272:23, 227:23, 237:1, 244:21 264:14, 310:11, 283:24, 287:15, memorialize [1] -277:22, 279:1, 279:17 machine [1] - 313:10 292:11 293:22 310:25 matters [6] - 218:5, machinery [2] -MEYER [4] - 177:24, looks [4] - 215:19, mention [2] - 196:4, 218:9, 218:18, 224:4, 186:14, 280:11 214:18, 214:24, 215:1 255:6, 278:8, 300:18 261:21 225:25, 241:18 Madam [22] - 182:15, lose [1] - 309:1 Meyer [2] - 214:24, mentioned [4] maximum [2] -183:2, 211:1, 216:9, loss [5] - 246:18, 222:12, 233:15, 216.5 220:17, 304:8 216:10, 217:25, 263:17, 265:21, 254:25, 287:7 MICHAEL [1] - 175:8 mayor [1] - 192:6 218:21, 233:24, 267:4, 301:7 mercury [2] - 189:11, microphone [1] mayors [1] - 245:22 234:17, 239:4, lost [4] - 196:8, 202:9 213:3 MC [3] - 178:12, 269:13, 269:24, Mesa [4] - 198:19, 246:17, 266:9, 267:8 middle [1] - 304:10 245:1, 245:10 271:1, 288:9, 288:17, loud [1] - 183:19 might [9] - 186:17, 263:17, 284:12, McDaniel [1] - 245:7 290:12, 294:2, 294:3, 228:16, 232:15, loudly [1] - 197:22 284:13 MD [1] - 245:7 298:13, 300:6, 312:22 273:10, 275:18, **LOUIS** [1] - 176:15 met [2] - 233:3, mean [8] - 224:22, main [3] - 211:6, 282:13, 284:20, 311:14 **Louis** [1] - 182:11 227:18, 229:4, 231:3, 248:11, 266:25 284:24, 286:10 **LOUISE** [2] - 178:24, meteorological [4] -231:22, 237:2, 248:7, maintain [2] - 257:1 Mike [2] - 205:7, 278:9, 280:14, 265:1 309:24 major [11] - 196:18, Louise [1] - 258:20 281:10, 287:9 205:12 meaningful [3] -208:19, 208:24, MIKE [2] - 177:16, love [3] - 185:12, method [1] - 306:12 221:10, 223:24, 211:21, 211:22, 205:1 304:9, 305:3 methodology [6] -275:11 213:21, 214:5, miles [2] - 259:22, low [2] - 208:4, 224:9, 225:6, 278:7, means [3] - 220:17, 243:15, 248:7, 276:12 297:18 278:17, 281:7, 292:2 220:19, 309:23 261:22, 264:11 MILLER [1] - 176:4 methods [1] - 302:9 lower [1] - 208:21 measured [2] majority [2] - 308:16, metric [1] - 225:3 million [32] - 188:20, lowered [1] - 213:2 211:9, 225:6 308:17 191:16, 194:3, MEXICO [3] - 174:1, Irose@montand. measures [3] makers [1] - 245:22 196:22, 196:23, 180:6, 313:1 com [1] - 176:18 222:1, 222:3, 222:4 man [1] - 253:5 209:16, 213:16, Lucas [3] - 275:23, Medical [1] - 200:20 Mexico [74] - 174:19, manager [4] - 176:9, 213:17, 214:2, 214:3, 175:3, 175:5, 175:6, 275:25, 287:24 meet [8] - 194:6, 190:24, 239:24, 259:6 242:13, 242:25, 175:13, 175:16, LUCAS [29] - 179:6, 194:8, 205:25, managers [1] -243:2, 243:6, 243:8, 175:18, 175:20, 276:2, 277:6, 277:11, 264:17, 296:2, 296:3, 245:22 243:9, 243:10, 176:2, 176:6, 176:11, 278:3, 278:13, 296:4, 305:12 mandate [2] - 222:6, 243:17, 281:15, 278:16, 278:25, 176:17, 180:10, meeting [2] - 190:2, 230:3 282:13, 285:9, 182:4, 182:8, 185:25, 279:12, 280:4, 280:7, 236:4 mandated [2] -292:14, 292:15, 280:9, 281:1, 281:10, 194:1, 195:12, 196:3, meetings [2] - 184:1, 222:13, 223:16 295:18, 296:8, 199:22, 207:19, 282:6, 282:16, 305:20 manifestation [1] -303:15, 304:5, 207:20, 208:7, 209:2, 282:23, 283:9, meets [1] - 293:7 201:4 305:14, 306:16 209:7, 210:7, 210:11, 283:12, 283:15, megawatts [1] manner [1] - 226:7 millions [1] - 213:25 212:20, 212:21, 283:18, 283:21, 232:23 Manual [1] - 238:17 mind [5] - 216:2, 283:23, 284:24, 216:14, 224:7, member [14] manual [1] - 238:19 230:16, 232:25, 225:20, 226:2, 286:4, 286:7, 287:2, 212:20, 231:16, map [1] - 273:21 266:9, 272:2 227:21, 230:1, 231:4, 287:7, 287:13 238:5, 240:2, 246:5, Margaret [1] - 245:7 231:11, 240:5, minds [1] - 230:13 Lucinda [4] - 251:8, 249:20, 252:21, MARGARET [3] -240:18, 241:2, 241:6, Mine [10] - 196:17, 251:15, 251:21, 256:7, 256:20, 178:12, 245:1, 245:8 241:11, 241:14, 213:20, 241:4, 241:7, 299.22 280:23, 288:24, marginal [4] -241:22, 242:1, 241:9, 242:11, 243:4, LUCINDA [3] -301:11, 301:15,

MSR [2] - 176:14,

multi [1] - 205:16

multiplier [1] -

multitude [1] -

must [9] - 201:8,

Ν

N-4064 [1] - 175:19

name [32] - 181:2,

named [1] - 261:14

narrow [1] - 224:9

Nation [40] - 241:8,

nation [8] - 186:11,

nation's [1] - 307:14

Nation's [3] - 260:14,

national [1] - 209:23

National [4] - 284:4,

multi-jurisdictional

243:6, 261:7, 261:12 215:1, 216:9, 217:2, 187:16, 189:21, monthly [1] - 191:5 mine [7] - 187:3, months [1] - 284:2 217:8, 218:21, 219:1, 190:6, 190:8, 192:22, 182:12 213:23, 241:5, 241:7, 219:4, 219:10, 192:25, 193:15, Monument [1] -261:7, 263:17, 299:24 226:16, 226:21, 193:17, 197:10, 198:19 miner [1] - 249:21 226:23, 226:25, 197:21, 197:24, monuments [1] -[1] - 205:16 miners [2] - 242:17, 209:23 227:23, 228:25, 201:16, 204:22, 229:2, 229:22, 231:1, 207:1, 207:3, 207:5, 249:22 moral [5] - 301:3, 257:11 231:17. 231:21. 207:12. 210:14. mines [4] - 185:22, 301:4, 301:5, 301:6, 232:1, 232:4, 232:18, 212:3, 214:13, 216:5, 243:3, 250:4, 261:19 301:10 309.12 233:5, 233:11, 218:24, 219:3, 219:5, minimize [3] morning [16] - 181:1, 233:23, 234:2, 226:15, 226:18, 188:16, 203:10, 295:2 181:7, 182:15, 183:4, 213:8, 220:3, 221:23, 226:22, 226:24, 234:14, 234:17, minimizing [1] -187:18, 189:23, 222:7, 222:13, 230:5, 234:19, 234:22, 227:1, 227:5, 227:6, 189:24, 190:12, 232:22, 302:12 195:14 235:13, 235:17, 228:22, 229:1, mining [1] - 218:12 193:1, 193:19, 235:24, 236:21, 229:19, 230:19, 197:24, 212:13, minor [2] - 211:9, 237:1, 237:7, 237:9, 231:15, 231:16, 216:9, 251:18, 261:22 237:15, 238:1, 238:6, 232:3, 233:6, 233:7, 298:17, 310:8 minute [1] - 195:25 233:20, 234:15, 238:14. 239:4. MORROW [3] minutes [5] - 190:4, 239:10, 240:6, 234:18, 235:14, 175:9, 311:18, 312:13 245:12, 246:5, 184:13, 187:24, 240:14, 243:25, 236:22, 237:16, 270:19, 270:21 most [17] - 181:8, 244:4, 244:6, 244:8, 188:1, 190:20, 193:9, 238:5, 238:25, 240:8, 184:17, 185:18, misleading [1] -193:12, 197:20, 244:15, 247:9, 244:2, 244:5, 244:7, 186:4, 194:8, 204:20, 229:25 200:25, 202:6, 205:5, 247:18, 247:20, 244:9, 244:17, 245:7, 205:24, 210:8, 221:6, misperception [1] -205:12, 207:10, 249:16, 249:18, 245:10, 247:4, 249:6, 223:2, 235:25, 220:16 210:22, 212:9, 255:25, 268:7, 269:6, 251:6, 251:15, 238:18, 253:16, missed [1] - 231:5 214:22, 217:2, 218:1, 269:13, 269:20, 251:18, 255:17, 260:14, 268:11, mission [1] - 245:18 269:24, 271:1, 245:5, 247:9, 247:17, 258:2, 258:7, 258:8, 299:23, 308:15 mistake [1] - 225:16 249:14, 251:13, 271:19, 272:1, 258:10, 258:11, mistaken [2] mother [1] - 255:13 251:21, 255:24, 275:17, 275:21, 258:16, 258:20, 265:16, 282:13 Mother [6] - 200:22, 255:25, 258:15, 277:6, 277:11, 278:3, 259:5, 264:20, 265:5, 200:23, 201:2, 201:4, misunderstanding 258:19, 258:20, 278:13, 278:16, 267:20, 269:5, 269:8, 201:7, 201:10 [1] - 274:5 259:5, 268:6, 268:7 278:25, 279:12, 269:19, 269:21, motion [1] - 312:12 model [9] - 264:9, 280:4, 280:7, 280:9, 270:23, 271:7, mountain [1] - 305:5 279:4, 279:24, 280:24, 281:1, 281:8, 271:12, 271:13, Mountain [2] -279:25, 280:2, 281:10, 282:1, 282:6, 271:16, 271:17, 198:19, 252:22 280:13, 280:17, 242:1, 242:3, 242:21, 282:16, 282:23, 271:20, 275:13, mountains [2] -281:2, 282:3 283:9, 283:12, 251:24, 252:25, 275:15, 275:20, 252:24, 254:4 modeled [3] -253:10, 253:18, 283:15, 283:18, 275:22, 276:7, 276:8, move [9] - 240:6, 281:21, 281:22 283:21, 283:23, 256:8, 256:12, 278:2, 278:10, 265:6, 266:17, modeling [2] - 278:7, 284:24, 284:25, 278:15, 278:19, 256:13, 259:7, 259:8, 289:22, 300:16. 312:4 259:11, 259:19, 285:14, 285:16, 278:20, 279:6, 303:21, 312:13, modern [3] - 186:11, 259:20, 259:21, 285:18, 285:24, 279:22, 279:23, 312.20 186:13, 307:10 260:2, 260:16, 286:1, 286:2, 286:3, 280:5, 280:8, 280:19, moved[1] - 309:3Mohave [2] - 263:13, 286:4, 286:5, 286:7, 280:21, 281:19, 260:19, 261:1, 261:5, moving [1] - 265:11 263:16 286:17, 286:20, 282:2, 282:15, 261:13, 261:17, MOYA [4] - 179:4, moisture [3] -286:23, 286:24, 282:22, 283:8, 262:1, 262:5, 262:7, 268:1, 268:7, 269:6 277:16, 277:17, 287:2, 287:3, 287:7, 283:11, 283:14, 262:9, 262:19, 279:17 Moya [2] - 268:7, 287:10, 287:13, 283:17, 283:20, 262:25, 263:14, 269:5 mom [1] - 240:19 287:18, 288:9, 283:22, 284:23, 263:18, 263:22, MR [151] - 182:2, moment [2] - 275:22, 288:17, 288:20, 285:17, 286:12, 263:25, 264:1, 264:7, 182:7, 182:11, 289:17 289:6, 289:7, 290:12, 286:13, 286:18, 264:13, 267:17, 182:15, 183:2, money [11] - 188:16, 294:2, 298:13, 300:6, 287:19, 288:11, 268:24 183:18, 184:14, 189:6, 189:9, 191:17, 303:24, 305:19, 288:19, 288:24, 187:14, 188:1, 188:4, 202:18, 211:19, 307:25, 310:6, 289:8, 289:11, 290:2, 186:16, 206:9, 190:12, 190:21, 224:22, 238:21, 311:18, 312:13 293:25, 298:11, 253:12, 254:18, 192:23, 193:1, 304:12, 308:23, 309:1 MS [152] - 181:1, 300:4, 300:8, 300:12, 261:5, 307:12, 307:16 193:10, 193:13, monitoring [1] -182:5, 182:9, 182:13, 300:18, 303:23, 193:16, 193:18, 211:10 182:19, 183:11, 305:18, 307:24, 205:7, 205:10, MONTGOMERY [1] -183:19, 183:21, 310:5, 312:1, 312:14, 261:3, 265:20 210:24, 212:11, 176:15 187:11, 187:12, 312:15, 312:17, 212:13, 214:24, month [1] - 254:5 187:13, 187:15, 312:22, 312:23

285:19, 293:3, 311:7 310:10, 310:25, 289:16, 300:6 needs [6] - 194:9, offered [4] - 229:18, Nations [2] - 201:1, 253:14, 254:15, 311:8, 311:17 notice [1] - 289:2 229:24, 288:22, notwithstanding [1] 255:1 290:20, 295:4, 307:19 next [7] - 190:4, 298:17 negative [1] - 309:7 192:13, 230:15, Native [2] - 242:13, - 289.1 offering [1] - 219:2 309:4 239:5, 258:9, 265:7, NOx [25] - 188:22, negatively [1] office [6] - 181:22, natural [11] - 194:1, 254:16 305:24 195:6, 206:3, 206:18, 191:11, 192:17, NGS [3] - 262:16, 194:7, 201:5, 209:25, negligent [1] -208:16, 211:7, 211:8, 199:12, 199:20, 259:7 262:19, 262:20 215:9, 253:25, 304:24 211:12, 211:23, Office [5] - 175:12, 260:11, 260:15, negligible [1] **nice** [1] - 183:19 211:24, 229:25, 175:13, 175:17, 266:23, 304:9 230:6, 230:9, 235:7, 213:12 night [2] - 184:4, 175:18, 176:16 **nature** [1] - 250:8 270:10 276:17, 276:21, officer [1] - 313:6 neighbor [2] -Navajo [67] - 197:25, 208:13, 210:8 nitrates [4] - 229:25, 281:16, 282:11, Officer [17] - 175:2, 198:1, 198:5, 198:10, 277:21, 279:17, 282:13, 287:6, 181:3, 182:16, 183:2, neighbors [1] -200:5, 200:19, 241:7, 254:2 287:13 287:11, 287:13, 216:10, 217:25, 296:6, 296:10, 297:18 241:8, 242:1, 242:3, nitrogen [7] - 206:3, 218:21, 233:24, Nenahnezad [1] -242:11, 242:20, 206:9, 207:23, **NTUA** [2] - 256:9 234:17, 239:4, 271:1, 252:21 242:21, 243:16, nuclear [1] - 215:6 208:19, 208:24, 288:9, 288:17, **NESHAPs** [1] - 237:3 251:24, 252:25, never [2] - 233:9, 213:3, 241:17 number [10] -288:21, 290:13, 253:10, 253:18, **NMED** [8] - 211:11, 224:18, 254:21, 298:14, 300:7 266:19 256:8, 256:12, 234:11, 272:15, 267:8, 269:18, officials [1] - 183:4 nevertheless [1] -256:13, 259:6, 259:8, 273:11, 274:12, 278:24, 282:12, often [1] - 183:12 262:8 259:9, 259:11, 274:19, 275:2, 298:22 283:16, 294:19, oftentimes [1] -**NEW** [3] - 174:1, 259:19, 259:20, 296:23, 310:2 NMED's [3] - 229:19, 188:12 180:6, 313:1 259:21, 260:2, 260:7, 293:19, 303:4 Number [1] - 313:20 new [5] - 198:23, oil [1] - 210:2 260:14, 260:15, numbers [1] - 273:6 nobody [1] - 255:6 234:7, 238:11, old [2] - 242:4, 267:8 260:19, 260:20, 238:12, 282:4 nonair [2] - 237:10, older [1] - 202:10 260:23, 260:24, New [82] - 174:19, 291:10 0 once [3] - 181:21, 261:1, 261:2, 261:4, 175:3, 175:5, 175:6, nonattainment [1] -231:7, 243:23 261:5, 261:9, 261:11, 175:13, 175:16, 208:22 one [49] - 185:11, O-R-T-E-G-A [1] -261:12, 261:13, noncatalytic [1] -175:18, 175:20, 187:8, 188:10, 197:1, 249.16 261:15, 261:17, 206:1 176:2, 176:6, 176:11, 202:11, 203:17, OAQPS [1] - 238:17 262:1, 262:4, 262:7, 176:17, 180:10, none [4] - 219:7, 204:5, 208:23, 211:3, oath [2] - 181:14, 262:9, 262:18, 182:3, 182:7, 185:25, 240:11, 246:10 211:17, 214:15, 276:1 262:25, 263:1, 194:1, 195:12, 196:3, nonprofit [3] -216:20, 225:4, 263:14, 263:18, objection [3] - 289:5, 199:22, 207:19, 243:22, 245:14, 225:17, 225:22, 263:22, 263:25, 289:6, 289:7 207:20, 208:6, 262:12 227:14, 227:25, objections [4] -264:1, 264:7, 264:13, 208:12, 209:2, 209:7, nontechnical [6] -230:4, 233:14, 219:6, 240:10, 288:7, 265:20, 267:17, 210:7, 210:10, 234:19, 235:17, 244:19, 247:7. 288:18 268:24 212:20, 212:21, 247:20, 258:4, 236:13, 237:7, Navajos [6] - 199:9, obligation [2] -216:13, 223:25, 269:10, 308:18 237:16, 238:6, 238:9, 200:3, 200:12, 201:3, 235:8, 304:22 224:7, 225:20, 226:1, 247:23, 249:22, normally [2] - 192:1, 252:23 obtain [1] - 242:6 227:21, 230:1, 231:4, 250:12. 252:23. 192:2 NCR [1] - 282:8 obtained [1] - 306:4 231:11, 237:3, 240:5, 255:13. 257:21. NORMAN [3] obvious [2] - 304:21, nearby [1] - 200:21 240:18, 241:1, 241:2, 262:24, 267:13, 178:10, 216:24, 239:6 305:12 nearly [3] - 213:23, 241:6, 241:11, 272:2, 273:17, Norman [3] - 180:4, obviously [2] -242:14, 243:10 241:14, 241:22, 277:18, 281:6, 239:5, 256:2 234:9, 237:18 necessarily [1] -242:1, 242:10, 284:19, 286:8, north [1] - 188:6 222:23 242:23, 243:9, occupies [1] -292:13, 292:23, Northern [1] - 200:19 259:23 necessary [2] -243:10, 248:25, 299:10, 299:22, Northwest [3] -201:13, 202:16 occurrence [1] -249:3, 250:24, 302:13, 306:4, 306:10 176:5, 241:6, 252:10 184:25 neck [1] - 243:24 251:19, 252:10, one-shot [1] - 225:17 **notably** [1] - 198:15 **OF** [6] - 174:1, 174:4, need [11] - 200:16, 253:18, 256:13, one-size-fits-all [2] -**NOTARY** [1] - 313:19 174:14, 180:6, 313:1, 203:16, 204:5, 259:12, 259:13, 225:22, 227:14 **note** [6] - 183:3, 313:3 206:18, 211:4, 259:15, 259:16, online [1] - 206:6 183:10, 221:2, 236:12, 248:16, 259:23, 264:7, offend [1] - 231:20 ons [1] - 281:15 284:25, 296:10, offer [8] - 201:18, 287:25, 305:12, 264:14, 265:9, 275:7, open [1] - 294:4 308:15 311:22, 312:20 294:13, 295:9, 217:18. 218:22. operate [3] - 202:15, **noted** [1] - 287:12 226:16. 240:25. needed [2] - 194:3, 295:12, 295:15, 241:6, 292:6 nothing [8] - 185:4, 243:25, 259:10, 252:15 297:25, 298:3, 298:8, operated [1] - 241:10 223:19, 224:21, 289:20 needing [1] - 301:9 303:7, 306:10, 234:14, 250:6, 272:7, operates [2] -

196:23, 241:4	227:1, 227:5, 231:16,	oxides [1] - 206:3	particulate [7] -	268:12, 268:14,
Operating [4] -	232:3, 233:6, 233:20,	ozone [5] - 206:17,	188:23, 213:3,	268:17, 268:24,
240:3, 242:19,	234:15, 234:18,	206:19, 208:20,	241:18, 266:3,	269:2, 274:4, 294:19,
249:20, 256:21	235:14, 238:5,	208:21, 280:15	277:22, 278:25,	299:19, 304:1, 304:4,
operating [3] -	238:25, 240:8, 244:2,		279:17	307:6, 310:9, 310:15,
241:5, 253:15, 291:25	244:5, 244:7, 244:9,	Р	parties [6] - 223:13,	311:2
operation [2] -	244:17, 247:4, 249:6,	•	270:18, 288:2, 290:8,	people's [3] -
215:23, 241:25	251:6, 255:17, 258:2,		313:14, 313:16	230:13, 246:13,
operational [4] -	258:8, 258:11,	p.m [1] - 312:25	parts [1] - 227:25	246:19
260:9, 280:10,	264:20, 267:20,	PA [2] - 176:4,	party [2] - 223:10,	per [13] - 209:7,
281:13, 281:17	269:5, 269:8, 269:19,	176:15	289:19	209:8, 209:17,
operations [4] -	269:21, 270:23,	package [3] -	Paseo [1] - 176:17	209:18, 224:17,
190:24, 218:16,	271:7, 271:13,	274:19, 274:20,	past [5] - 185:8,	224:20, 224:23,
242:12, 242:24	271:17, 275:13,	274:22	192:6, 196:9, 239:23,	224:25, 228:14,
opinion [4] - 231:17,	275:20, 275:22,	packet [3] - 245:24,	301:2	235:22, 243:1,
235:2, 235:22, 309:19	276:7, 280:21,	247:2, 288:8	paternal [1] - 240:23	282:13, 296:12
opportunities [1] -	286:13, 286:18,	PAGE [3] - 177:2,	path [1] - 186:22	Peralta [1] - 176:17
208:2	287:19, 288:11,	178:2, 179:2	pay [6] - 191:18,	perceivably [1] -
opportunity [11] -	288:19, 288:24,	paid [6] - 191:12,	202:24, 203:1,	197:1
191:11, 217:15,	289:8, 289:11, 290:2,	199:22, 242:8, 242:24, 243:6, 294:7	203:17, 222:15,	percent [26] -
239:15, 244:20,	293:25, 298:11,	, ,	295:20	188:22, 188:23,
251:3, 258:5, 269:11,	300:4, 300:8, 312:22	panel [5] - 271:10,	paying [5] - 185:23,	192:13, 194:2, 195:6,
270:5, 270:12, 271:4,	otherwise [2] -	271:11, 275:23, 280:22, 287:21	204:10, 204:12,	195:7, 206:3, 206:13,
288:3	189:6, 289:4	paper [2] - 186:18,	252:13, 254:23	207:24, 211:13,
opposed [1] - 183:8	ourselves [1] - 254:1	199:16	payroll [2] - 242:12,	242:9, 242:13,
option [1] - 279:20	309:6, 309:8	papers [2] - 191:12,	243:5	242:14, 242:22,
options [1] - 292:13	outdoors [1] -	304:18	pays [1] - 213:25	260:3, 260:4, 260:7, 260:8, 260:9, 260:10,
order [2] - 186:22,	305:10	paranoid [1] - 229:17	PEACOCK [16] -	260:11, 260:12,
264:17	outlined [4] - 222:24,	parents [1] - 192:15	175:7, 183:21,	261:10, 261:11,
Oregon [1] - 233:16	227:8, 227:17, 310:24	Park [2] - 284:4,	187:12, 233:7, 271:12, 271:16,	268:14, 286:8
organic [2] - 277:22,	outperforms [1] -	285:19	271:12, 271:16, 271:20, 300:12,	percentages [1] -
279:18	194:11	park [1] - 198:23	303:23, 305:18,	261:24
organization [2] - 205:13, 255:2	output [1] - 303:16	parks [2] - 197:2,	307:24, 310:5, 312:1,	perfectly [1] - 186:2
organizations [2] -	outside [7] - 184:16,	209:23	312:15, 312:17,	performance [1] -
243:22, 262:13	185:2, 195:23,	part [23] - 199:5,	312:23	311:9
originally [2] - 226:7,	196:24, 214:4, 262:7,	202:14, 204:1,	Peak [1] - 211:14	Performance [1] -
239:22	282:9	204:13, 204:20,	pending [1] - 274:24	237:3
ORTEGA [4] -	outweighs [1] -	206:19, 211:9,	pension [2] - 268:17,	performed [1] -
178:16, 249:10,	307:17	211:20, 215:24,	268:20	225:12
249:16, 249:18	overall [4] - 194:17,	225:13, 230:1,	people [61] - 185:18,	perhaps [1] - 264:9
Ortega [2] - 249:16,	210:11, 279:3, 306:11	230:23, 236:12,	191:4, 191:5, 191:9,	period [3] - 230:4,
251:6	overgrazing [1] -	238:18, 256:11,	191:13, 191:17,	273:1, 283:1
Orth [2] - 181:2,	210:3	277:16, 281:17,	191:20, 192:9,	permit [1] - 199:15
312:24	overlaid [2] - 284:9,	282:6, 283:19, 284:1,	192:18, 192:21,	person [3] - 209:17,
ORTH [87] - 175:3,	284:17	289:1, 304:25	195:2, 198:13,	265:25, 309:10
181:1, 182:5, 182:9,	overwhelmingly [1] -	participate [1] -	198:18, 200:4,	personally [4] -
182:13, 182:19,	310:9	299:9	202:10, 202:25,	203:19, 301:1,
183:11, 183:19,	own [12] - 198:11,	participation [1] -	203:2, 203:10,	301:13, 313:10
187:11, 187:13,	217:20, 232:11,	201:23	203:23, 204:8,	persons [1] - 200:8
187:16, 189:21,	259:14, 262:14,	particular [14] - 218:4, 221:4, 221:12,	204:10, 208:3,	perspective [1] -
190:6, 190:8, 192:22,	265:11, 295:15,	223:25, 225:25,	213:24, 233:15,	265:17
192:25, 193:15,	297:7, 297:14,	226:3, 235:4, 249:2,	236:18, 242:11,	pertains [1] - 241:24
193:17, 197:10,	303:16, 305:1	265:19, 277:4, 279:8,	246:9, 246:24, 253:7,	Phoenix [1] - 242:7
201:16, 204:22,	owned [1] - 241:2	279:9, 291:7, 310:3	253:20, 254:20,	phrase [1] - 234:25
207:1, 207:5, 210:14,	owner [1] - 212:17	particularly [6] -	254:25, 255:12, 256:15, 256:10	picture [7] - 192:6,
212:3, 214:13, 216:5,	owners [1] - 256:11 oxide [6] - 206:9,	181:16, 183:15,	256:15, 256:19, 257:15, 257:18,	285:8, 285:10,
218:24, 219:3, 219:5,	207:23, 208:19,	221:10, 227:13,	259:21, 260:2, 261:4,	285:12, 285:13,
226:15, 226:18, 226:22, 226:24,	208:24, 213:3, 241:17	262:25, 302:22	264:12, 267:17,	285:25, 286:1
220.22, 220.24,	200.24, 210.0, 241.17	1	207.12, 207.17,	pictures [1] - 285:18

	1	1	1	
pie [1] - 211:22	215:16, 215:19,	289:10, 303:14,	potentially [2] -	presented [3] -
pieces [1] - 280:17	224:12, 228:20,	303:20, 311:16	209:3, 267:4	191:23, 216:20,
pipe [1] - 308:24	233:12, 237:11,	PNM's [5] - 206:13,	pound [1] - 282:13	310:20
PJT-2 [1] - 296:17	237:12, 238:24,	275:15, 285:2, 303:4,	poverty [2] - 208:4,	presenting [1] -
place [7] - 185:13,	247:25, 250:4,	312:3	260:4	308:6
188:11, 191:24,	254:16, 268:10,	point [11] - 232:18,	power [40] - 185:5,	preserve [1] - 214:8
250:20, 259:24,	273:23, 281:13,	244:18, 246:15,	185:21, 186:15,	president [3] -
304:24, 306:7	282:5, 287:15,	253:23, 272:2, 272:8,	194:2, 196:12,	212:21, 251:22,
places [2] - 181:24,	299:21, 299:24,	292:21, 293:8,	196:20, 200:12,	251:25
276:14	303:20, 311:16	293:20, 311:4, 311:13	208:9, 209:2, 209:14,	pressure [1] - 215:3
plan [49] - 186:8,	plant's [3] - 195:6,	pointed [5] - 213:21,	210:4, 213:23,	presumably [3] -
186:9, 191:22,	207:23, 213:2	214:6, 234:7, 310:9,	224:12, 228:20,	231:10, 288:2, 293:1
193:25, 194:13,	plants [35] - 185:5,	310:23	232:23, 233:12,	presume [1] - 297:15
195:4, 195:13,	185:22, 186:16,	pointless [1] -	248:4, 248:5, 248:11,	presumptive [10] -
195:16, 195:18,	196:12, 196:20,	229:20	250:4, 255:6, 260:18,	232:9, 232:11,
196:25, 197:5,	200:13, 215:8, 215:9,	points [1] - 266:25	260:20, 261:14,	232:13, 232:16,
207:21, 211:17,	215:22, 215:25,	policy [1] - 220:2	261:17, 261:18,	232:19, 233:3,
211:20, 211:22,	232:23, 248:4, 248:5,	politely [1] - 228:6	261:25, 262:18,	282:21, 293:7, 295:24
213:9, 213:10,	248:11, 255:4, 255:6,	pollutant [1] - 224:20	262:23, 265:13,	pretty [1] - 227:18
213:11, 213:14,	257:17, 260:18,	pollutants [8] -	265:15, 266:10,	prevailing [1] - 208:8
213:18, 215:22,	260:20, 261:14,	208:8, 209:1, 209:4,	268:10, 268:25,	prevented [1] - 201:8
219:14, 221:22,	261:17, 261:18,	211:13, 211:23,	287:15, 296:18,	previous [1] - 302:8
225:14, 230:17,	261:25, 262:18,	277:23, 279:9, 308:24	299:20, 299:24	previously [3] -
232:16, 236:9,	262:24, 263:1,	pollute [1] - 200:13	Power [8] - 176:14,	276:3, 282:8, 303:14
236:20, 245:25,	265:13, 265:15,	pollution [16] -	182:12, 199:7,	primarily [3] -
246:2, 250:24, 252:4,	266:10, 269:1,	199:2, 206:7, 209:14,	199:11, 247:21,	218:12, 228:12,
274:13, 291:1,	296:18, 296:20,	210:4, 211:9, 248:6,	260:19, 261:15,	269:15
304:20, 306:14,	296:23, 296:25	248:11, 254:12,	268:21	primary [3] - 225:1,
307:4, 307:18,	Plata [5] - 207:13,	254:13, 255:3, 255:4,	practicability [1] -	252:3, 309:6
307:19, 307:23,	207:17, 208:6,	255:5, 265:14,	302:6	principal [1] - 221:5
308:9, 308:10,	208:22, 210:6	265:24, 276:17,	practice [1] - 218:3	priority [1] - 252:6
308:16, 308:19,	play [2] - 206:20, 311:5	291:11	preamble [1] -	pristine [2] - 198:16,
308:20, 310:11,	played [1] - 312:5	poor [3] - 185:9,	297:10	305:4
311:14, 311:17	pleadings [1] -	309:10, 309:13	precipitation [1] -	private [3] - 200:8,
Plan [22] - 181:5, 195:12, 205:24,	293:20	poorer [2] - 307:12,	280:15	242:20, 245:23
207:21, 208:13,	pleasure [1] - 183:3	307:15	preconsent [1] - 282:10	pro [1] - 193:21
208:18, 214:8,	plug [1] - 292:17	poorest [3] - 260:3,		problem [9] - 188:13,
250:20, 251:2, 256:6,	plumbing [1] - 260:8	306:11	precontrolled [1] - 284:8	192:1, 198:14, 199:1,
257:25, 259:13,	plume [3] - 287:6,	portion [1] - 218:17	precursor [1] -	211:20, 211:24,
264:15, 272:20,	287:11, 287:14	portions [1] - 188:5	208:20	212:1, 230:1, 236:2
274:11, 274:15,	plumes [1] - 287:16	pose [1] - 257:21	predetermined [1] -	problems [4] -
290:6, 290:25, 296:1,	plus [2] - 243:1,	posed [1] - 226:2 position [2] - 241:22,	224:10	189:19, 199:3,
298:6, 303:5, 310:20	243:8	292:19	predicting [1] -	200:17, 266:6
PLAN [1] - 174:4	PNM [38] - 188:19,	positions [2] -	309:7	procedures [1] -
planned [1] - 260:21	189:8, 191:23,	239:23, 252:20	predictor [1] - 309:6	222:24
planning [9] - 186:3,	192:11, 194:5,	positive [3] - 243:14,	preference [2] -	proceeding [4] - 236:4, 272:21, 313:7,
186:6, 215:4, 225:15,	195:17, 205:23,	262:10, 299:1	221:17, 228:10	313:14
225:19, 262:20,	206:5, 206:15, 209:6,	possibility [1] -	prefers [1] - 229:12	Proceedings [2] -
265:6, 307:19, 307:21	211:23, 213:1,	198:22	prefiled [2] - 217:9,	289:25, 312:25
plans [1] - 236:11	229:19, 230:9,	possible [3] - 203:2,	239:12	PROCEEDINGS [1] -
Plant [7] - 199:7,	230:14, 253:8,	206:17, 222:17	premise [1] - 220:6	174:14
199:11, 247:22,	253:14, 254:15,	possibly [1] - 270:16	prepare [2] - 217:9,	proceedings [1] -
260:19, 261:10,	256:11, 256:12,	Post [3] - 175:13,	239:12	181:17
261:15, 268:21	256:17, 256:24,	175:18, 176:16	prepared [1] -	process [11] -
plant [32] - 189:12,	257:3, 257:10,	postcombustion [1]	288:16	188:11, 189:10,
194:10, 196:21,	262:11, 269:13,	- 297:21	prerogative [1] -	189:15, 189:19,
202:22, 208:9, 209:2,	269:25, 271:10,	potential [6] - 224:6,	223:11	228:24, 271:23,
209:14, 210:4, 213:6,	271:12, 271:23,	263:2, 265:11, 266:9,	present [2] - 270:12,	272:5, 294:23,
213:23, 213:25,	271:24, 273:1, 273:6,	266:20, 295:8	303:3	299:10, 312:7
				·

proclaimed [1] -	181:4, 195:12,	291:19, 291:21,	302:1, 311:7	216:22, 247:10
201:5	198:12, 199:11,	293:2, 298:23	quality [11] - 185:9,	raised [3] - 204:8,
produce [7] - 186:18,	208:12, 208:15,	PSD [1] - 237:4	194:18, 198:16,	272:1, 301:14
	208:17, 209:5,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
203:20, 213:11,	211:17, 219:24,	PUBLIC [2] - 180:6, 313:19	198:24, 199:11,	ralvidrez@mstlaw.
215:12, 215:15,	228:20, 228:23,		210:5, 216:2, 237:10,	com [1] - 176:7
224:10, 265:14	233:17, 250:2,	Public [13] - 176:2,	253:9, 253:11, 311:8	Ram [1] - 198:3
produced [3] -		176:2, 176:14, 180:7,	quantifiable [1] -	range [2] - 256:15,
185:21, 209:21, 284:2	259:12, 262:2, 262:3,	182:3, 182:12,	266:13	257:14
producer [1] -	262:15, 263:7,	199:17, 212:19,	quantified [2] -	ranks [1] - 250:12
203:20	263:24, 264:2,	225:20, 288:4, 288:5,	266:8, 267:4	rate [4] - 192:12,
productive [3] -	264:14, 264:16,	288:14, 294:13	quantifies [1] - 220:3	209:7, 209:20, 242:22
249:24, 303:18, 309:2	272:7, 272:11, 274:12, 278:7,	public [43] - 181:9,	quantify [6] - 238:15,	ratepayer [3] - 209:8,
professional [1] -	274:12, 276:7, 278:17, 279:8, 281:7,	181:10, 181:21,	265:21, 265:23,	292:22, 293:7
199:16	290:5, 293:19,	183:5, 183:15,	265:25, 266:14, 267:2	ratepayers [4] -
professor [1] - 265:8	293:21, 296:19,	197:12, 198:1,	quantifying [1] -	214:11, 295:8,
profound [2] - 250:3,	296:24, 297:4, 298:9,	198:13, 199:6,	276:22	295:20, 306:24
272:16	298:21	199:20, 201:19,	quantitative [2] -	rates [6] - 204:7,
program [15] -		201:22, 206:10,	222:22, 292:7	204:8, 215:7, 215:10,
205:21, 205:23,	PROPOSED [1] - 174:4	214:14, 219:22,	quarter [1] - 292:13	215:18, 216:1
220:23, 221:12,		223:16, 229:24,	queries [1] - 199:23	rather [5] - 188:16,
225:18, 228:1, 229:2,	proposing [1] -	236:1, 237:8, 241:10,	questioning [1] -	220:16, 231:22,
230:8, 235:24,	262:18	244:19, 247:7, 249:8,	181:15	238:21, 274:10
236:16, 243:20,	protect [2] - 301:9,	252:2, 258:4, 269:10,	questions [34] -	ratio [1] - 307:4
267:15, 267:16,	311:12	269:14, 269:16,	187:9, 187:13,	rational [1] - 267:12
284:3, 293:15	protection [3] -	270:6, 271:5, 288:22,	218:25, 226:17,	RAVI [1] - 221:1
programs [5] -	218:19, 220:23, 236:1	290:18, 301:6, 302:4,	226:19, 226:21,	reach [2] - 292:8,
200:10, 235:25,	Protection [5] -	302:13, 304:1,	226:23, 226:25,	293:23
237:2, 252:15, 252:18	199:13, 200:1,	308:15, 308:25,	233:6, 233:20,	reaches [1] - 298:3
progress [6] -	241:20, 259:7, 259:10	310:8, 310:14, 311:3,	233:21, 244:1, 244:4,	read [4] - 221:23,
218:20, 222:2,	protects [1] - 195:15	311:12	244:6, 244:8, 244:10,	231:22, 274:14,
230:11, 235:9,	protocol [1] - 278:7	publicity [1] - 200:11	270:11, 270:13,	297:16
235:11, 264:17	proud [1] - 196:3	published [2] -	271:4, 271:10,	readily [2] - 274:15,
prohibit [1] - 200:9	proudly [1] - 255:12	279:2, 297:9	273:14, 275:1, 276:9,	274:23
projects [1] - 257:5	prove [1] - 218:11	pulled [1] - 190:2	277:7, 280:21,	reading [2] - 207:15,
prolong [1] - 269:25	proved [1] - 304:11	purchases [2] -	284:21, 286:13,	221:22
prolonged [1] -	provide [20] - 194:8,	196:21, 214:2	286:17, 287:18,	reads [1] - 231:3
210:3	208:2, 210:1, 217:12,	purports [1] - 228:3	295:17, 299:7, 308:7,	ready [3] - 193:15,
promote [1] - 210:10	222:17, 251:2,	purpose [2] - 219:12,	308:10, 308:13	193:16, 218:25
promulgated [2] -	252:15, 252:18,	245:20	quills [1] - 186:17	real [3] - 191:17,
220:24, 221:2	255:10, 260:22,	purposes [1] -	QUINTANA [5] -	203:12, 206:23
promulgation [1] -	260:25, 261:8,	282:18	178:22, 258:12,	reality [2] - 203:5,
263:9	270:12, 270:15,	pursuing [1] - 264:6	258:16, 259:1, 259:5	203:24
proper [1] - 289:3	286:11, 292:1, 292:7,	push [2] - 228:21,	Quintana [2] -	realize [1] - 203:16
properly [1] - 235:22	296:15	257:20	258:16, 259:5	really [31] - 184:4,
property [2] - 214:1,	provided [11] - 209:6, 222:3, 250:8,	put [8] - 202:17,	quintana [1] - 264:20	191:21, 211:21,
302:4	252:17, 268:21,	202:18, 225:3, 248:5,	quite [4] - 184:17,	215:17, 216:14,
proposal [24] -	270:18, 278:17,	285:21, 301:23,	262:11, 290:14,	220:6, 224:15,
195:5, 195:8, 195:9,	294:18, 294:22,	306:17, 308:23	304:19	229:13, 229:25,
208:15, 212:15,	294:18, 294:22, 308:12	putting [1] - 227:21	quote [1] - 283:15	231:1, 231:21,
227:15, 227:16,		^		232:24, 236:12,
229:20, 234:11,	provides [3] - 194:2, 221:13, 267:14	Q	R	238:2, 242:2, 246:15,
241:15, 241:20,	providing [4] -			248:3, 248:15,
269:6, 272:7, 272:15,	249:23, 253:15,	Q-U-I-N-T-A-N-A [1] -	R-O-G-E-R-S [1] -	266:19, 272:12,
272:17, 273:11,	300:13	258:17	247:18	275:3, 292:10,
274:3, 275:3, 297:24,		quadruple [1] -	rain [1] - 276:13	292:12, 300:14,
298:2, 298:22, 303:4,	provision [1] - 293:12	215:18	rainstorm [1] - 287:9	303:8, 303:11,
308:21, 311:1	293. 12 provisions [8] -	Quality [7] - 201:12,	rainy [1] - 277:13	304:14, 306:12,
propose [2] - 231:9,	208:13, 221:9,	293:4, 295:16,	raise [6] - 190:13,	307:16, 309:22, 310:1
262:20	223:19, 291:14,	301:17, 301:21,	193:2, 204:7, 204:10,	rear [1] - 250:14
proposed [38] -	220.10, 201.14,		. , , ,	reason [13] - 188:18,

195:10, 226:12, 234:1 272:21, 274:5, 187:24, 188:3, 194:18, 198:3, 233:2, 234:16, 236:8, 200:14, 200:17, redirect [2] - 178:7, 274:10, 274:16, 190:13, 190:19, 274:20, 290:6, 298:5, 200:18, 200:20, 244:12, 267:16, 233:22 193:2, 193:8, 193:12, 274:8, 287:20, 298:20, 302:23 193:14, 197:19, 201:10, 209:20, reduce [5] - 195:6, 289:13, 295:22, 207:23, 208:14, regionally [1] - 264:2 197:22, 202:5, 205:5, 226:1, 250:23, 251:2 301:11 296:10, 311:16 regions [1] - 205:17 205:9, 207:10, resolution [1] reasonable [12] regulate [1] - 241:17 210:22, 212:9, 246:1 reduced [4] - 188:22, 194:14. 196:13. 195:7, 224:20, 236:7 212:12. 214:22. resource [1] - 260:15 regulated [2] -197:3, 218:20, 222:2, 214:25, 216:22, reducing [1] - 302:7 208:20, 250:4 resources [4] -223:9, 223:11. 217:1, 245:5, 245:9, 210:1, 239:24, reduction [6] regulation [3] -228:14, 230:11, 247:10, 247:16, 253:25, 303:1 206:1, 206:3, 206:8, 213:5, 255:6, 291:3 235:9, 235:10, 264:17 247:19, 249:14, respect [10] - 218:14, 236:16, 282:17, regulations [14] -249:17, 251:13, reasonableness [2] -282:19 220:25, 221:3, 269:16, 272:4, 251:17, 255:23, 302:7, 302:25 reductions [3] -221:24, 222:11, 272:10, 272:16, 258:14, 258:18, reasonably [2] -194:11, 220:18, 227:10, 228:1, 228:3, 272:22, 273:4, 258:22, 268:5 221:1, 291:20 235:11 228:4, 229:6, 232:25, 273:11, 275:6, 286:24 reporter [1] - 275:18 reasons [3] - 194:13, respectfully [4] reference [1] - 234:3 250:2, 298:20, 302:1, 293:21, 308:9 Reporters [1] -245:11, 246:15, referred [3] - 209:10, 309:23 181:19 rebuttal [4] - 180:7, 234:23, 287:4 regulatory [6] -247:2, 293:18 288:6, 289:2, 289:3 represent [2] reflect [1] - 223:25 208:25, 227:7, 228:1, respiratory [3] -188:5, 218:12 recalling [1] - 275:22 229:2, 236:5, 308:3 200:14, 200:16, reflection [1] recap [1] - 301:20 reject [1] - 207:19 Representative [1] -200.20 291:21 189:21 responding [1] receive [1] - 209:20 relate [1] - 185:8 reflects [1] - 225:7 related [3] - 193:24, representative [6] -312:5 received [3] refuses [1] - 224:13 187:4, 188:4, 284:10, 181:22, 228:21, 272:9 218:18, 313:13 responds [1] - 199:4 regard [5] - 191:4, 284:12. 284:15. recent [4] - 205:24, response [5] - 198:2, 192:5, 235:4, 259:18, Relating [1] - 288:5 299:22 209:10, 230:12, relations [2] -200:3, 200:4, 231:24, 302:16 representatives [1] -241:19 regarding [1] - 262:2 239:25, 256:2 311:2 270:8 recently [5] - 206:5, regards [1] - 193:23 relative [3] - 225:25, Response [1] - 288:4 represented [3] -213:6, 215:2, 262:1, 277:18, 313:15 responses [3] -Region [5] - 213:14, 218:15, 218:18, 262:21 231:25, 308:11, 262:2, 262:19, 264:6, relevant [1] - 238:20 242:18 recess [1] - 289:25 312:16 reliance [1] - 206:24 272:16 representing [2] recognition [2] responsibility [1] region [14] - 196:20, relied [1] - 206:12 182:12, 245:15 198:23, 200:23 208:11, 208:25, 249:23 rely [2] - 209:25, request [4] - 206:21, recognize [2] -209:19. 209:25. responsible [2] -289:11 231:6, 245:25, 293:18 194:4, 303:6 250:17, 253:21 210:12, 213:22, remainder [1] require [4] - 210:8, rest [4] - 200:17, recognizes [1] -214:9, 250:1, 261:23, 245:17 224:19, 291:2, 293:6 225:15, 253:11, 260:7 223:2 264:12, 265:11, remaining [2] required [4] recommend [1] -266:24, 275:8 restrictions [3] -237:11, 291:9 223:16, 237:21, 257:4, 257:8, 257:16 215:21 REGIONAL [1] remains [1] - 297:5 296:6, 307:20 recommendations resubmit [1] - 230:24 174:5 remarks [2] - 290:16, requirement [2] -[1] - 199:13 Regional [3] result [3] - 224:10, 309:18 189:4, 206:7 reconvening [1] -207:20, 263:10, remember [4] -241:11, 263:4 requirements [7] -264:18 resulted [4] - 209:14, 184:23, 228:7, 309:5, 194:6. 206:1. 236:5. record [16] - 181:12, regional [45] - 181:5, 223:23, 243:21, 309:21 274:21, 293:14, 181:21, 182:2, 263:16 193:24, 199:2, REMEMBERED [1] -296:5, 298:23 186:15, 211:8, 199:20, 201:7, resulting [1] - 209:15 174.16 requires [5] - 200:7, 269:12, 269:23, 205:20, 205:23, results [1] - 304:12 remind [1] - 293:10 215:24, 222:2, 228:5, 271:8, 277:10, 285:1, 206:10, 213:8, retains [1] - 222:8 remiss [1] - 194:4 263:8 288:2, 289:14, 218:14, 225:13, retention [1] renewable [1] -289:17, 290:7, requiring [1] -229:3, 229:6, 229:14, 194:5 245:19 207:22 293:16, 313:11 230:3, 230:7, 230:17, retired [3] - 191:8, repeat [1] - 188:9 research [2] recorded [2] -231:5, 231:10, 215:17. 252:1 repeated [1] - 243:19 181:17, 313:10 233:13, 235:5, 235:8, 199:16, 277:2 retiree [1] - 190:23 replace [1] - 215:6 reserves [1] - 260:15 recover [1] - 196:15 235:24, 236:5, retirees [1] - 266:20 replacing [1] - 215:5 resident [3] - 184:20, 236:11, 236:24, recruitment [1] retiring [1] - 265:8 replied [1] - 195:1 188:7, 250:14 237:21, 259:13, 245:19 retrofit [8] - 226:3, report [4] - 199:9, 259:17, 261:8, residential [2] -Red [2] - 240:19, 234:8, 238:10, 199:19, 250:11, 299:3 261:19, 262:9, 195:17, 209:8 240:21 238:11, 238:15, REPORTER [37] -263:21, 264:15, residents [11] -REDIRECT [1] -281:9, 282:4, 309:20 183:20, 184:12,

Retrofit [4] - 205:25, 176:17, 181:9, 276:11 254:10, 254:13, rose [6] - 182:10, S 266:22, 267:22, 206:2, 220:15, 241:17 226:24, 244:7, satisfied [1] - 296:5 268:20, 273:5, retrofits [1] - 230:12 288:12, 289:4, 289:21 satisfy [2] - 233:4, S-H-A-R-E-R [1] -Rose [2] - 182:11, 293:14 273:23, 273:24, return [4] - 198:15, 184:15 199:3, 241:2, 289:19 300:5 279:19, 282:19, saving [1] - 246:13 sacred [1] - 252:24 rounds [1] - 277:23 282:25, 287:14, revealing [1] saw [6] - 185:11, sacrifice [1] - 265:18 287:16, 296:22, 221:13 royalties [5] - 214:2, 185:12, 254:4, saleable [1] - 260:14 299:10. 305:4. 305:5. revenues [1] -242:25, 243:7, 276:14, 286:25, 287:6 Salt [3] - 240:19, 305:24, 307:1 263:17 243:10, 253:17 scenario [3] -240:21 seeing [2] - 276:18, review [6] - 181:21, royalty [1] - 263:17 257:16, 284:19 San [113] - 174:18, 304:18 217:15, 234:7, Roybal [13] - 178:5, scenarios [2] -176:8, 180:8, 182:17, seem [5] - 246:18, 239:15, 270:5, 279:5 178:7, 178:11, 284:8, 284:18 182:25, 185:12, 246:19, 257:8, 304:6, 182:14, 182:17, reviewed [1] - 279:4 scenic [1] - 259:25 185:18, 185:24, 304:13 reviewing [1] -216:7, 216:11, scheduling [2] -188:20, 190:23, 233:22, 240:17, seemingly [1] -270:10 263:5, 263:7 193:22, 196:16, 276:12 289:4, 289:21, revise [1] - 230:24 Schlenker [1] -196:20, 196:21, selected [3] revised [1] - 208:18 298:12, 300:4 182:20 197:12, 199:8, 220:19, 233:3, 238:24 **ROYBAL** [20] -Schlenkerrevisions [10] -199:12, 201:19, selective [1] - 206:1 176:9, 182:15, 216:9, Goodrich [1] - 182:20 181:4, 207:19, 205:14, 206:6, 206:8, 217:8, 218:21, 219:1, selects [1] - 229:11 208:12, 209:6, scholarships [2] -206:13, 206:17, 219:4, 219:10, sell [1] - 260:22 217:18, 234:24, 253:16, 262:12 206:18, 206:22, 226:16, 233:23, sells [1] - 241:9 259:12, 264:14, School [3] - 184:24, 207:22, 207:25, 234:2, 234:14, 239:4, senator [2] - 184:15, 290:5, 293:12 185:2, 252:18 208:6, 208:7, 208:23, 239:10, 240:6, 194:23 REVISIONS [1] school [4] - 252:2, 209:11, 209:22, 240:14, 243:25. Senator [2] - 184:19, 174:4 252:5, 252:11, 257:13 210:9, 212:15, 213:1, 244:15, 289:6, 298:13 187:16 revisit [2] - 235:3, schools [1] - 195:20 213:14, 213:19, **Rudy** [1] - 268:7 senators [2] -237:21 science [3] - 218:7, 213:20, 214:2, RUDY [2] - 179:4, rhetoric [1] - 301:2 220:1. 242:6 248:21, 249:2 214:16, 216:7, 268:1 RICHARD [1] - 176:3 scientist [1] - 276:25 send [2] - 195:25, 216:11, 216:13, Richmond [1] **rule** [1] - 232:8 256:19 SCR [24] - 207:22, 216:15, 216:19, Rule [3] - 207:20, sense [2] - 273:7, 218.7 207:25, 208:15, 217:14, 219:12, 263:11, 264:18 Rick [1] - 182:2 311:19 209:9. 223:16. 219:14, 226:4, rulemaking [3] sensitive [2] - 214:9, rights [4] - 201:2, 224:12, 228:11, 226:12, 227:17, 263:15, 263:24, 309:17 201:5, 204:10, 248:15 228:20, 229:11, 235:3, 235:12, 241:1, 293:12 sent [1] - 187:5 **Rights** [1] - 200:6 233:19, 237:18, 241:4, 241:9, 241:12, rules [4] - 257:4, separate [1] - 301:23 Rio [1] - 198:3 263:8, 284:9, 292:20, 241:15, 241:21, 310:1, 310:24, 311:5 rippling [1] - 263:2 295:11, 296:15, **series** [2] - 225:18, 241:23, 242:1, run [1] - 280:13 228:2 risk [2] - 200:2, 296:23, 296:25, 242:11, 242:15, Runnels [1] - 175:4 297:8, 297:11, serious [1] - 198:21 229:16 242:20, 243:4, 243:6, Running [2] -297:12, 297:14, serve [1] - 212:21 riverwalk [1] - 184:3 243:15, 243:17, 240:20, 240:21 297:20, 304:14 served [2] - 212:18, riverwalks [1] -244:16, 245:13, Ryan [5] - 227:5, screen [1] - 285:18 255:12 250.7 245:15, 245:17, 275:14, 276:7, 287:3, search [1] - 305:23 service [1] - 241:10 road [1] - 234:24 245:23, 247:25, 292:9 second [8] - 192:24, **SERVICE** [1] - 180:6 rock [1] - 184:24 249:24, 250:9, RYAN [32] - 175:10, 223:12, 223:13, Service [10] - 176:2, Rock [7] - 197:25, 252:15, 261:6, 261:7, 187:15, 227:6, 240:21, 248:13, 176:2, 182:3, 199:24, 198:9, 198:14, 261:10, 261:11, 228:22, 229:1, 299:16, 312:14 225:20 245:14 199:11, 199:25, 261:16, 262:3, 262:6, 229:19, 230:19, second-guess [2] -284:4, 285:19, 288:4, 200:25, 201:9 262:10, 262:15, 231:15, 236:22, 223:12. 223:13 294.13 ROGERS [5] -263:7, 263:10, 237:16, 275:15, secret [1] - 224:11 services [6] - 196:24, 178:14, 247:9, 263:19, 263:22, 276:8, 278:2, 278:10, 247:12, 247:18, Section [1] - 293:13 214:4, 243:3, 260:10, 264:3, 267:14, 278:15, 278:19, 247:20 sector [1] - 245:23 260:12, 260:13 268:20, 269:2, 273:2, 279:6, 279:22, serving [1] - 246:6 Rogers [3] - 247:9, sectors [1] - 218:13 273:19, 276:11, 280:19, 282:2, secure [1] - 222:1 set [7] - 194:11, 247:18, 249:6 278:1, 283:6, 283:12, 282:15, 282:22, see [31] - 181:23, 202:12, 232:12, rooftop [1] - 203:19 285:6, 288:15, 289:6, 283:8, 283:11, 281:4, 296:1, 301:17, 184:23, 185:1, Room [1] - 174:18 294:16, 296:3, 296:6, 283:14, 283:17, 301:18 191:22, 192:15, room [1] - 192:18 297:3, 297:19, 298:16 283:20, 283:22, 198:18, 215:17, sets [1] - 281:6 ROSE [6] - 176:15, **SAN** [1] - 180:3 284:23, 286:12, settlement [1] -218:10, 224:22, 182:11, 226:25, Santa [6] - 175:5, 300:18, 312:14 247:25, 248:1, 231:12 244:8, 289:7, 300:6 175:13, 175:20,

seven [3] - 195:9,	208:14, 209:19,	252:11	225:21, 234:9,	staff [1] - 228:3
195:18, 199:16	213:2, 216:2	sledgehammer [1] -	261:22, 261:23,	stan [1] - 220.5
sever [1] - 229:15	silver [1] - 306:4	211:17	264:11, 302:5, 302:8	213:13
several [6] - 190:24,	similar [2] - 263:15,	slice [1] - 306:4	south [1] - 215:9	stakeholder [1] -
219:20, 242:2,	284:14	slightly [1] - 305:7	Southeast [1] -	241:12
249:22, 300:18, 308:9	similarities [1] -	small [4] - 212:17,	218:16	
	284:16		Southwest [1] -	stakeholders [1] - 264:8
severe [1] - 307:6	simply [3] - 197:6,	230:1, 251:24, 296:9 SNCR [23] - 208:17,	208:9	
shaky [1] - 219:24			Southwestern [1] -	stamps [1] - 191:12
shames [1] - 201:8	215:22, 220:5	230:15, 233:9, 237:19, 264:16,	176:2	stand [4] - 191:11,
share [1] - 212:23	simultaneous [1] - 312:16	279:13, 282:20,	sovereign [2] -	196:11, 218:25,
Shareholders [1] -		283:2, 283:9, 284:9,	208:11, 261:5	238:23
212:22	simultaneously [1] - 222:19	286:3, 292:20, 293:7,	span [1] - 306:22	standard [3] - 189:11, 208:21, 296:
SHARER [4] - 177:4,	single [2] - 223:4,	295:12, 295:18,	SPEAKER [2] -	
183:18, 184:8, 184:14	304:17	295:23, 296:3,	189:24, 190:7	standardized [2] -
Sharer [4] - 184:14,	SIP [42] - 187:2,	296:25, 297:20,	·	281:4, 281:11
184:19, 187:16, 192:7	219:24, 222:9, 228:8,	304:4, 304:13,	speakers [1] - 202:12	standards [4] -
shed [1] - 208:24	229:10, 229:14,	304:14, 305:16		194:12, 293:7, 311:8, 311:9
sheep [1] - 253:3	, ,	so-called [1] -	speaking [2] -	
sheets [2] - 201:21,	229:20, 230:3, 230:21, 230:24,	224:14	201:10, 286:7	Standards [3] -
201:22		SO2 [4] - 188:22,	specific [18] -	237:3, 293:4, 311:7
shining [1] - 257:23	231:14, 231:18, 231:20, 232:12,	195:7, 230:7, 274:15	219:20, 220:20, 220:21, 222:13,	standing [2] - 200:24, 202:13
Shiprock [10] -				,
184:24, 185:1,	233:12, 234:24, 237:21, 245:25,	social [1] - 302:4	222:18, 222:21,	standpoint [1] -
198:18, 198:22,	247:3, 259:14,	society [4] - 203:25, 204:18, 303:17	224:3, 225:25, 226:2,	196:5
199:23, 200:13,	271:22, 272:7, 274:5,	,	262:14, 277:12,	stands [1] - 234:8
200:14, 200:18,	274:24, 279:9, 282:7,	socioeconomic [3] -	282:12, 284:5, 284:6,	start [4] - 229:4,
201:11, 256:7	284:14, 293:13,	241:24, 309:9, 309:14	297:10, 299:19, 306:1	290:9, 300:17
short [4] - 186:21,	293:19, 298:8,	software [1] - 284:13	specifically [8] -	started [5] - 231:7,
215:1, 224:8, 274:11	301:21, 303:19,	solar [3] - 203:20,	227:16, 260:21,	242:4, 247:21,
shortchanges [1] -	304:16, 304:18,	215:13, 215:15	264:5, 277:15, 287:17, 299:6,	276:15, 304:15
272:13	304:21, 305:16,	sole [1] - 224:25 solid [1] - 294:19	301:18, 302:23	State [35] - 181:5,
shorthand [1] -	310:25, 311:6,	• •	specifics [1] - 277:9	185:25, 195:11,
313:10	311:14, 312:10,	solo [1] - 218:3	spell [19] - 184:12,	196:3, 199:22,
shortly [1] - 272:22	312:18, 312:20	solutions [1] - 204:15	187:24, 190:19,	205:24, 207:20, 208:13, 208:18,
shot [2] - 225:17,	SIPs [5] - 227:13,	solve [1] - 192:1	193:8, 193:12,	214:7, 214:22,
271:18	228:22, 233:9,		197:19, 202:5, 205:5,	214.7, 214.22, 222:18, 222:20,
show [2] - 184:1,	233:13, 235:9	someone [2] - 199:3,	207:10, 210:22,	231:4, 231:11,
285:14	site [5] - 195:25,	299:4	212:9, 214:22, 245:5,	241:22, 241:25,
shut [5] - 186:12,	222:21, 224:3, 284:4,	sometime [2] -	247:16, 249:14,	250:11, 251:1,
186:13, 224:13,	285:20	262:20, 274:17	251:13, 255:23,	251:13, 251:19,
254:17, 257:17	site-specific [2] -	somewhat [3] -	258:14, 268:5	253:18, 256:5,
side [3] - 194:22,	222:21, 224:3	196:13, 306:15, 307:8	spend [7] - 188:17,	257:25, 259:13,
256:18, 263:14	sites [2] - 211:10,	soon [1] - 206:20	191:10, 285:11,	264:14, 265:9,
sides [3] - 192:3,	257:2	sooner [1] - 250:5	296:8, 304:17,	272:20, 290:5,
311:22, 311:23	situated [1] - 261:13	sorry [3] - 237:16,	304:19, 305:10	290:25, 296:1, 298:3
sign [3] - 201:20,	situation [6] -	258:8, 277:5	spending [6] -	298:5, 303:4, 310:20
201:21, 201:22	199:24, 213:12,	sort [1] - 266:18	188:17, 189:6, 189:9,	state [77] - 183:21,
sign-in [2] - 201:21,	248:15, 281:24,	sorting [1] - 308:5	211:19, 303:15,	184:12, 184:15,
201:22	309:23, 310:3	sought [1] - 204:14	305:15	186:7, 186:9, 187:24
signed [1] - 246:1	six [1] - 200:15	sounding [1] -	spent [4] - 202:18,	188:4, 190:19,
significance [2] -	sixteen [1] - 197:2	229:17	224:23, 243:2, 285:9	191:11, 192:17,
273:10, 295:25	size [2] - 225:22,	source [10] - 215:15,	spot [1] - 244:23	193:8, 194:6, 195:5,
significant [12] -	227:14	219:20, 220:20,	Springs [1] - 198:3	196:2, 196:19,
188:15, 189:5,	sizes [1] - 277:21	224:3, 225:25, 232:9,	square [1] - 259:22	197:19, 198:23,
241:11, 242:16,	SJCC [2] - 219:9,	232:10, 234:7,	ss [1] - 313:2	202:5, 205:5, 206:14
250:25, 262:8,	240:13	248:11, 291:10	St [2] - 175:4, 175:19	207:10, 208:25,
262:25, 263:17,	SJEDS [1] - 246:7	Source [1] - 237:3	stack [2] - 287:15,	210:22, 212:9,
270:4, 274:2, 304:12,	SJGS [1] - 180:9	source-specific [3] -	304:18	215:21, 219:14,
		ニンオロ・コロ ココオ・コ ココモ・コニ	JU4.10	_ IU I, _ IU. IT,
305:11 significantly [4] -	skilled [2] - 252:7,	219:20, 224:3, 225:25 sources [8] - 208:24,	stacks [1] - 287:16	219:18, 219:19,

222:8, 223:9, 223:12,	206:8, 206:14,	176:4	176:5, 176:11	239:11, 245:2,
227:10, 228:19,	206:19, 206:23,	Street [1] - 185:2	sulfates [2] - 277:20,	247:13, 249:11,
229:18, 230:2,	207:22, 208:1, 208:7,	Strickler [1] - 187:4	279:17	251:10, 255:20,
230:23, 231:13,	208:23, 209:12,	strictly [1] - 222:22	sulfur [1] - 213:3	258:11, 258:13,
232:12, 233:2, 237:6,	209:22, 210:9,	strike [1] - 222:20	summaries [1] -	259:2, 265:2, 268:2,
241:5, 242:17,	212:16, 213:1,	strikes [1] - 195:14	308:6	276:3, 313:9
242:24, 243:1, 243:7,	213:15, 213:19,	stringent [2] - 210:8,	summarize [3] -	symptom [1] - 199:2
243:8, 245:5, 245:25,	219:15, 227:17,	263:5	219:2, 219:11, 240:15	system [1] - 305:25
247:16, 249:14,	235:4, 235:12,	strive [2] - 243:13,	summary [1] - 270:7	OJOIO III[1] 000.20
250:22, 251:3,	241:10, 241:13,	250:16	summer [4] - 208:22,	Т
254:18, 255:23,	241:16, 241:21,	strong [1] - 228:10	253:4, 253:16, 262:20	•
258:14, 268:5,	241:23, 247:25,	strongly [5] - 197:5,	sun's [1] - 257:23	
273:16, 274:24,	249:24, 250:9,	213:9, 214:7, 219:13,	superintendent [1] -	T-A-Y-L-O-R [1] -
285:25, 291:15,	260:20, 260:25,	226:12	239:25	188:2
292:2, 292:3, 293:5,	261:6, 261:16, 262:3,	struggle [1] - 192:16	supervision [1] -	tack [1] - 211:18
299:11, 300:25,	262:6, 262:11,	struggling [3] -	240:1	talkative [1] - 300:20
301:6, 301:8, 302:24,	262:16, 263:8,	213:7, 250:21, 251:3	supplies [5] -	Talkers' [1] - 255:13
303:8, 304:25, 306:1,	263:10, 263:13,	students [2] - 252:4,	196:17, 196:22,	taxes [7] - 214:1,
306:8, 306:11, 307:5,	263:19, 263:23,	252:7	213:20, 214:3, 243:3	242:24, 243:1, 243:7,
308:12, 310:24, 312:8	264:3, 273:3, 273:20,	studies [2] - 264:6,	supplying [2] -	243:9, 243:10, 253:17
STATE [3] - 174:1,	283:7, 288:15, 294:16	277:24	261:7, 261:18	taxpayers [1] -
174:4, 313:1	station [2] - 196:18,	study [5] - 194:21,	support [22] - 186:8,	196:21
state's [22] - 193:24,	273:5	194:24, 209:10,	193:25, 195:13,	TAYLOR [5] - 177:6,
194:13, 195:4, 195:8,	status [2] - 194:18,	266:1, 275:5	195:16, 207:21,	187:20, 188:1, 188:4,
195:18, 197:5, 213:9,	309:9	stuff [4] - 192:8,	228:12, 234:11,	192:23
213:18, 225:12,	statute [6] - 219:21,	257:13, 281:20,	241:14, 245:25,	Taylor [3] - 188:1,
226:13, 232:16,	221:3, 221:7, 228:5,	281:22	246:2, 247:3, 249:3,	189:21, 192:6
248:15, 275:6,	229:4, 232:22	subcontractors [1] -	254:14, 259:15,	technical [21] -
292:20, 293:9,	statutory [8] - 221:6,	261:9	299:20, 303:4,	181:8, 181:10,
306:14, 307:18,	221:9, 223:24, 225:8,	subject [1] - 181:15	305:16, 307:18,	182:25, 183:8, 192:8,
308:8, 308:10,	225:24, 226:6, 236:4,	subjects [1] - 302:5	307:23, 310:10,	238:3, 270:4, 271:5,
308:16, 308:19,	295:14	submit [3] - 231:8,	311:17, 311:21	275:16, 278:22,
311:14	stay [3] - 252:12,	269:15, 304:21	supported [1] -	288:6, 288:23,
state-specific [1] -	254:20, 310:21	submitted [6] -	220:7	288:25, 292:10,
306:1	staying [1] - 311:13	231:18, 273:1, 273:6,	supporting [2] -	293:13, 299:12, 302:6, 302:14,
statement [6] -	step [8] - 206:7,	274:19, 282:6, 297:25	246:3, 293:17	302:0, 302:14,
187:4, 193:17,	230:9, 230:13,	submitting [1] -	supportive [2] -	technologies [5] -
201:17, 207:15, 223:18, 293:21	230:15, 231:9,	231:5	259:12, 264:13	210:8, 237:12,
*	231:24, 249:3	subsequent [1] -	supposed [5] -	237:18, 238:3, 296:25
statements [3] - 220:5, 221:19, 221:23	step-by-step [1] -	263:2	223:8, 229:14,	Technology [4] -
	231:24	subsidiary [1] -	235:20, 264:3, 276:24	205:25, 206:2,
states [14] - 205:17, 221:17, 223:6,	STEPHEN [1] -	241:1	supposing [1] -	220:15, 241:17
227:13, 227:19,	175:11	substance [2] -	237:24	technology [35] -
227:13, 227:19,	stepping [1] - 248:23	298:19, 299:5	surface [1] - 241:7	207:23, 207:25,
228:10, 228:16,	steps [4] - 225:19, 281:24, 303:18,	substandard [1] -	surrounding [1] -	208:15, 208:17,
231:5, 233:10,	303:21	260:6	198:22	209:9, 213:10,
259:24, 297:10,	303:21 stewards [1] -	substantial [2] -	Survey [1] - 199:10	220:14, 220:19,
306:11	253:21	261:2, 293:16	sustainability [3] -	237:22, 278:4, 279:5,
States [13] - 199:10,	stick [2] - 236:12,	substantive [1] -	210:2, 241:12, 261:4	279:8, 279:13,
199:21, 200:6,	248:17	231:23	sustainable [1] -	279:14, 279:20,
218:17, 221:25,	still [5] - 187:1,	substantively [1] -	243:12	280:3, 282:4, 282:8,
222:3, 222:25, 223:2,	263:20, 272:9,	224:2	svigil@nmag.gov	283:2, 284:8, 291:11,
250:13, 254:23,	275:25, 297:5	succeed [1] - 251:3	[1] - 175:14	295:23, 296:15,
255:11, 260:7, 309:11	stimulus [1] - 307:10	suffered [1] - 266:16	switch [1] - 185:17	297:16, 305:17,
States' [1] - 222:5	stop [3] - 224:19,	sufficient [2] -	sworn [27] - 184:9,	305:20, 305:21,
stating [1] - 245:25	229:4	205:22, 269:20	187:21, 190:16,	305:23, 306:25,
Station [53] - 180:9,	strain [1] - 195:21	suggest [1] - 304:4	193:5, 197:16, 202:2, 205:2, 207:7, 210:19,	307:1, 308:4, 308:22,
188:21, 190:24,	strata [1] - 309:14	suggested [1] -	212:6, 214:19,	309:20
196:16, 199:8, 206:6,	STRATVERT [1] -	275:6	216:24, 217:5, 239:7,	technology-wise [1]
		Suite [3] - 175:19,	_ : 0:2 :, 2 : : : 0, 200.7 ;	- 308:4

telephone [1] -	247:15, 249:13,	threaten [1] - 250:22	totally [1] - 191:2	215:10, 215:12,
260:12	251:12, 255:22,	three [13] - 188:19,	tough [2] - 273:14,	216:19, 231:7, 243:3,
tem [1] - 193:22	259:4, 265:4, 268:4	196:9, 205:17,	275:1	248:5, 248:21,
ten [1] - 250:5	Testimony [21] -	215:10, 215:12,	tourism [1] - 209:25	260:18, 260:20,
tend [2] - 265:22,	177:5, 177:7, 177:9,	261:13, 261:17,	toward [4] - 220:25,	262:18, 262:24,
294:7	177:11, 177:13,	261:18, 262:23,	256:24, 257:20, 306:7	273:2, 280:9, 292:12,
Tennessee [1] -	177:15, 177:17,	263:9, 280:14,	Townsend [1] -	292:17, 302:4, 306:20
215:2	177:19, 177:21,	281:24, 302:6	181:18	two-year [1] - 231:7
term [5] - 202:16,	177:23, 177:25,	three-dimensional	trading [1] - 230:8	type [6] - 236:8,
203:14, 204:3, 210:1,	178:13, 178:15,	[1] - 280:14	tradition [1] - 200:22	253:22, 282:23,
278:23	178:17, 178:19,	threshold [1] -	train [1] - 267:17	282:24, 287:14, 291:3
termed [1] - 227:14	178:21, 178:23,	305:13	transcribed [1] -	types [2] - 296:5,
terminology [2] -	178:25, 179:5, 180:4,	throughout [3] -	181:18	311:9
220:17, 234:8	180:5	218:17, 245:23,	TRANSCRIPT [1] -	typically [4] - 224:18,
terms [10] - 224:17,	thankful [2] - 252:14,	254:22	174:14	281:4, 287:13, 304:16
287:5, 295:8, 295:25,	252:16	throw [3] - 191:15,	transcript [3] -	20, 200, 000
296:5, 296:14,	thanking [1] - 294:4	232:14, 279:24	• • •	U
310:14, 310:22,	THE [44] - 174:1,	thrust [1] - 211:6	181:20, 313:9, 313:11 transition [2] -	
311:13	174:4, 174:4, 183:20,	thumb [2] - 211:18,	203:18, 267:13	
terrible [1] - 229:3	184:12, 187:24,	228:9	*	Uhl [1] - 225:17
test [1] - 228:15	188:3, 190:13,	time-consuming [1]	transport [4] - 272:23, 274:7,	ultimate [1] - 292:8
testified [25] -	190:19, 193:2, 193:8,	- 294:9	274:22, 274:24	ultimately [2] -
184:10, 187:22,	193:12, 193:14,	TIMOTHY [1] - 175:9	transportation [1] -	214:10, 292:5
190:17, 193:6,	197:19, 197:22,	tipping [2] - 292:21,	218:13	um-hum [1] - 283:20
197:17, 202:3, 205:3,	202:5, 205:5, 205:9,	293:8	travel [2] - 183:24,	unanimous [1] -
207:8, 210:20, 212:7,	207:10, 210:22,	tips [1] - 295:11	254:22	312:17
214:20, 217:6,	212:9, 212:12,	Title [1] - 200:5	treatment [1] -	uncontrolled [1] -
225:17, 239:8, 245:3,	214:22, 214:25,	titled [2] - 288:4,	200:16	283:6
247:14, 249:12,	216:22, 217:1, 227:4,	288:14	tremendous [4] -	under [21] - 181:14,
251:11, 255:21,	235:16, 245:5, 245:9,	TO [1] - 174:4	204:3, 209:24, 295:7,	190:3, 194:22,
259:3, 265:3, 268:3,	247:10, 247:16,	today [29] - 181:10,	308:2	196:12, 200:5,
276:4, 291:6, 291:17	247:19, 249:14,	181:13, 181:17,	tremendously [1] -	200:14, 215:3, 222:6,
testimony [45] -	249:17, 251:13,	182:21, 184:19,	189:10	223:5, 229:22,
181:8, 181:10,	251:17, 255:23,	185:1, 185:13, 187:5,	Tribal [1] - 256:9	232:11, 275:25,
181:14, 182:25,	258:14, 258:18,	188:14, 189:2,	tribal [7] - 205:17,	291:14, 291:24,
183:9, 184:6, 185:7,	258:22, 268:5, 276:6	193:22, 202:13,	214:1, 242:24, 243:7,	291:25, 292:6,
217:10, 217:13,	Themig's [2] - 285:2,	211:3, 212:14, 256:4,	261:12, 261:19, 262:5	295:15, 301:21,
217:16, 217:17,	296:16	271:2, 276:9, 291:25,	tribe [1] - 254:1	302:1, 302:13
217:19, 218:22,	themselves [1] -	293:23, 300:14,	tribes [2] - 208:11,	underground [2] -
219:2, 219:11,	192:21	302:22, 303:3,	253:19	241:5, 249:21
219:12, 221:22,	theoretical [1] -	303:22, 304:9, 306:3,	tried [1] - 227:19	undue [1] - 250:21
234:3, 234:23,	309:24	308:17, 309:3,	triple [1] - 215:18	unemployed [1] -
239:12, 239:16,	they've [6] - 191:1,	310:10, 311:24	troubling [1] - 213:6	260:4
240:7, 240:15,	257:3, 281:9, 306:17,	today's [1] - 205:21	true [2] - 280:5,	unemployment [1] -
240:25, 241:24,	306:18, 311:18	together [5] - 232:7,	313:11	242:22
270:5, 270:7, 270:11,	thinking [3] - 204:3,	246:12, 246:21,	trust [1] - 262:5	unequivocally [1] -
275:24, 285:2,	276:19, 307:8	246:22, 246:23	trying [5] - 229:21,	248:10
286:16, 288:6,	third [3] - 223:10,	Tom [1] - 192:6	229:23, 246:12,	unfortunately [1] -
288:23, 288:25,	223:13, 248:20	ton [5] - 224:17,	255:10, 286:9	221:15
294:17, 296:16,	Thomas [1] - 188:1	224:20, 224:25,	Tucson [1] - 256:10	UNIDENTIFIED [2] -
298:18, 300:13,	THOMAS [3] - 177:6,	228:14, 243:1	turn [5] - 186:15,	189:24, 190:7
302:14, 302:15,	187:20, 188:2	tons [1] - 296:12	216:7, 263:16,	Union [4] - 240:3,
306:2, 310:14, 313:8,	thorough [1] -	took [2] - 253:1,	300:10, 312:23	242:18, 249:20,
313:10, 313:11	231:25	281:19	turnarounds [1] -	256:21
TESTIMONY [19] -	thoughtful [1] -	top [3] - 185:1,	268:15	union [1] - 268:9
184:11, 187:23,	298:1	247:24, 305:4	twice [3] - 200:16,	unit [3] - 240:2,
190:18, 193:7,	thoughts [1] -	topography [1] -	203:20, 309:11	242:17, 297:13
197:18, 202:4, 205:4,	303:25	284:16		United [13] - 199:10,
207:9, 210:21, 212:8,	thousands [1] -	total [2] - 242:12,	two [23] - 192:3, 192:24, 196:21,	199:21, 200:6, 201:1,
214:21, 245:4,	253:7	243:5	· ·	218:17, 243:15,
			200:12, 213:5,	

243:18, 250:13, 304:16, 311:4 user [1] - 215:7 Virginia [2] - 218:3, W 254:23, 255:1, uses [2] - 224:18, 218:8 wholly [1] - 241:2 255:11, 260:7, 309:10 vis [2] - 231:12 wholly-owned [1] -309.2 units [6] - 215:4, wage [1] - 245:21 241:2 USGS [1] - 199:19 vis-a-vis [1] - 231:12 wages [1] - 213:24 215:5, 215:25, visability [1] - 220:22 usual [1] - 181:24 wide [3] - 256:15, wait [2] - 258:8, 247:24, 263:8, 264:16 Utah [3] - 256:14, 257:14, 291:15 visibility [55] -274:17 University [4] -259:23, 268:18 188:21, 188:24, widespread [1] waiting [1] - 271:24 218:6, 218:8, 242:7, 189:4, 189:9, 197:1, Ute [1] - 198:19 266:15 walked [3] - 184:4, 265:9 Utility [3] - 212:19, 203:11, 218:19, Wilderness [1] -192:23, 305:4 unquantifiable [1] -212:22, 256:9 220:24, 221:1, 211:14 wants [2] - 229:17, 266:18 utility [2] - 218:16, 221:24, 222:10, wilderness [2] -289:4 unreasonable [1] -224:6, 224:21, 197:2, 209:23 256:8 warming [2] - 255:5, 306:2 224:23, 230:1, 236:2, WILLIAM [2] - 177:4, utilizing [1] - 251:1 310:16 unregulated [1] utmost [1] - 225:1 236:24, 237:5, warning [1] - 199:19 255:7 237:13, 246:20, William [1] - 184:14 up [47] - 184:1, 248:2, 248:8, 248:9, watchdog [1] - 255:2 willing [4] - 186:12, V 248:12, 248:18, watched [1] - 188:8 186:13, 190:9, 203:21 184:21, 185:5, 264:4, 264:18, Water [2] - 240:20, 192:14, 192:17, wind [3] - 215:11, vacuum [2] - 225:12, 199:13, 199:23, 274:22, 276:23, 240:21 276:11, 284:3 311:6 276:25, 277:16, 202:12, 205:22, water [10] - 185:15, wind's [1] - 257:22 valid [1] - 290:18 277:19, 279:11, 186:2, 186:23, 187:2, 206:7, 211:5, 211:13, **winds** [1] - 208:8 Valley [1] - 215:2 223:10, 223:12, 282:11, 282:17, 204:7, 204:10, wise [3] - 304:6, valuable [1] - 260:14 228:13, 230:3, 232:5, 283:24, 284:18, 204:11, 204:12, 304:13, 308:4 value [3] - 278:25, 290:18, 290:20, 232:17, 233:14, 246:11, 260:10 wiser[1] - 305:14 234:19, 236:22, 302:5, 303:1 290:23, 290:25, Way's [1] - 243:18 wish [3] - 202:9, values [1] - 279:2 291:2, 291:12, 237:17, 247:5, ways [3] - 206:22, 305:7 Vanna [1] - 285:17 291:14, 291:20, 247:24, 252:24, 238:9, 242:2 wishes [1] - 289:20 269:8, 270:11, variables [5] - 277:3, 294:23, 295:3, wayside [1] - 267:9 witness [5] - 216:21, 270:13, 276:9, 277:17, 278:6, 295:19, 302:3, weather [1] - 255:8 226:16, 239:5, 276:18, 277:2, 279:10, 279:16 302:23, 304:6, 304:9, web [2] - 284:4, 243:25, 299:16 277:14, 278:11, variety [1] - 225:21 305:3, 308:25 285:19 WITNESSES [3] visible [3] - 189:3, 278:21, 282:3, various [9] - 222:19, week [1] - 204:11 177:3, 178:3, 179:3 284:21, 286:21, 279:7 277:21, 277:23, weeks [1] - 273:2 witnesses [10] -286:25, 287:16, vision [2] - 226:8, 279:3, 284:7, 284:17, weigh [3] - 259:17, 216:19, 271:2, 271:6, 293:9, 306:25, 226.10 296:18, 296:20, 298:4 292:3, 302:13 271:15, 275:16, 307:11, 310:10, visitors [1] - 198:17 varying [1] - 261:24 weighed [1] - 225:24 292:10, 308:2, 308:4, 310:24, 311:4, vistas [1] - 305:5 Veatch [7] - 233:15, weight [2] - 221:7, 313:8, 313:12 311:19, 312:1 270:9, 271:14, 273:7, vocabulary [1] -232:24 woeful [1] - 195:21 up-and-coming [1] -275:23, 280:22, 255:14 weighting [1] - 225:8 Woman [1] - 201:3 237:17 287:21 voice [2] - 183:19, welcome [1] - 205:10 Women [3] - 207:13, upgrade [1] - 191:7 venture [1] - 238:2 193:23 Welcome [1] -207:17, 210:6 upgraded [3] -VOLKERDING [8] verbiage [1] - 304:20 216:13 wondered [1] -213:1, 213:6, 266:11 Verde [3] - 198:19, 175:8, 187:14, 232:4, welfare [8] - 191:11, 235:19 upgrades [3] -284:12. 284:13 233:5, 285:24, 286:2, 192:17, 194:19, wonderful [1] -190:25, 285:6, 285:8 286:5, 310:6 verify [1] - 285:20 198:13, 236:2, 261:4, 216:15 **urge** [5] - 210:10, versus [4] - 186:23, **volume** [1] - 308:3 290:19, 302:3 wonders [1] - 266:23 213:9, 214:7, 256:4, **VOLUME** [1] -238:10. 288:23. well-being [1] woods [1] - 243:24 298:7 304.14 174:24 246:14 word [1] - 304:17 urges [2] - 207:18, vested [1] - 212:24 volunteer [2] - 191:9, well-hearing [1] words [2] - 223:4, 210:7 294:6 veterans [1] - 255:12 202:10 255:13 **US** [6] - 194:22, vote [2] - 303:3, **VI** [1] - 200:6 well-paying [2] workers [3] - 261:9, 241:19, 252:25, 303:22 viability [1] - 261:3 252:13, 254:23 261:11, 309:17 255:12, 260:3, 309:6 Voters [3] - 207:13, vibrant [1] - 185:20 West [1] - 176:10 workforce [2] useful [2] - 237:11, vice [1] - 212:21 207:17, 210:6 western [1] - 263:14 242:13. 261:12 291:9 voting [2] - 308:8, vice-president [1] -Wheeler [1] - 211:14 workforces [1] -USEPA [6] - 262:2, 312:10 212.21 whereas [1] - 225:12 263.1 262:17, 262:19, view [2] - 305:11, whole [10] - 229:2, world [4] - 186:4, 263:15, 263:24, 264:5 305.15 231:4, 253:17, 204:19, 230:11, 304:3 **USEPA's** [2] - 262:2, viewed [1] - 236:19 254:17, 254:18, worse [1] - 307:12 263:6 VIGIL [1] - 175:11 285:23, 299:19, worst [2] - 211:12,

257:16 Ζ worth [6] - 188:20, 189:6, 229:21, **zone** [1] - 265:18 229:23, 248:6, 296:8 **wow** [2] - 185:10, 191:14 wrap [1] - 312:1 written [7] - 201:17, 211:4, 217:9, 217:19, 219:11, 239:12, 269:14 wrote [1] - 194:23 Υ year [22] - 191:6, 191:7, 191:13, 191:18, 192:9, 192:10, 192:11, 192:13, 196:23, 209:8, 209:16, 213:16, 213:25, 231:7, 231:13, 242:10, 242:23, 243:6, 247:23, 280:24, 296:12, 306:22 years [33] - 185:6, 188:8, 188:19, 190:25, 196:9, 202:21, 203:12, 204:7, 212:18, 213:5, 218:10, 227:11, 234:25, 237:20, 240:2, 242:4, 246:18, 250:5, 251:25, 253:24, 260:17, 262:24, 263:9, 263:11, 265:7, 268:11, 281:3, 299:5, 305:24, 306:19, 306:21, 307:3 yellow [1] - 287:14 Yellowman [1] -251:21 yesterday [22] -181:8, 211:2, 219:22, 223:15, 224:16, 225:17, 230:6, 233:14, 234:7, 254:8, 275:24, 276:11, 277:8, 277:9, 277:15, 277:25, 279:6, 283:3, 286:7, 286:25, 308:17, 308:18 yesterday's [1] -277:12 young [1] - 225:18 yourself [1] - 285:21